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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Rare Earth Elements

Lanthanides are the first period of f-block elements ranging from Cerium to lutetium (La-Lu, $\mathrm{Z}=57-71$ ) in the periodic table. Together with scandium and yttrium, they are called rare earth elements (REEs). Lanthanides have traditionally been divided into two groups: the light rare earth elements (LREEs), lanthanum to europium ( $Z=57-63$ ), and the heavy rare earth elements (HREEs), gadolinium to lutetium ( $\mathrm{Z}=64-71$ ). When they were first discovered and isolated, they were called "rare earth" elements due to the assumption that they are less abundant compared to other elements. Indeed, all lanthanides (except radioactive promethium) including the least common element, thulium, are more abundant in earth's crust than bismuth, arsenic, cadmium, mercury and other precious metals. ${ }^{[1]}$

Table 1.1 Some properties of lanthanide atoms and ions.

| Atomic <br> number | Name | Symbol | Electronic configuration |  | $\mathrm{E}^{0}(\mathrm{~V})^{[2]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Atom | $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ |  |
| 57 | Lanthanum | La | [Xe] $5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | [Xe] | -2.38 |
| 58 | Cerium | Ce | [Xe] $] 4 \mathrm{f}^{1} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{1}$ | -2.34 |
| 59 | Praseodymium | Pr | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{3} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{2}$ | -2.35 |
| 60 | Neodymium | Nd | [Xe] $4 \mathrm{f}^{4} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{3}$ | -2.32 |
| 61 | Promethium | Pm | [Xe] $4 \mathrm{f}^{5} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{4}$ | -2.29 |
| 62 | Samarium | Sm | [Xe] $4 \mathrm{f}^{6} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 f^{5}$ | -2.30 |
| 63 | Europium | Eu | [Xe] $4 \mathrm{f}^{7} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{6}$ | -1.99 |
| 64 | Gadolinium | Gd | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{7} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{7}$ | -2.28 |
| 65 | Terbium | Tb | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{9} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{8}$ | -2.31 |
| 66 | Dysprosium | Dy | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{10} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 f^{9}$ | -2.29 |
| 67 | Holmium | Ho | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{10}$ | -2.33 |
| 68 | Erbium | Er | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{12} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{11}$ | -2.32 |
| 69 | Thulium | Tm | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{13} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$ | -2.32 |
| 70 | Ytterbium | Yb | $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{13}$ | -2.22 |
| 71 | Lutetium | Lu | [Xe] $\mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{f}^{14}$ | -2.30 |

The rare earth elements along with some of their principal characteristics are listed in Table 1.1. Going from lanthanum to lutetium, fourteen 4 f -electrons are added, causing the electronic configuration to change from $[\mathrm{Xe}] 5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ to $[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$, with slight irregularities in the case of some elements (Table 1.1). Also, the highly electropositive character of lanthanide elements is comparable to that of alkali and alkaline earth metals. The formation of predominantly ionic compounds is expected, and the +3 oxidation state is the most stable. In fact, the energy of the 4 f orbitals is lower than that of the 6 s and 5 d orbitals; therefore, two 6 s electrons and one 5 d electron are easier to remove than the 4 f electrons leading to $\mathrm{a}+3$ oxidation state. The +2 and +4 oxidation states also exist, but they can revert to +3 e.g. $\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{II})$ lose one electron to become +3 . Thus, these divalent lanthanides are good reducing agents. $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$ and Yb (II) are the most stable dipositive species ${ }^{[3]}$ because they are somewhat stabilized by the $4 f^{7}$ and $4 f^{14}$ configuration (from exchange energy) and enjoy the stability of half-filled and filled subshells. ${ }^{[4]}$ In contrast, the tetravalent lanthanide ions can be reduced to adopt the +3 oxidation state, making them good oxidizing agents. Generally, an oxidation state +4 is exhibited by cerium, praseodymium, and terbium but in aqueous solution only cerium(IV) is stable (kinetically). Some of its salts are cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate, cerium(IV) sulfate, etc.
The lanthanides exhibit a feature, called lanthanide contraction, ${ }^{[5]}$ which refers to the penetration of the 4 f subshell by the 5 s and 5 p, such that the 4 f orbital is not shielded from the increasing nuclear change. An atomic radius decreases throughout the lanthanide series with increase in atomic number; hence, the ionic radii of lanthanide ions decreases from lanthanum to lutetium. To illustrate this concept, ionic radii of the nine coordinate trivalent lanthanides and yttrium are shown in Table 1.2.
The 4 f orbitals of the lanthanides have less radial extension than the filled $5 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ and $5 \mathrm{p}^{6}$ orbitals and are thus shielded from external perturbations. The 4 f electrons have little involvement in covalent interactions upon the formation of chemical bonds, therefore, $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ ions display large and variable coordination numbers $(\mathrm{CN}=8-12) .{ }^{[6]}$

Table 1.2. Ionic radii of trivalent nine-coordinate rare earth metal ions.

| $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ | Ionic radius $[\AA]$ | $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ | Ionic radius $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| La | 1.216 | Tb | 1.095 |
| Ce | 1.196 | Dy | 1.083 |
| Pr | 1.179 | Y | 1.075 |
| Nd | 1.163 | Ho | 1.072 |
| Pm | 1.144 | Er | 1.062 |
| Sm | 1.132 | Tm | 1.052 |
| Eu | 1.120 | Yb | 1.042 |
| Gd | 1.107 | Lu | 1.032 |

The variable and versatile coordinating behavior of lanthanide ion, $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$, limits its selective introduction into organized molecular or supramolecular architectures. ${ }^{[7]}$ Several types of lanthanide-based complexes have been successfully developed into functional molecular devices, such as luminescence sensors and light converters, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), ${ }^{[7],[8],[9]}$ magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ${ }^{[10],[11]}$ catalysts in organic synthesis and biological reactions, ${ }^{[12],[13]}$ gas adsorption ${ }^{[14]}$ and magnetism ${ }^{[15]}$ in the fields of chemistry, biology, medicine and materials science, respectively. Recent interest in coordination chemistry to synthesize lanthanide-based metal organic frameworks (MOFs) has been sparked because of their unique properties, offering a wide range potential applications including nonlinear optics, gas storage and catalysis.

### 1.2 Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs)

### 1.2.1 History and Scope

One of the very first MOFs was isolated in 1943, formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$, although similar studies from the early 1930s are also known. ${ }^{[16]}$ In 1965, Tomic et al. synthesized a series of metal complexes from an aromatic carboxylic acid, which would nowadays be called MOFs. ${ }^{[17]}$ In the late 1980s and early 1990s, this field was further developed by Robson after he initiated his famous "node-and-spacer" concept. ${ }^{[18]}$ Then, in the late 1990s, the concept of
reticular design was intiated by Yaghi et al. ${ }^{[19],[20]}$ The development of these two concepts has caused this field to become a hot topic in chemistry, and now a plethora of MOFs are known.

MOFs are a type of coordination polymer constructed from metal ions or metal ion clusters and organic bridging ligands. ${ }^{[21],[22],[23],[24],[25]}$ The organic ligands act as linkers and are considered "struts" that bridge metal centers. The combination of a metal center and a linker are regarded as inorganic secondary building units (SBUs), which act as joints in the resulting MOF architecture. ${ }^{[23],[26],[27]}$ The metal centers and ligands are connected to each other by coordination bonds, which, together with other intermolecular interactions, form a network with a definite topology. In most cases, the organic linkers are multidentate ligands - usully carboxylates, azoles, nitriles, etc. The metal centers used for this purpose are mainly 3d metal ions such as zinc, nickel, iron, copper, manganese and cobalt ions. ${ }^{[26]}$ For example, MOF-5, reported by Yaghi et al. is formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{BDC})_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}=1,4-\right.$ benzenedicarboxylate). ${ }^{[28]}$

Due to the size of the ligands, inorganic connecting points and network connectivity, the porosity of MOFs can be readily tuned to afford open channels and pores with dimensions from several angstroms to several nanometers. Because of their stability, MOFs can be used for gas storage, ${ }^{[29],[30]}$ separation, ${ }^{[31],[32]}$ magnetic materials, ${ }^{[33]}$ luminescence, ${ }^{[34]}$ drug storage and drug delivery. ${ }^{[35],[36]}$

Usually, MOFs are synthesized by a common method known as the solvent evaporation process, ${ }^{[37]}$ in which a saturated solution is slowly evaporated or cooled, resulting in crystalline or amorphous materials. A wide variety of other methods such as diffusion, microwave reaction and ultrasonication have also been established. ${ }^{[38],[39],[40]}$ Another very useful method for the synthesis of MOFs is the hydro(solvo)thermal process. Usually, the temperature that is used in this approach ranges from $80-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (i.e. higher than the boiling point of the solvent molecule). Frameworks, regardless of their synthetic method, are heavily influenced by factors such as structural characteristics of the ligands, the coordination nature of the metal ions, the solvent systems, the template, the pH value of the solution, steric requirement of the counterion, reaction temperature and the metal to ligand ratio.

Although many MOFs have been synthesized so far, it is still a challenge to explore successful synthetic strategies that lead to MOFs with promising applications and intriguing structures. In this thesis, attempts were made to design new MOFs using the self-assembly of metal ions (mainly manganese, iron, nickel and copper with lanthanides) and salen ligands.

### 1.2.2 Salen Frameworks

Salen ligands are common ligands in coordination chemistry. The name "salen" is abbreviated from the contraction of "salicylaldehyde" and "ethylenediamine" (i.e. salen $=2 \mathrm{sal}+\mathrm{en}$ ). This type of ligand is synthesized by a simple condensation reaction of a salicylaldehyde and ethylenediamine. In contrast to salen ligand, the schiff bese ligand which contain the azomethine group $(-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-)$ and it is synthesized by the condensation reaction of a primary amine with carbonyl compound. Salen ligands form complexes with metal ions via nitrogen and oxygen donor atoms. ${ }^{[41]}$ Steric and electronic effects around the metal core can be finely turned through the appropriate selection of electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents of different size in the salen ligands (Figure 1.1). The nitrogen and oxygen atoms induce two opposite electronic effects: the phenolate oxygen atoms are regarded as a hard donor, which stabilizes higher oxidation states of the metal ion, while the imine nitrogen atoms are softer donors and will stabilize lower oxidation states. ${ }^{[42]}$ As a result, these ligands can stabilize many different metals in various oxidation states; therefore, metallosalens have the potentials to be used in different areas such as catalysis, zeolite matrices, luminescence, magnetism. ${ }^{[43],[44],[45],[46]}$

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ coordination pocket

Figure 1.1 General structure of a symmetrical salen. The substitution at the phenyl rings may be used for immobilization and/or easy control over the ligand properties.

Some previously reported work demonstrate the various features of the chemistry of salen ligands. These examples are compared to the results obtained during this thesis work. Kitagawa and coworkers reported microporous coordination polymers (MCPs) with unsaturated metal centers (UMCs) using metalloligand (ML) systems. The assembly of the MCPs is a two step process: (1) synthesis of ML by the reaction of well-defined salen ligands and metal ions (mainly 3d metal ions) that together act as a linker (M1), and (2) reaction of

ML with another metal ion (M2), which acts as a nodal unit in a framework. ${ }^{[47],[48]}$ Thus, two types of metal centers are present in MCPs which shown in Scheme 1.1.


Scheme 1.1 MCPs formed upon addition of M2 from metalloligand system.

In 2007, Mirkin et al. studied the interconversion between amorphous and crystalline microparticles that are built from Ni-salen-dicarboxylic acid and an excess amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{[49]}$ The same authors synthesized the chiral building block $(S)-\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{a}}$ by using an imine coupling reaction of the enantiopure ( $S$ )-binaphthyl diamine and 4-formyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid. This $(S)-\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ligand was further reacted with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ to form homochiral triangular macrocycles and helical coordination polymers. ${ }^{[50]}$


1a) $4-\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$
1b) $3-\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}$


Scheme 1.2 Synthesis of salen based microparticles and their dynamic solvent-triggered crystallization process: (a) pyridine / ether, (b) pyridine, (c) methanol, (d) pyridine / methanol, (e) pyridine. Amorphous microparticle: 2a, 2b; crystalline rod: 3a, 3b

Cui et al. carried out chiral recognition and separation using a 2D coordination polymer built from unsymmetrical chiral Schiff base metal complexes (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{[51]}$


Scheme 1.3 Synthesis of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ligand and copper polymer.

Nguyen and Hupp established a salen-containing loop-type structure synthesized from a platinum precursor. ${ }^{[52]}$ A subsequent ligand rigidification, either by post-metalation or direct use of the respective Zn -salen precursors, favors the formation of box-like structures. Moreover, Hupp et al. reported a box-assembled coordination polymer, in which Zn -salen coordinates to a rhenium center (Scheme 1.4). This compound exhibits photophysical properties. ${ }^{[53]}$


Scheme 1.4 Scheme of the box like assemble for zinc-rhenium complex.

Hupp and coworkers also reported a homochiral MOF constructed from chiral Mn-salen, biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bpdc) and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions (Scheme 1.5). The framework, $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{2}(\text { bpdc })_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{10} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ (abbreviated $\left.\quad\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{c}}(\text { bpdc })\right]_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, was obtained under solvothermal conditions and shows a two-fold interpenetrating 3D network with $57 \%$ solvent accessible volume (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{[54]}$ The channels in the $a$ and $c$ directions possess dimensions of $6.2 \times 6.2 \AA$ and $6.2 \times 15.7 \AA$, respectively. Due to the diagonal displacement of the network, all Mn (III) ion sites are accessible through the channels. This homochiral MOF was examined for asymmetric olefin epoxidation reactions, and it was shown to effectively catalyze the epoxidation in $82 \%$ ee (Scheme 1.6).



Scheme 1.5 Chiral bridging Mn-salen complex and the achiral ligand $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bpdc.


Figure 1.2 Pictorial representation of the open channels and catalytic active sites of compound $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{a}} \text { (bpdc) }\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$


Scheme 1.6 Asymmetric epoxydation catalysed by the homochiral MOF $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{bpdc})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Chen et al. reported a mixed metal organic framework (M'MOF), formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{3}(\mathrm{BDC})_{3}\{\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Pyen})\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}=1,4\right.$ benzenedicarboxylic acid and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ en $=5$-methyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3-carbaldehyde), containing 36 tessellated $\mathrm{Zn}_{3}(\mathrm{BDC})_{3} 2 \mathrm{D}$ sheets that are pillared by $\mathrm{Cu}($ Pyen $)$ to form a 3 D network. ${ }^{[55]}$ This compound has slightly greater $\mathrm{D}_{2}$-surface than $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-surface interactions, while $\mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ interactions are lower than $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ interactions. Interestingly, Lin et al. reported isoreticular chiral metal organic frameworks (CMOFs) which are constructed from $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{6}\right](\mathrm{R}=$ organic linker) SBUs and systematically elongated dicarboxylate struts that are derived from chiral Mn -salen catalytic subunits (Scheme 1.7). ${ }^{[56]}$


Scheme 1.7 Synthetic scheme of compounds a-e.

Compounds a-e are highly effective catalysts for the asymmetric epoxidation of a variety of unfunctionalized olefins up to $92 \%$ ee. The rate of the epoxidation reaction depends on the dimensions of the open channel of the CMOFs. These compounds can be reused after catalytic transformations.

### 1.3 Single Molecule Magnets (SMMs)

In the early 1990s, the discovery of single molecule magnets (SMMs) created a new research field in physics and chemistry. ${ }^{[57],[58]}$ The final goal of this research activity is to modulate the quantum properties of these nanosized magnets in order to store and address a large amount of information in specialized devices and to provide basic components for future quantum computers. ${ }^{[59],[60]}$

SMMs are nanoscale magnetic molecules that exhibit slow relaxation of magnetization at low temperatures, i.e. below their blocking temperature, $T_{B}{ }^{[61],[62]}$ The main requirements for observing such behavior in molecules are a high spin ground state $(S)$ and a significant negative zero-field splitting $(D)$ of that ground state. The negative axial anisotropy $(D<0)$
removes the degeneracy in the $M_{s}$ levels of the ground spin state, placing higher magnitude levels at lower energies. The selection rule, $\Delta M_{s}= \pm 1$, for allowed transitions results in an energy barrier $(U)$, separating the two lowest energy levels, $M_{s}= \pm S$. The spin reversal energy barrier will be $U=S^{2}|D|$ and $U=\left(S^{2}-1 / 4\right)|D|$ for integer and half-integer $S$ values, respectively. A positive $D$ value causes $M_{s}=0$ levels to be lowest in energy, such that there is no energy cost for losing direction of the spin (i.e. in going from $M_{s}=+S$ to $M_{s}=0$ ). This means that for a molecule to behave as a SMM, it should have a negative $D$ value. Experimentally, a SMM shows superparamagnet-like properties, exhibiting both a frequencydependent out-of-phase alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility signal and a hysteresis plot of magnetization versus applied direct current (dc) magnetic field.

The field of SMMs, or molecular nanomagnets, started with the mixed-valence complex, $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}(\mathrm{OAc})_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot(\mathrm{HOAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ (abbreviated $\left.\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}\right) .{ }^{[63],[64],[65],[66],[67]} \mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}$ contains twelve manganese ions, in which there are four $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ ions and eight Mn (III) ions. All of these $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ions are independently ferromagnetically coupled with $S=$ $3 / 2$ and $S=2$, respectively. Since four Mn(IV) ions are coupled antiferromagnetically with eight $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ions in the cluster, the total spin is $S=8 \times 2-4 \times 3 / 2=10$ and it therefore possesses $M_{S}=+10$ to -10 , which is divided by a potential energy barrier of around $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (as $U=S^{2}|D|$ ) (Figure 1.3).


Figure 1.3 Energy of a classical $S=10$ magnetic moment as a function of the angle between the moment and the main anisotropy axis (green). The horizontal lines are the energies of the spin microstates belonging to the $S=10$ multiplet. ${ }^{[57]}$

The ac magnetic susceptibility measurements enable the measurement of the relaxation rate. In this process, the susceptibility of a sample is measured using a small ac magnetic field that switches direction at a fixed frequency. As the switching frequency starts to approach the
relaxation rate for the magnetization of the molecules, the in-phase, or real component, of the ac susceptibility $\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ starts to decrease, while the out-of-phase, or imaginary component, of the ac susceptibility $\left(\chi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ increases. Since the energy levels are thermally activated, the energy barrier ( $U_{\text {eff }}$ ) and the relaxation rate $(\tau)$ of the molecule can be estimated by using an Arrhenius plot according to the Arrhenius expression,

$$
\tau=\tau_{0} e^{\left(U_{e f f} / K_{B} T\right)}
$$

where $\tau_{0}$ is a pre-exponential factor and $U_{\text {eff }}$ is the energy barrier to reverse the direction of magnetization in the molecule. A plot of $\ln (\tau)$ versus $1 / T(T=$ temperature $)$ of this molecule is linear, and the slope and intercept obtained correspond to $U_{\text {eff }}$ and $\tau_{0}$, respectively.

Although most SMMs are based on polynuclear transition metal complexes, trivalent lanthanides also play a special role because of their unique magnetic properties. ${ }^{[68]}$ Some lanthanide ions have a significant spin and/or a large intrinsic single-ion anisotropy arising from the large, unquenched orbital angular momentum; therefore, lanthanide ions have become attractive candidates for constructing new SMMs. ${ }^{[69]}$ Murugesu et al. have recently reported a $\mathrm{Dy}_{4}$ butterfly compound that displays the largest anisotropy barrier ( $\sim 170 \mathrm{~K}$ ) reported for a polynuclear species. ${ }^{[70]}$ Interestingly, even mononuclear complexes can show strong, slow relaxation behaviour at relatively high temperatures, as reported by the Ishikawa group. ${ }^{[71]}$ In this regard, clusters of mixed 3d-4f metals are of considerable interest because a combination of properties may allow the observation of high spin clusters with a large anisotropy resulting from magnetic interactions between the ions. For this reason, a number of polynuclear heterometallic 3 d - 4 f clusters have recently been reported, ${ }^{[72],[73]}$ some of which display SMM behavior. ${ }^{[73],[74]}$

## 2 Research Objectives

The general aim of this project is to synthesize MOFs and coordination clusters using salen ligands. The initial strategy was to synthesize the salen ligand, N,N'-bis(4carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ), which contains carboxylic acids group as linkers (Scheme. 2.1).


Scheme 2.1 Chemical structure of the ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$.

In the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ligand, the carboxylic groups are in the meta position with respect to the phenoxylate group. Similar ligands were used in transition metal chemistry with promising results, ${ }^{[47],[49-50]}$ but the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ligand was previously unknown in coordination chemistry. The first target was to synthesize the 3d metal salen (ML) complexes. Since 3d-4f-block MOFs have recently gained considerable attention due to their potential applications in hydrogen storage and catalysis. Therefore, another goal was to synthesize such compounds via the reaction of the metallosalen compounds with lanthanide ions. Several reports have shown that coordinatively unsaturated metal centers embedded within the MOFs can participate directly in the binding of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The plan of this work is to study the gas adsorption properties of the porous 3d-4f-block MOFs. Additionally, incorporation of lanthanides also offers the possibility to study the magnetic properties due to their large number of unpaired electrons and considerable single-ion anisotropy. Furthermore, there was interest to change the amine part as well as the aldehyde part of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ligand in order to vary the porosity and size of the MOFs. To pursue this interest, we have synthesized the $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$, $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)$ and $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-hydroxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)$ ligands (Scheme 2.2), which used to make metal complexes.


Scheme 2.2 Chemical structure of ligands $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$.

The next goal is to prepare the polypodal ligand, ( $N, N^{\prime}$-bis $\{[2$-hydroxy-3-carboxybenzylidene]-aminoethyl\}aminoethylamine) ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ ) (Scheme 2.3), in order to synthesize oligomeric compounds. In contrast to $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$, the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ ligand is more flexible, and the carboxylate group is present in ortho position with respect to the phenoxylate group. Also, the amine part of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ is different from the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ligand (Scheme 2.3), the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ ligand contains multiple coordination sites that are in close proximities. Each coordination site possesses a different affinity towords transition metals and lanthanides, which might be facilitate the synthesis of cluster compounds. Thus, the target is the synthesis of mononuclear lanthanide and trinuclear 3d-4f heterometallic cluster compounds in order to examine their magnetic properties.


Scheme 2.3 Chemical stracture of the polypodal ligand $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)$.

## 3 Results and Discussions

### 3.1 Salen-Based Infinite Coordination Polymers ${ }^{1}$

Infinite coordination polymers (ICPs) are an area of growing interest in chemistry. In material science recently ICPs particles with micro- or nanostructural dimensions were reported. ${ }^{[75],[76]}$ In contrast to MOFs, ${ }^{[23],[77],[78],[79],[80]}$ ICPs were reported to show a higher level of structural tailorability. ${ }^{[76]}$ The structures of these kinds of compounds were usually synthesized from the appropriate metal salts and bifunctional ligands. Among others one successful strategy in the synthesis of ICPs and MOFs was the use of metal-based ligands ${ }^{[41],[76],[81],[82],[83],[84]}$ such as $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-1,4\right.\right.$-benzenedicarboxylate $\left.) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right],{ }^{[85]}$ carboxylate functionalized salphen $\left(N, N^{\prime}-\right.$ phenylenebis(salicylideneimine)) templates, ${ }^{[86]}$ and functionalized salen ( $N, N^{\prime}$ bis(salicylidene)ethylenediamine) ligands. ${ }^{[87]}$ In this metalloligand approach, functionalized salen ligands coordinate to a transition metal center, forming a metalloligand (ML; $\mathrm{M}=$ metal) which is suitable for the construction of higher dimensional homo- or heterometallic ICPs or MOFs by reaction with further metal centers. Interest in such metalloligands comes from the fact that metal can have an unsaturated environment of M center, which might be useful for hydrogen storage. ${ }^{[81]}$ Another potential application could be homogeneous catalytic transformations such as the epoxidation of olefins, lactide polymerization, asymmetric ring opening of epoxides, and Michael reactions. ${ }^{[54],[88]}$ Until now, various ICPs and MOFs with an additional functional group such as carboxylates, ${ }^{[86]} p$-pyridyl groups, ${ }^{[54]}$ and $p$-benzoic acid groups ${ }^{[89],[49]}$ in the para position to the hydroxyl group have been reported. Additionally, it has been theoretically investigated and proposed that the capability for hydrogen storage of ICPs or MOFs can be increased by including unsaturated $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$. [90],[91]

A different approach to construct the ICPs or MOFs by using the ligand $N^{\prime} N^{\prime}$-bis( 4 carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)$, which is a salen ligand having carboxylate groups in the meta position to the hydroxyl groups. The different stereochemistry compared to the established systems results in different angles which are expected to have a significant influence on the shape of the coordination polymer. The ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ was synthesized

[^0]according to a literature procedure by a condensation reaction of ethylenediamine with 4 -formyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid. ${ }^{[92]}$ The obtained crude product, which contained some ethylenediamine as impurity, was used without further purification. In addition, the salen ligands $\quad N_{,}^{\prime} N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$ and $\quad N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)$, which have carboxylate groups in the meta position to the hydroxy groups, were also synthesized according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{[92]}$ The metalations of such ligands are given in the following sections.

### 3.1.1 $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine with Alkali and Transition Metals ${ }^{1}$

Reaction of ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ with $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=4 ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}$ and $\mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cu})$ in the presence of NaOH in DMF resulted, after crystallization, in the polymeric compounds $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{ML})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{2}))($ Scheme 3.1).


Scheme 3.1 Synthetic scheme of compounds 1-3

Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained as red crystals. Both compounds were characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques, and the solid state structures were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.1). In both compounds the transition metal is
coordinated by the salen ligand resulting in a distorted square planar geometry. Owing to this coordination mode, the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ nickel compound $\mathbf{1}$ is diamagnetic and thus could also be characterized by NMR. The NMR signals are in the expected range. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum clearly showed, compared to the free ligand, a significant downfield shift of the carboxyl group ( $\delta 175.25 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1})$ vs. 167.86 ppm in $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ), indicating that the Ni -salen unit is also deprotanted at the acid function. To balance the charge, two sodium cations are bound to the Ni -salen framework. These cations bridge the salen units by forming infinite structures. Also, four differently coordinated sodium atoms are localized in the solid state structure.


Figure 3.1 Solid state structure of 2, omitting hydrogen atoms. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are isostructural. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]:

1: Ni1-N1 1.847(2), Ni1-N2 1.850(3), Ni1-O1 1.854(2), Ni1-O2 1.863(2), Ni2-N3 1.836(3), Ni2-N4 1.863(3), Ni2-O7 1.863(2), Ni2-O8 1.855(2), Na1-O1 2.295(2), Na2-O5 2.371(3), Na2-O20 2.344(3), Na2-O23 2.442(3), Na3-O5 2.450(3), Na3-O20 2.317(3), Na3-O21 2.443(3), Na3-O25 2.483(3), Na4-O21 2.414(3), Na4-O22 2.441(3), Na4-O23 2.352(3), Na4-O24 2.296(3), Na4-O25 2.373(3), N1-Ni1-N2 85.84(12), N1-Ni1-O2 173.63(11), N2-Ni1-O2 94.74(11), O1-Ni1-O2 85.56(10), O1-Na1-O2 63.20(8), O2-Na1-O9 95.03(9), O2-Na1O8 91.96(9), O2-Na1-O10 116.13(9), O1-Na1-O7 101.39(9), O7-Na1-O8 60.63(8), O23-Na4-O25 112.57(11), O21-Na4-O23 159.86(11), O21-Na4-O25 87.32(11).

2: Cu1-N1 1.929(2), Cu1-N2 1.925(2), Cu1-O1 1.888(2), Cu1-O2 1.902(2), Cu2-N3 1.913(2), Cu2-N4 1.940(2), Cu2-O7 1.896(2), Na2-O5 2.367(2), Na3-O5 2.503(3), Na2-O6 2.489(3), Na3-O9 2.234(2), Na3-O21 2.415(3), Na4-O21 2.439(3), Na4-O22 2.423(3), Na4-O23 2.365(3), N1-Cu1-N2 84.80(9), N3-Cu2-N4 84.74(10), N3-Cu2-O8 171.31(10), N4-Cu2-O8 94.18(9), O7-Cu2-O8 86.31(8), O5-Na3-O9 104.01(9), O5-Na3-O20 89.01(8), O9-Na3-O25 84.47(9), O20-Na3-O21 89.36(9), O20-Na3-O25 90.55(9), O21-Na4-O22 78.74(8), O21-Na4-O23 158.58(10), O23-Na4-O25 114.12(10), O24-Na4-O25 81.41(10).

In the asymmetric unit two M -salen complexes $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu})$ are bridged via the phenol oxygen atoms and Na 1 . Moreover, Na 2 bridges the metal centers using the carboxylate groups
(Figure 3.1). All sodium atoms are six-fold coordinated. Vacant coordination sites are filled with water molecules; e.g., Na4 is surrounded by five water molecules and one carboxylate group. Connecting the asymmetric units results in zig-zag chains (Figure 3.2), which were previously not observed. The zig-zag chains (Figure 3.2) arise from the substitution pattern of the salen phenol ring where the phenolate and the carboxylate group are in the meta position. Thus, compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 can be considered as one-dimensional (1D) metal functionalized ICPs. Between different chains, water molecules are localized in the solid state structure.


Figure 3.2 Solid state structure of 2, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure.

In contrast to the results described above, the reaction of ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in DMSO resulted, after crystallization, in the polymeric compound, $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (3) (Scheme 3.1). Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was fully characterized by standard analytical techniques, and the solid state structure was established by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.3). Compound $\mathbf{3}$ is almost insoluble in any solvent we tried. Thus, no useful NMR data could be acquired. In contrast to compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, only one alkaline metal cation was localized as the center ion in the Ni-salen fragment, indicating that the ligand is not fully deprotonated. As result of the bent ligand geometry and the deprotonation of only one carboxyalte group, the $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]$ units assemble into a helical chain (Figure 3.4). The nickel atoms are, as expected, coordinated in the center of the salen ligand in a distorted square planar mode, e.g., N1-Ni-N2 86.77(11) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O} 195.28(10)^{\circ}$. The lithium atom is coordinated in a chelating fashion by the two phenol oxygen atoms of a Ni salen subunit having Li-O bond distances of Li-O1 $1.986(5) \AA$ and Li-O2 1.992(5) $\AA$. Moreover, the lithium atom is coordinated by one equivalent of DMSO and one carboxylate
group of a neighboring $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]$ unit. The latter coordination is the reason for the formation of the helical structure setup.


Figure 3.3 Solid state structure of compound 3, omitting hydrogen atoms. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Ni-N1 1.851(2), Ni-N2 1.839(2), Ni-O1 1.858(2), Ni-O2 1.864(2), Li-O1 1.986(5), Li-O2 1.991(5), Li-O5 1.930(5), Li-O7 1.907(5), N1-Ni-N2 86.76(11), N1-Ni-O2 176.43(10), N2-Ni-O2 95.46(10), O1-Ni-O2 82.64(9), O1-Li-O2 76.3(2), O2-Li-O7 111.8(3), O5-Li-O6 51.59(8), O5-Li-O7 118.1(3).


Figure 3.4 Solid state structure of $\mathbf{3}$, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure.

### 3.1.1.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Compound 1-3

TGA measurements were performed for all compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ as shown in Figure 3.5. At room temperature they retain their crystalline behavior for a couple of months.


Figure 3.5 TGA for $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ in temperature range from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

The TGA curve of compound $\mathbf{1}$ shows that seven water molecules are lost in the temperature range of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $12.14 \%$, calcd $11.71 \%$ ). Then it is stable up to $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At higher temperature, decomposition starts and two weight losses are observed, one from $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $570^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $37.67 \%$ ) and the other from $830^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As the final product, we suggest a mixture of NiO and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (obsd $24.97 \%$, calcd 25.40\%) (Figure 3.5). Compound 2 shows a similar TGA curve. Eight water molecules are lost in the temperature range from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $12.87 \%$, calcd $13.26 \%$ ). The dehydrated product is stable up to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Above this temperature, decomposition of the organic ligands starts, leading to a weight loss in the range of $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $39.98 \%$ ) and another weight loss in the range from $850^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $990^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $25.49 \%$, calcd $26.06 \%$ ), leading to the formation of CuO and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Figure 3.5). The TGA curve of compound $\mathbf{3}$ is different. A gradual decomposition occurs up to $520^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulting in weight loss of observed $74 \%$. We suggest a formation of LiOH and
$\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (calcd $76.53 \%$ ). Finally, a slight weight loss up to $1230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is observed, giving a mixture of NiO and $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (obsd 20.18\%; calcd 18.03\%) (Figure 3.5).

### 3.1.1.2 Magnetic Properties of Compound 2

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. A. K. Powell) in the temperature range from 1.8 K to 300 K only for paramagnetic compound $\mathbf{2}$. The evolution of $\chi T$ as a function of temperature is shown in Figure 3.6.


Figure 3.6 The magnetic susceptibility product ( $\chi T$ vs $T$ plot) at 1000 Oe; inset: field dependence of magnetization ( $M$ vs $H$ plot) at 2 K for compound $\mathbf{2}$. Open circles are experimental data; red solid lines indicate the calculated curves discussed in text.

The magnetic superexchange interaction between the two $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ centres within each repeating unit is mediated by the phenol oxygen atoms from each ligand strand through one sodium atom. However, no interaction could be possible between each unit, which is connected by three double sodium atoms along with the 1D chain. At room temperature, the $\chi T$ product is $0.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$. This value is in very good agreement with the theoretical value resulting from the presence of two $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions $(S=1 / 2)$. The $g$ value can thus be estimated at 2.07. Decreasing the temperature, the $\chi T$ product at 1000 Oe stays almost constant till 40 K and then continuously decreases to reach $0.67 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K indicating the presence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions. The data have been analyzed using the isotropic spin Hamiltonian $H=-2 J S_{1} S_{2}$ with quantum numbers $S_{1}=S_{2}=1 / 2$. Using the the Bleaney-Bowers equation, ${ }^{[93]}$ the fit to the experimental data leads to the best set of parameters as $J=-0.53$ (1) K and $g=2.07$ (1) (Figure 3.6). The sign and magnitude of the magnetic interactions imply that the two $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are weakly interacting through the oxygen atoms and sodium atoms.

Furthermore, the field dependence of the magnetization of compound 2 almost saturates to $2.1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 2 K above 6 T (Figure 3.6, inset) corresponding to two uncoupled $S=1 / 2$ spins. This is also confirmed by simulation of the Brillouin function with $g=2.06$ for two non-interacting $S=1 / 2$, further suggesting weak antiferromagnetic interactions present in each dinuclear motif.

In conclusion, it has been shown that the ligand $H_{4} \mathrm{~L}$, which is a salen type compound that has carboxylate groups in the position meta to the hydroxyl groups, is suitable to build up new kinds of ICPs. By coordination of nickel and copper in the central salen unit, different types of metal-functionalized 1D ICPs were built up. The shape of the coordination polymers depends on the alkaline metal that is coordinated to the carboxylate function. The coordination polymers are thermally very robust. For the copper compound, a weak antiferromagnetic interaction was observed between the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions.

### 3.1.2 $\quad N, N^{\prime}-\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\left(H_{4} L^{2}\right)$ and $N, N^{\prime}$ -Bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol ( $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ ) with Alkali and Transition Metal

Treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ in the presence of aqueous NaOH in DMF at room temperature afforded the compound, $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ (4) (Scheme 3.2). It was noted that the formate ligand arises from the in situ hydrolysis of DMF; without DMF molecules the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{4}$ was not successful. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was obtained as green crystals and characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques. The solid state structures were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.7). The IR spectrum of compound 4 shows strong absorption bands at about $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1397 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric vibration of the carboxylate groups. The absence of the characteristic absorption band in the range of $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates complete deprotonation of salen ligands and coordinates to metal ions.

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / m$. The asymmetric unit of this compound consists of half of two salen ligands, one fully occupied sodium atom, three half occupied sodium atoms, one formate ion, two $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions and 7.5 water molecules. The unit cell contains two different kinds of $\mathrm{NiL}^{2}$ units that are bridged by the phenoxy oxygen atoms, Na , the formate ion and Na 2 . Since the carboxylate oxygen atoms and phenoxy oxygen
atoms are involved in the coordination to the sodium atoms ( $\mathrm{Na} 1, \mathrm{Na} 2, \mathrm{Na} 3$ and Na 4 ), 2D ICPs are formed (Figure 3.7).


Scheme 3.2 Synthetic scheme of compounds 4 and 5.

Both sodium atoms Na 1 and Na 2 adopt an octahedral geometry by coordinating to two oxygen atoms from the salen unit ( $\mathrm{Na} 1: \mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$, and Na 2 : O 2 and O 2 '), three oxygen atoms from water molecules ( Na : O8, O16 as well as O16' and Na2: O11, O11' as well as O12) and one formate oxygen atom ( $\mathrm{Na} 1: \mathrm{O} 10$ and Na 2 : O 9 ). In contrast, the sodium atoms Na 3 and Na 4 are five-fold coordinated. The sodium atom Na 3 is surrounded by two carboxylic oxygen atoms ( O 4 and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ) and three water molecules (O13, O14 and O14'), and Na 4 is coordinated by one carboxylate oxygen atom (O6) and four oxygen atoms (O14, O15, O17 and O18) from four water molecules. Ni1 is coordinated in a square pyramidal arrangment with two imine nitrogen atoms and two phenoxy oxygen atoms from the chelating salen ligand $\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)^{4-}$ localized in the square plane and one oxygen atom from a water molecule localized in the apex (Figure 3.7). Ni2 is coordinated in an elongated octahedral geometry with two imine nitrogen atoms and two phenoxy oxygen atoms of $\left(L^{2}\right)^{4-}$ localized in the square plane, one oxygen atom from a fomate ion and one oxygen atom from a water molecule localized in the apex. The Ni-O and Ni-N bond distances are in the expected range of 2.017(2) $\AA$ to $2.225(3) \AA$ and 2.054(2) $\AA$ to $2.059(2) ~ A ̊$, respectively, for salen complexes.


Figure 3.7 Solid state structure of compound 4, omitting hydrogen atoms. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[^{\circ}\right]$ : Ni1-N1 2.054(2), Ni1-O1 2.017(2), Ni1-O7 2.076(2), Ni2-N2 2.059(2), Ni2-O2 2.025(2), Ni2O8 2.225(3), Na1-O1 2.372(2), Na1-O8 2.662(3), Na1-O10 2.374(3), Na1-O16 2.336(2), Na2-O2 2.473(2), Na2O9 2.457(3), Na2-O11 2.449(2), Na2-O12 2.316(3), Na3-O4 2.471(2), Na3-O13 2.305(3), Na3-O14 2.392(2), Na4-O14 2.387(2), Na4-O15 2.380(2), Na4-O17 2.524(2), Na4-O18 2.344(2), N1-Ni1-N1' 93.52(11), N1-Ni1O1 90.29(7), N1-Ni1-O7 95.09(7), O1-Ni1-O1' 82.92(9), O1-Ni1-O7 98.14(7), N2-Ni2-N2' 93.78(12), O2-Ni2O2' 85.12(9), N2-Ni2-O2 90.55(8), N2-Ni2-O8 94.17(8), N2-Ni2-O9 94.21(7), O2-Ni2-O8 86.32(7), O8-Ni2-O9 167.72(10), O2-Ni2-O9 84.65(7), O1-Na1-O1' 68.51(9), O2-Na2-O2' 67.26(8).


Figure 3.8 Solid state structure of 4, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure.

Reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of aqueous NaOH in methanol followed by crystallization from ether diffusion resulted in a compound formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (5) (Scheme 3.2). The IR spectrum of compound 5 shows the characteristic absorption peaks of the functional groups. The broad band of compound 5 at $3415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibrations of water molecules, while the strong absorption bands at about $1617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric vibration of the carboxylate groups. The absence of a characteristic absorption band arround $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the complete deprotonation of the salen ligands and coordination to metal ions. Compound 5 was characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques, and the solid state structure was analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.9). It crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$ and forms a 2D network in the solid state (Figure 3.10). The selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in the caption of Figure 3.9. The asymmetric unit contains one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\left(\mathrm{HL}^{3}\right)^{4-}$ ligand, two sodium atoms, one methanol, half a diethyl ether molecule and half a water molecule. The $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion is coordinated in a square pyramidal geometry by two imine nitrogen atoms and two phenoxy oxygen atoms from $\left(\mathrm{HL}^{3}\right)^{4-}$ localized in the square plane and one oxygen atom from methanol localized in the apex. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances are in the normal range of $1.933(2) \AA$ to $2.396(3) \AA$ and $1.986(3) \AA$ to $2.013(3) \AA$, respectively. The carboxylate oxygen atoms and the phenoxy oxygen atoms are involved in the coordination to the sodium atoms ( Na 1 and Na 2 ). Both of the sodium atoms, Na 1 and Na 2 , are five-fold coordinated (Figure 3.9). The Na1 atom is surrounded by two phenoxy oxygen atoms from one salen unit (O1' and O2'), two carboxylate oxygen atoms (O4 and O6') and one water molecule (O9). In contrast, the Na 2 atom is coordinated by five carboxylate oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ', O5, O5', O6, O6'") from neighboring salen units, resulting in a 2 D network structure (Figure 3.10). The Na-O bond lengths and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angles are in the range of $2.219(3) \AA$ to $2.695(3) \AA$ and $64.52(8)^{\circ}$ to $165.42(10)^{\circ}$, respectively.


Figure 3.9 Solid state structure of 5, omitting hydrogen atoms. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Cu-N1 1.986(2), Cu-N2 2.011(2), Cu-O1 1.948(2), Cu-O2 1.932(2), Cu-O8 2.394(3), Nal-O1' 2.377(2), Na1-O2' 2.342(2), Na1-O4 2.346(2), Na1-O6' 2.446(2), Na1-O9 2.483(12), Na2-O4' 2.220(2), Na2-O5 2.222(2), Na2-O6" 2.692(2), N1-Cu-N2 95.91(10), N1-Cu-O2 169.02(9), N2-Cu-O2 90.76(9), N1-Cu-O8 91.10(9), N2-Cu-O8 86.30(9), O1-Cu-O2 80.97(8), O1-Cu-O8 96.80(9), O2-Cu-O8 98.05(9), , O1-Na1-O2 64.51(7), O2"-Na1-O4 165.44(8), O5-Na2"-O6 50.51(7).


Figure 3.10 Solid state structure of 5, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure.

### 3.1.2.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis of Compounds 4 and 5

The TGA of compound 4 shows that the twelve water molecules are lost at a temperature of $133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $16.33 \%$, calcd $16.87 \%$ ) (Figure 3.11 ), after which the compound is stable up to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residual guest solvent molecules are lost in the temperature range of $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $365^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $20.13 \%$, calcd $21.08 \%$ ). At higher temperatures, the networks began to decompose with a continuous weight loss and finally form NiO and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 2.5)$ (obsd $27.57 \%$, calcd $23.76 \%$ ). The TGA curve of complex 5 shows a weight loss of $11.06 \%$ around $124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to the release of two coordinated MeOH and one non-coordinated $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (calcd $12.12 \%$ ) (Figure 3.11), after which the framework is stable up to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Another weight loss occurs around $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $1.72 \%$, calcd $1.58 \%$ ) due to the loss of one coordinated water molecule. Afterwards, decomposition of the residue starts. Finally, weight loss at a temperature of $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $26.89 \%$, calcd $26.16 \%$ ) leads to the suggested formation of CuO and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure 3.11 TGA for 4 and 5 in temperature range of $25-1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

### 3.1.2.2 Magnetic Properties of Compound 4

The magnetic susceptibility of $\mathbf{4}$ was investigated by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. A. K Powell) in the temperature range of 300 K to 1.8 K at 1000 Oe (Figure 3.12). The magnetic exchange interaction between the two $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ centers within each repeating unit is mediated by the phenol oxygen atoms from each $\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)^{4-}$, which stand through the sodium atom and formate ion (details are in structural description text). At room temperature, the $\chi T$ product at 1000 Oe is $2.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, which agrees with the expected value for the presence of two $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions with $S=1$ and $g=2.19$. Upon decreasing the temperature, the $\chi T$ product at 1000 Oe stays almost constant until 50 K and below which it continuously decreases to reach $0.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K , indicating the presence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions. The data have been analyzed using the isotropic spin Hamiltonian $H=-2 J\left(S_{l}: S_{2}\right),{ }^{[93]}$ with quantum numbers $S_{1}=$ $S_{2}=1$. The fitting of the experimental data leads to the best-fit parameters of $g=2.213(3)$ and $J / k_{B}=-2.12(3) \mathrm{K}$.


Figure 3.12 (a) Magnetic susceptibility product ( $\chi T$ vs $T$ plot) at 1000 Oe for compound 4. (b) Field dependence of magnetization ( $M$ vs $H$ plot) from 2-5 K for compound 4.

The field dependence of the magnetization of compound 4 at low temperatures reveals a gradual increase with the absence of a true saturation of the magnetization. The $M$ value reaches $2.3 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 7 T , as shown in Figure 3.12. This behavior suggests the presence of lowlying excited states that might be populated when a field is applied. This is also in agreement with the fact that the only weak antiferromagnetic interactions present in the coordination polymer can be easily overcome by the external field.

In conclusion, the two salen ligands, $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$ and $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)$, yield the 2D ICPs $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (4) and $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left\{\left(\mathrm{CuL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \quad\right.$ (5) based on transition and alkali metal atoms. In compound $\mathbf{4}$, the formate ion acts as a bridging ligand, suggesting that the shape of the coordination polymer depends on the metal center and the ligand systems. The TGA study shows that $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are stable at room temperature. Additionally, a weak antiferromagnetic interaction was observed in compound $\mathbf{4}$ between the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ centers.

### 3.2 Salen-Based Metal Organic Frameworks

The $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ ligand ${ }^{[92]}$ can be used as a building block to assemble metal-functionalized MOFs. By using lanthanide ions, functionalized d-f-block metals MOFs are obtained.

### 3.2.1 Nickel and Lanthanide-Based MOFs ${ }^{[94]}$

Treatment of a DMF / water solution of compound 1 with $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=4(\mathrm{Lu})$, $5(\mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Dy})$ and $6(\mathrm{Yb}, \mathrm{Tm})$ ) leads to, after work-up and crystallization, the formation of 2D polymeric lanthanide-nickel compounds, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ $(\operatorname{Ln}=\operatorname{Er}(6), \mathrm{Tm}(7), \mathrm{Yb}(8)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(9))$ (Scheme 3.3). Compounds 6-9 were obtained as red crystals and characterized by standard analytical and spectroscopic techniques. The solid state structures were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.13). Compounds 6-9 crystallize in the triclinic space group $P-1$. Since they are isostructural to each other, only the solid state structure of $\mathbf{6}$ will be described in detail.

The solid state structure of $\mathbf{6}$ results from the influence of two different secondary building units (SBUs) (Figure 3.13). One SBU, which is based on a $\left[(\operatorname{Er})_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{4}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}\right]$ building block, is formed around Er1. This SBU can be regarded as a distorted octahedron built from two lanthanide ions bridged by four carboxylates. Each Er1 atom is eight-fold coordinated by two water molecules, two oxygen atoms of the chelating carboxylate groups, and four oxygen atoms of the four bridging carboxylates.


Scheme 3.3 Synthetic scheme of compounds 6-10.

The other SBU is formed around Er2. This SBU consists of a $\left[(\operatorname{Er})_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{4}\right]$ building block. The second SBU is a distorted hexagon, which was observed previously in $\left[\mathrm{Er}_{2}(\text { anth })_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (anth $=$ anthranilate). ${ }^{[95]}$ In this structural motif, Er2 is also eightfold coordinated by four oxygen atoms of two chelating carboxylate groups, two oxygen atoms of two metal bridging carboxylate groups, one water molecule and one molecule of DMF.

The asymmetric unit comprises metalloligands, which all coordinate in a chelating fashion with one carboxylate group and in a metal bridging mode with the other carboxylate group. The nickel atoms in compound $\mathbf{6}$ is coordinated by the salen ligand, displaying a distorted square planar geometry, meaning that, in contrast to other MOFs, the connecting organic struts are not absolutely rigid. The salen unit is slightly bent at the nickel center, which can be seen by different torsion angles of the ONNO-units (e.g. for 6: 6.43(3) ${ }^{\circ}$ around Ni1, 7.28(3) ${ }^{\circ}$ around Ni 2 , and $2.68(3)^{\circ}$ around Ni 3$)$. Within the cavities, water and DMF molecules are localized in the single crystal X-ray structures (Figure 3.13). The void space of compound 69, which is filled with DMF and water molecules, were calculated by PLATON to be $37.7 \%$.


Figure 3.13 Solid state structure of $\mathbf{6}$, omitting hydrogen atoms.Top: SBU unit. Botom: asymmetric unit Compounds 6-9 are isostructural. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ :

6: Ni1-N1 1.860(8), Ni1-N2 1.844(9), Ni1-O1 1.861(7), Ni1-O2 1.868(7), Er1-O11 2.253(7), Er1-O12 2.271(8), Er1-O14 2.292(8), Er1-O15 2.786(9), Er1-O19 2.418(7), Er1-O20 2.288(7), Er1-O21 2.373(8), Er1-O22 2.233(7), Er2-O7 2.397(7), Er2-O8 2.421(7), Er2-O9 2.426(7), Er2-O10 2.378(8), Er2-O13 2.250(7), Er2-O16 2.352(7), Er2-O17 2.228(6), Er2-O18 2.318(7), N1-Ni1-N2 86.3(4), O1-Ni1-O2 84.9(3), N1-Nil-O2 94.0(3), O11-Er1-O12 127.2(3), O11-Er1-O21 140.6(3), O11-Er1-O22 80.5(4), O14-Er1-O15 49.4(2), O19-Er1-O21 74.3(3), O7-Er2-O8 54.1(3), O8-Er2-O13 75.2(2), O9-Er2-O17 9 83.7(3), O16-Er2-O17 76.6(3), O17-Er2-O18 78.1(3).

7: Ni1-N1 1.857(5), Ni1-N2 1.852(4), Ni1-O1 1.851(4), Ni1-O2 1.875(4), Tm1-O11 2.250(4), Tm1-O12 2.272(4), Tm1-O14 2.276(4), Tm1-O15 2.819(6), Tm1-O19 2.406(4), Tm1-O20 2.290(5), Tm1-O21 2.364(4), Tm1-O22 2.235(4), Tm2-O7 2.390(4), Tm2-O8 2.402(4), Tm2-O9 2.427(4), Tm2-O10 2.369(4), Tm2-O13 2.259(4), Tm2-O16 2.344(4), Tm2-O17 2.221(4), Tm2-O18 2.335(4), N1-Ni1-N2 85.9(2), N1-Ni-O2 94.3(2), O1-Nil-O2 85.0(2), O11-Tm1-O12 126.2(2), O11-Tm1-O21 140.6(2), O11-Tm1-O22 80.5(2), O14-Tm1-O15 49.54(15), O19-Tm1-O21 74.9(2), O7-Tm2-O8 54.27(14), O7-Tm2-O16 129.99(15), O8-Tm2-O13 75.33(15), O9-Tm2-O13 150.8(2), O13-Tm2-O17 106.0(2), O16-Tm2-O17 76.82(1), O16-Tm2-O18 140.8(2), O17-Tm2O18 77.5(2). (See next page for compound 8 ).

8: Ni1-N1 1.80(2), Ni1-N2 1.864(6), Ni1-O1 1.858(12), Ni1-O2 1.875(13), Yb1-O11 2.248(14), Yb1-O12 2.276(12), Yb1-O14 2.285(10), Yb1-O15 2.82(2), Yb1-O19 2.389(13), Yb1-O20 2.252(14), Yb1-O21 2.356(13), Yb1-O22 2.249(12), Yb2-O7 2.384(14), Yb2-O8 2.397(14), Yb2-O9 2.419(13), Yb2-O10 2.349(10), Yb2-O13 2.236(11), Yb2-O16 2.324(14), Yb2-O17 2.221(14), Yb2-O18 2.325(12), N1-Ni1-N2 86.9(7), N1-Ni1-O2 93.5(7), O1-Ni1-O2 84.6(6), O11-Yb1-O12 126.9(5), O11-Yb1-O21 139.6(6), O11-Yb1-O22 80.8(6), O14-Yb1-O22 124.2(4), O19-Yb1-O21 74.8(5), O7-Yb2-O8 54.5(5), O8-Yb2-O13 74.7(5), O16-Yb2-O17 75.6(5), O17-Yb2-O18 77.2(5).

9: Ni-N1 1.854(7), Ni1-N2 1.856(6), Ni1-O1 1.862(6), Ni1-O2 1.856(5), Lu1-O11 2.240(6), Lu1-O12 2.242(6), Lu1-O14 2.249(6), Lu1-O19 2.380(6), Lu1-O20 2.272(6), Lu1-O21 2.336(6), Lu1-O22 2.223(6), Lu2-O7 2.366(6), Lu2-O8 2.394(6), Lu2-O9 2.412(6), Lu2-O10 2.351(6), Lu2-O13 2.229(5), Lu2-O16 2.316(6), Lu2O17 2.196(5), Lu2-O18 2.319(6), N1-Ni1-N2 85.8(3), O1-Ni1-O2 84.9(2), N1-Ni1-O2 94.6(3), O11-Lu1-O12 126.6(2), O11-Lu1-O21 139.2(2), O11-Lu1-O22 80.0(3), O14-Lu1-O22 123.1(2), O19-Lu1-O21 75.3(2), O7-Lu2-O8 54.8(2), O8-Lu2-O13 75.3(2), O16-Lu2-O17 76.7(2), O17-Lu2-O18 77.3(2).


Figure 3.14 Solid state structure of 6, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure. Compounds 6-9 are isostructural.

Reaction of in situ prepared compound $\mathbf{1}$ in DMSO / THF with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$, resulted in the polymeric dysprosium-nickel compound, $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 0})$ (Scheme 3.3). Compound 10, which has been obtained as red needle-shaped crystals, was characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques, and the solid state structure was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.15). It crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ as polymeric 3D structure. In contrast to compound 6, only one SBU is formed by a $\left[(D y)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}(\eta-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}$ ] building block. This SBU consists of parallelogram having only four points of extension. ${ }^{[79]}$ The difference in the SBU of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ compared to $\mathbf{6}$ is probably due to the
larger ionic radius of dysprosium compared to erbium. The dysprosium atoms are eight-fold coordinated, forming a distorted dodecahedron. This coordination polyhedron is built from four oxygen atoms of two chelating carboxylates, two oxygen atoms of two metal bridging carboxylate groups, one $\mu-\eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$ ion and one molecule of DMSO.


Figure 3.15 Solid state structures of 10, omitting hydrogen atoms. The SBU is representing. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ : Ni1-N1 1.842(7), Ni1-N2 1.855(7), Ni1-O1 1.864(5), Ni1-O2 1.855(5), Dy1-O3 2.395(5), Dy1-O4 2.403(5), Dy1-O5 2.319(5), Dy1-O6 2.299(5), Dy1-O7 2.432(6), Dy1-O8 2.323(5), Dy1-O10 2.298(6), N1-Ni1-N2 85.8(3), N1-Ni1-O1 178.1(3), N1-Ni1-O2 95.0(3), O1-Ni1-O2 84.3(2), O3-Dy1O4 54.7(2), O5-Dy1-O6 140.8(2), O5-Dy1-O10 105.6(2), O6-Dy1-O10 92.8(2), O7-Dy1-O8 52.9(2).


Figure 3.16 Solid state structure of 10, omitting hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules. Cut out of polymeric structure.

In contrast to compounds 6-9 the salen unit is almost planar. These rigid struts form a threedimensional porous network (3.16). The void space of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$, which is filled with DMSO and water, was calculated by PLATON to be $22.1 \%$. The size of the pores is thus estimated to be $8.4 \times 11.8 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$.

Treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$, aqueous NaOH , and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ with $\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ in the presence of DMF / water resulted in a compound formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Yb}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 1})$. Due to different solvent used for metalation leads to the different structural network compare to compound 10. Compound 11 was isolated as red crystals and characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques. The solid state structure was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.17).


Figure 3.17 Solid state structure of 11, omitting hydrogen atoms. Sodium atom is disordered; and selected bond lengths and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] are given for both position Na 1 A and Na 1 B : Ni-N1 1.843(5), Ni-O1 1.863(4), Yb-O3 2.208(5), Na1A-O1' 2.414(5), Na1B-O1' 2.365(7), Na1A-O2' 2.291(6), Na1B-O2' 2.187(7), Na1A-O4 2.186(11), Na1B-O4 2.795(13), O3-Yb1-O3' 80.20(2), O3-Yb1-O3" 180.0(3), O3-Yb-O3"' 99.80(2), N1-Ni-N1' 84.6(3), N1-Ni-O1 94.1(2), O1-Ni-O1' 87.2(2), O1-Na1A-O1' 64.3(2), O1-Na1B-O1' 65.8(3), O1-Na1A-O2 148.2(3), O1-Na1B-O2 167.5(3), O1-Na1A-O4 112.7(3), O1-Na1B-O4 95.5(3), O2-Na1A-O2' 85.6(3), O2-Na1B-O2' 90.8(4), O2'-Na1A-O4 98.1(3), O2'-Na1B-O4 84.6(3).

Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ crystallizes in the hexagonal space group $\mathrm{Pb}_{3} / m$ with one-sixth of the molecule in the asymmetric unit. The selected bond angles and bond lengths are given in the caption of Figure 3.17. The ytterbium ion is six-fold coordinated; ligated to six carboxylate oxygen atoms (Yb: O3, O3', O3", O3"', O3"'" and O3""') from six different $\mathrm{L}^{4-}$ anions. Thus, the coordination polyhedron can be best described as a distorted octahedron. The Yb-O bond length and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angle are $2.208(5) \AA$ and $80.20(2)-180.0(3)^{\circ}$, which are comparable
to those found in other reported $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes. ${ }^{[96],[97]}$ The sodium atom is five-fold coordinated with a distorted square pyramidal arrangement. ${ }^{[98],[99]}$ Sodium atom Na1 is coordinated to two phenoxy oxygen atoms ( O 1 and $\mathrm{O} 1^{\prime}$ ), two caboxylate oxygen atoms ( O 2 and O2') from two different $\mathrm{L}^{4-}$ anions and one oxygen atom ( O 4 ) from one water molecule. The Na-O bond lengths and O-Na-O bond angles range from $2.186(11) \AA$ to $2.795(13) \AA$ and $64.3(2)^{\circ}$ to $167.5(3)^{\circ}$, respectively. The Na 1 is disordered over two sites ( Na 1 A and Na 1 B ), both of which have site occupancy factors of 0.5 . The $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion is hosted in the inner N 2 O 2 compartment of $\mathrm{L}^{4-}$, resulting in a square planar arrangement with similar $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths (Ni-N $1.843(5) \AA$, Ni-O $1.863(4) \AA$ ). ${ }^{[100]}$ The two carboxylate groups of each Ni -salen unit exhibit the metal bridging mode: each carboxylate group is bound to the ytterbium ion as well as to sodium ion in a bridging mode. Thus, the network in compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is built from direct interaction among the carboxylate group of the Ni-salen unit with ytterbium ion, resulting in a 1D infinite structure (Figure 3.18). In fact, the Ni-salen unit acts as a linker. All the ytterbium ions and sodium ions in the chain are coplanar with the shortest $\mathrm{Yb} \cdots \mathrm{Na}$ distance being $5.461(12) \AA$. The distance between adjacent ytterbium atoms found in compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is $8.261(2) \AA$. Overall, the 1 D chains are interacting through week interchain $\pi \cdots \pi$ interactions of the Ni -salen units to create a 2D layer polymer (Figure 3.18). ${ }^{[101]}$ In contrast to compound $\mathbf{6}$ and 10, compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ shows a different structural motif due to less steric demand around the lanthanide ion when the carboxylate group adopts chelating mode rather than a bridging mode. The void space is calculated by the PLATON program to be 9.1\%.


Figure 3.18 Solid state structure of 11, omitting hydrogen atoms. Top: Shown is the 1D coordination polymeric structures. Bottom: Shown is the 2D layer polymer.

### 3.2.1.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis of Compounds 6-11

TGA measurements were performed for compounds 6-11 (Figure 3.19). At room temperature they retain their crystalline behavior for a couple of months. The TGA of complex $\mathbf{6}$ shows that eight of the lattice water molecules are lost in the temperature range of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $6.08 \%$, calcd $6.6 \%$ ), after which the compound is stable up to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The other guest solvent molecules (four DMF and two water molecules) are lost in the temperature range of
$160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $14.31 \%$, calcd $15.13 \%$ ). After the loss of guest solvent molecules, the network begins to decompose with a continuous weight loss up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA curve of complex 7 is different. The lattice water molecules are lost in the temperature range of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $6.97 \%$, calcd $7.88 \%$ ), after which the compound starts to decompose with continuous weight loss up to $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA of complex 8 shows that the lattice water molecules are lost in the temperature range of $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $6.55 \%$, calcd $7.88 \%$ ). The other four DMF lattice solvent molecules are lost in the temperature range of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $362^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $14.36 \%$, calcd $13.46 \%$ ). After the loss of guest solvent molecules, the network begins to decompose with a continuous weight loss up to $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound 9 shows a slightly different TGA curve. Eight lattice water molecules are lost in the temperature range of $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $6.52 \%$, calcd $6.6 \%$ ). The other two water molecules and the four DMF lattice solvent molecules are lost in the temperature range of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $326^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $13.44 \%$, calcd $14.98 \%$ ). After the loss of guest solvent molecules, the network begins to decompose with a continuous weight loss up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.19 TGA for $\mathbf{6 - 1 1}$ in temperature range of $25-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min under the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

A weight loss of $4.3 \%$ was observed in the temperature range of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which corresponds to the loss of the coordinated water molecules (calcd $5.27 \%$ ) in compound $\mathbf{1 0}$. After the initial weight loss, the compound is stable up to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The two DMSO molecules are lost in the temperature range of $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $19.38 \%$, calcd $18.87 \%$ ). Finally, the compound starts to decompose with continuous weight loss up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA curve of complex 11 shows that the three water molecules and one DMF molecule are lost in the temperature range of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $7.92 \%$, calcd $7.9 \%$ ). Then the organic ligands start to decompose and finally a weight loss was noted at $415^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $50.82 \%$, calcd $50.18 \%$ ) due to the loss of one equivalent of $\mathrm{Yb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, three equivalents of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and three equivalents of NiO .

### 3.2.1.2 Gas Adsorption of Compounds 6 and 9

The $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption / desorption profiles of compounds $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, determined by Prof. S. Kureti, refer to the isotherm type III associated with nonporous solids. As a consequence, the derived BET surface areas were found to be low, e.g. $2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ for compound 6 (Figure 3.20) and $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ for compound 9 (Figure 3.21). Obviously, the guest solvent molecules block the existing pores of the samples; hence, they are not accessible for the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorbate. The thermal removal of these solvent molecule leads to decomposition of the framework structure, as shown by the TGA investigations.


Figure $3.20 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ adsorption / desorption isotherm of compound 6 at $-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure $3.21 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ adsorption / desorption isotherm of compound 9 at $-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.1.3 Magnetic Properties of Compounds 6, 7 and 10

Magnetic studies for compounds 6, $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were performed by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. AK. Powell) using a SQUID magnetometer operating in the 1.8-300 K temperature range with applied magnetic field of 0.1 T . To facilitate comparison with the dimeric moiety in $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, the molar susceptibility data for $\mathbf{1 0}$ was calculated using a doubled formula weight, $1655.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, corresponding to the molecule containing the building block, $\left[(\mathrm{Dy})_{2}(\mu\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{2}\right]$. Looking at the structures, the two $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ ions in each building unit are bridged by carboxylates, and pairs of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ ions are well isolated by diamagnetic metalloligand linkers. Consequently, the magnetic properties of the frameworks can essentially be ascribed to each building unit itself. The temperature dependence of susceptibility for compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ shows similar thermal evolution indicating a similarity in their static properties. Upon cooling from room temperature, the $\chi T$ product of $\mathbf{6}$, 7 and $\mathbf{1 0}$ monotonically decreases from a value of $23.66,15.98$ and $26.93 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, which compares well to two non-interacting $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ ions, ${ }^{[102]}$ to a minimum of 14.17, 5.18 and $19.31 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K , respectively (Figure 3.22 ). This behavior suggests the presence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions between the $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ ions, but the decrease in $\chi T$ probably partially results also from anisotropic effects arising from the $\operatorname{Ln}($ III ) centers and from the thermal depopulation of the $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ excited states, as often seen in anisotropic lanthanidebased complexes.


Figure 3.22 Temperature dependence of the $\chi T$ product for compounds $\mathbf{6 , 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ at 0.1 T (with $\chi$ being the molar susceptibility defined as $M / H$ ).

The field dependence of magnetization measurements at low temperatures reveals that the magnetization has a relatively rapid increase below 1 T and then follows a weak linear increase to reach a value of 11.2, 7.3 and $10.7 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 7 T for $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 3.23).


Figure 3.23 Field dependence of the magnetization from 2-5 K for $\mathbf{6}$ (top, left), $\mathbf{7}$ (top, right) and $\mathbf{1 0}$ (bottom, center) respectively.


Figure 3.24 Temperature dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) components of ac magnetic susceptibility at indicated frequencies for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ under zero dc field.

This behavior indicates the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or the lack of a well-defined ground state, suggesting the presence of low-lying excited states that might be populated when a field is applied. The magnetic relaxation of compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were tested using ac susceptibility measurements under a zero dc field. We found that only compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ exhibits a non-zero frequency dependence of out-of-phase components below 12 K , indicating slow relaxation of its magnetization under these conditions (Figure 3.24). However, the energy barrier for spin-reversal in magnetization can not be determined from this set of data because the maxima of the $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ peaks are out of the available ranges on the SQUID (lowest temperature is 1.8 K and highest frequency is 1500 Hz ). The barrier for the reversal of the magnetization is undoubtedly generated by the presence of magnetic anisotropy of Dy(III) ions, as often observed in many such types of compounds, but it is impossible to discuss it more based on the obtained data. ${ }^{[103]}$

In conclusion, well defined salen-nickel complexes as a metalloligand in lanthanide-based MOFs have been introduced. By using this strategy, unique structures were obtained, in which the Ni-salen unit acts as flexible strut. The shape of the network is strongly influenced by the ionic radius of the lanthanide element. Magnetic susceptibility measurements under zero dc field showed that the nickel-dysprosium compound exhibits a non-zero frequency dependence of out-of-phase components below 12 K , indicating slow relaxation of its magnetization under these conditions. The presented strategy now offers a new area to prepare new MOF, in which the 3 d metals as well as 4 f metals can be varied over a wide range.

### 3.2.2 Manganese and Lanthanide Based MOFs

The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}$, Dy) and $6(\mathrm{~Tb}))$, in the presence of DMF / pyridine resulted in crystalline materials formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Nd}(12), \mathrm{Eu}(13), \mathrm{Gd}(14), \mathrm{Tb}(15)$ and Dy (16)) (Scheme 3.4)


Scheme 3.4 Synthetic scheme of compounds 12-16.

Compounds 12-16 have been characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques and the solid state structures were established by single X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.25). The IR spectrum of compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 6}$ show the asymmetric and symmetric stretching bands of the carboxylate groups at around 1593, 1476 and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 12; 1645, 1476 and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13; 1600,1464 and $1402 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 4}$; 1617, 1472 and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 5}$; and 1616, 1470 and $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 16. The differences between asymmetric and symmetric stretching bands are 210 and $157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 12; 259 and $169 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13; 198 and $136 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 4} ; 241$ and $145 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 15; and 212 and $146 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 16. This finding indicates that the carboxylate group coordinates to the metal atom in a bridging fashion. The absence of a characteristic absorption band around $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the complete deprotonation of the salen ligands and coordination to the metal ions. Moreover, characteristic stretching vibration bands $\left(v_{1}-v_{4}\right)$ of the nitrate group are observed (experimental section) in compounds 12-16, and the difference in wave number between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is about $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating that the nitrate group coordinates to the metal in a bidentate chelating mode. Compounds 12-16 are insoluble in common solvents; thus, no NMR data could be acquired.

Suitable crystals of 12-16 were measured by single X-ray diffraction. Compounds 12-16 crystallize in the triclinic space group $P-1$. The selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in the caption of Figure 3.26. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 6}$ are isostructural; thus, only the structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ is presented in detail. In the asymmetric unit, two gadolinium ions ( Gd 1 and Gd 2 ), two Mn -salen units ([(Mn1LCl1)(DMF)] and [(Mn2LC12)]), two nitrate groups, five coordinating DMF molecules and four non-coordinating DMF molecules are found (Figure 3.25). Gd1 is ligated to eight oxygen atoms: four oxygen atoms (O5, O6', O9 and O10') from $\mu_{2}$ bidentate bridiging carboxylate groups of different Mn-Salen unit, two oxygen atoms (O14 and O15) from one nitrate group and two oxygen atoms ( O 20 and O 21 ) from DMF molecules. The coordination geometry around the Gd1 ion can be described as a distorted square antiprism. The adjacent gadolinium ions ( Gd 1 and Gd 1 ') are associated via four $\mu_{2}$ bidentate bridiging carboxylate groups of different Mn -salen units to create the paddlewheel unit I . Gd2 is coordinated by five carboxylate oxygen atoms (O7, O8', O11, O11', O12) of four different Mn-salen unints via the $\mu_{2}$ bidentate as well as $\mu_{3}$ tridentate binding mode of carboxylate groups, two oxygen atoms (O17 and O18) from nitrate group and two oxygen atoms (O22 and O23) from two DMF molecules. The coordination polyhedron of Gd2 can be described as a distorted tricapped trigonal prism. This Gd2 ion again creates a paddlewheel unit II with the adjacent gadolinium ion. The Gd-O bond lengths and O-Gd-O bond angles range from 2.278(2) $\AA$ to 2.798(2) $\AA$ and $49.37(6)^{\circ}$ to $154.13(6)^{\circ}$, respectively. These bond lengths and bond angles around the lanthanide ions are comparable with the reported values in the lanthanide polymer. ${ }^{[104],[105]}$ In the Mn-salen unit [(Mn1LCl1)(DMF)], the manganese ion adopts a distorted octahedral enviorment that is built by ONNO atoms of the salen ligand, one chloride ion and one DMF molecule. The chloride ion and DMF molecule occupy the apical position with Mn1-C11 and Mn1-O13 bond lengths of $2.521(12) \AA$ and $2.323(2) \AA$, respectively. The ONNO atoms of the salen ligand form an equatorial plane around the Mn 1 atom with $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths of $1.996(2) \AA$ to $1.998(2) \AA$ and $1.882(2) \AA$ to $1.897(2) \AA$, respectively. The Mn1 atom and the equatorial plane $(\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2$, and O 2$)$ are coplanar with a mean deviation from the plane of $0.095 \AA$. In contrast to Mn1, Mn2 is bonded to donor ONNO atoms of the salen ligand and one chloride ion. Thus, the Mn2 ion is five-fold coordinated in the Mn-salen unit [(Mn2LCl2) with square bypyramidal geometry. The equatorial plane around the Mn2 is built from two phenoxy oxygen atoms and two imine nitrogen atoms of the salen ligand ( $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ and Mn-O equatorial bond lengths are 1.984(2) $\AA, 1.993(2) \AA, 1.866(2) \AA$ and 1.871(2) $\AA$, respectively). The apical position is occupied by a chloride ion with a Mn2-C12 bond length
of $2.375(11) \AA$. The Mn 2 atom and the equatorial plane ( $\mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{~N} 3, \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{O} 4)$ are coplanar with a mean deviation of $0.307 \AA$ from the plane; thus, Mn2 is further way from the plane with respect to Mn 1 . The dihedral angle between the two planes (around Mn1 and around Mn2) is $87.50^{\circ}$. The carboxylate groups of the Mn-salen units display two kind of metal bridging modes: (1) $\mu_{2}$ bidentate bridging mode where two oxygen atoms of one carboxylate group bind to two gadolinium atoms, and (2) $\mu_{3}$ tridentate binding mode, in which one oxygen atom binds to two gadolinium ion and other oxygen atom binds to only one gadolinium ion. The latter kind of binding mode is reported by Ouchi et al. ${ }^{[106]}$

The network in compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ is built from direct interaction among the salen units and gadolinium ions, resulting in a 1D chain along the $a$ axis containing alternating paddlewheel units I and II (Figure 3.25). These 1D chains further interact via weak $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction to create a 2D network (Figure 3.27). The solvent accessible void space in compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ calculated by the PLATON program is $27.9 \%$. The guest DMF molecules occupy the void space, resulting in a microporous framework.



Figure 3.25 Solid state structures of 14, omitting hydrogen atoms. Top: asymmetric unit. Bottom: shown is the paddlewheel unit. Compounds 12-16 are isostructrual.


Figure 3.26: Coordination arrangements of dysprosium dimers of compound 14. Compounds 12-16 are isostructrual. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ :

12: Mn1-N1 1.998(4), Mn1-N2 1.997(4), Mn1-O1 1.881(3), Mn1-O2 1.901(3), Mn1-O13 2.327(4), Mn1-Cl1 2.524(2), Mn2-Cl2 2.375(2), Nd1-O5 2.438(4), Nd1-O6' 2.340(4), Nd1-O9 2.325(3), Nd1-O10' 2.440 (3), Nd1O14 2.656(4), Nd1-O15 2.562(4), Nd1-O20 2.448(4), Nd1-O21 2.433(4), Nd2-O7 2.378(3), Nd2-O8' 2.430(3), Nd2-O11 2.778(3), Nd2-O11' 2.412(3), Nd2-O12 2.499(3), Nd2-O17 2.608(4), Nd2-O18 2.556(3), Nd2-O22 2.400(3), Nd2-O23 2.504(4), N1-Mn1-N2 81.35(15), N1-Mn1-O1 90.35(14), N1-Mn1-O2 170.1(2), N1-Mn1Cl1 93.60(12), N2-Mn1-O13 86.6(2), O1-Mn1-O2 95.18(13), O1-Mn1-O13 90.42(15), O1-Mn1-Cl1 93.35(11), O13-Mn1-Cl1 176.20(11), N3-Mn2-Cl2 95.54(12), O3-Mn2-Cl2 98.84(13), O5-Nd1-O10' 83.03(14), O6'-Nd1O10' 71.15(14), O9-Nd1-O10' 125.79(14), O10'-Nd1-O20 147.46(14), O10'-Nd1-O21 73.05(14), O14-Nd1-O15 48.98(13), O20-Nd1-O21 75.46(15), O7-Nd2-O12 73.33(11), O11-Nd2-O12 49.21(9), O11'-Nd2-O12 124.40(10), O12-Nd2-O22 143.09(12), O12-Nd2-O23 124.35(12), O17-Nd2-O18 49.42(12), O22-Nd2-O23 72.14(12).

13: Mn1-N1 1.997(3), Mn1-N2 1.993(3), Mn1-O1 1.881(2), Mn1-O2 1.894(2), Mn1-O13 2.307(3), Mn1-C11 2.524(13), Mn2-C12 2.374(12), Eu1-O5 2.386(2), Eu1-O6' 2.303(3), Eu1-O9 2.285(3), Eu1-O10' 2.390(3), Eu1O14 2.617(3), Eul-O15 2.515(3), Eu1-O20 2.394(3), Eul-O21 2.379(3), Eu2-O7 2.330 (2), Eu2-O8' 2.381(3), Eu2-O11 2.765(2), Eu2-O11' 2.359(2), Eu2-O12 2.444(3), Eu2-O17 2.576(3), Eu2-O18 2.503(3), Eu2-O22 2.351(3), Eu2-O23 2.469(3), N1-Mn2-N2 81.49(11), N1-Mn1-O1 90.27(11), N1-Mn1-O2 170.61(11), N1-Mn1Cl1 92.90(9), N2-Mn1-O13 86.44(12), O1-Mn1-O2 95.17(10), O1-Mn1-O13 90.71(11), O13-Mn1-Cl1 176.05(8), O1-Mn1-Cl1 93.24(9), N3-Mn2-C12 95.38(9), O3-Mn2-C12 98.92(9), O5-Eu1-O10' 82.25(10), O6'-Eu1-O10' 71.79(10), O9-Eu1-O10' 125.12(11), O10'-Eu1-O20 147.51(10), O10'-Eu1-O21 73.07(11), O14-Eu1O15 49.70(9), O20-Eu1-O21 75.65(11), O7-Eu2-O12 73.31(9), O11-Eu2-O12 49.65(7), O11'-Eu2-O12 124.72(8), O12-Eu2-O22 143.01(9), O12-Eu2-O23 124.79(9), O17-Eu2-O18 50.33(9), O22-Eu2-O23 71.93(9).

14: Mn1-N1 1.998(2), Mn1-N2 1.996(2), Mn1-O1 1.882(2), Mn1-O2 1.897(2), Mn1-O13 2.323(2), Mn1-Cl1 2.521(12), Mn2-Cl2 2.375(11), Gd1-O5 2.375(2), Gd1-O6' 2.298(2), Gd1-O9 2.278(2), Gd1-O10' 2.381(2), Gd1-O14 2.617(3), Gd1-O15 2.498(2), Gd1-O20 2.393(2), Gd1-O21 2.378(2), Gd2-O7 2.330(2), Gd2-O8' 2.369(2), Gd2-O11 2.798(2), Gd2-O11' 2.340(2), Gd2-O12 2.429(2), Gd2-O17 2.574(2), Gd2-O18 2.499(2), Gd2-O22 2.342(2), Gd2-O23 2.469(2), N1-Mn1-N2 81.51(9), N1-Mn1-O1 90.23(9), N1-Mn1-O2 170.11(9), N1-Mn1-Cl1 93.41(7), N2-Mn1-O13 86.37(10), O1-Mn1-O2 95.33(8), O1-Mn1-O13 90.53(9), O1-Mn1-Cl1 93.50(7), O13-Mn1-Cl1 175.95(7), N3-Mn2-Cl2 95.63(8), O3-Mn2-Cl2 99.13(8), O5-Gd1-O10' 82.13(9), O6'-Gd1-O10' 72.06(9), O9-Gd1-O10' 125.06(9), O10'-Gd1-O20 147.60(8), O10'-Gd1-O21 72.88(8), O14-Gd1-O15 49.82(8), O20-Gd1-O21 75.98(9), O7-Gd2-O12 74.07(7), O11-Gd2-O12 49.34(6), O11'-Tb2-O12 124.83(7), O12-Gd2-O22 142.81(7), O12-Gd2-O23 125.90(7), O17-Gd2-O18 50.29(8), O22-Gd2-O23 71.67(7). (See next page for compound 15).

15: Mn1-N1 1.998(4), Mn1-N2 1.999(4), Mn1-O1 1.881(3), Mn1-O2 1.900(4), Mn1-O13 2.313(4), Mn1-Cl1 2.524(2), Mn2-Cl2 2.374(2), Tb1-O5 2.355(3), Tb1-O6' 2.272(3), Tb1-O9 2.258(4), Tb1-O10' 2.361(4), Tb1O14 2.597(4), Tb1-O15 2.475(4), Tb1-O20 2.365(4), Tb1-O21 2.354(4), Tb2-O7 2.314(3), Tb2-O8' 2.347(3), Tb2-O11 2.784(3), Tb2-O11' 2.319(4), Tb2-O12 2.423(4), Tb2-O17 2.552(4), Tb2-O18 2.478(4), Tb2-O22 $2.316(3), \mathrm{Tb} 2-\mathrm{O} 23$ 2.451(4), N1-Mn1-N2 81.6(2), N1-Mn1-O1 90.37(15), N1-Mn1-O2 170.5(2), N1-Mn1-Cl1 $92.95(14), \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 13$ 86.4(2), O1-Mn1-O2 95.15(14), O1-Mn1-O13 90.6(2), O1-Mn1-Cl1 93.32(12), O13-Mn1-Cl1 176.02(11), N3-Mn2-Cl2 95.42(12), O3-Mn2-Cl2 99.16(13), O5-Tb1-O10' 82.17(14), O6'-Tb1-O10' $72.26(14), \mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{Tb} 1-\mathrm{O} 10^{\prime} 124.80(15)$, O 10 '-Tb1-O20 147.53(15), O10'-Tb1-O21 72.92(15), O14-Tb1-O15 49.93(14), O20-Tb1-O21 75.9(2), O7-Tb2-O12 73.39(12), O11-Tb2-O12 49.67(11), O11'-Tb2-O12 125.00(11), O12-Tb2-O23 125.60(13), O12-Tb2-O22 142.87(12), O17-Tb2-O18 50.88(13), O22-Tb2-O23 71.82(13).

16: Mn1-N1 2.000(5), Mn1-N2 1.995(5), Mn1-O1 1.883(4), Mn1-O2 1.900(4), Mn1-O13 2.326(5), Mn1-Cl1 2.523(2), Mn2-Cl2 2.378(2), Dy1-O5 2.352(5), Dy1-O6' 2.265(5), Dy1-O9 2.245(5), Dy1-O10' 2.360(5), Dy1O14 2.606(6), Dy1-O15 2.467(5), Dy1-O20 2.363(5), Dy1-O21 2.353(6), Dy2-O7 2.301(4), Dy2-O8' 2.341(5), Dy2-O11 2.813(4), Dy2-O11' 2.299(4), Dy2-O12 2.395(4), Dy2-O17 2.554(5), Dy2-O18 2.466(5), Dy2-O22 $2.313(5)$, Dy2-O23 2.452(5), N1-Mn1-N2 81.7(2), N1-Mn1-O1 90.2(3), N1-Mn1-O2 170.2(2), N1-Mn1-Cl1 93.5(2), N2-Mn1-O13 86.2(2), O1-Mn1-O2 95.1(2), O1-Mn1-O13 90.9(2), O1-Mn1-Cl1 93.28(15), O13-Mn1Cl1 175.86(14), N3-Mn2-Cl2 95.4(2), O3-Mn2-Cl2 99.3(2), O5-Dy1-O10' 81.7(2), O6'-Dy1-O10' 73.0(2), O9-Dy1-O10' 126.0(2), O10'-Dy1-O20 147.3(2), O10'-Dy1-O21 72.3(2), O14-Dy1-O15 50.1(2), O20-Dy1-O21 76.2(2), O7-Dy2-O12 74.6(2), O11-Dy2-O12 49.30(12), O11'-Dy2-O12 124.96(15), O12-Dy2-O22 142.4(2), O12-Dy2-O23 127.5(2), O17-Dy2-O18 50.2(2), O22-Dy2-O23 71.4(2).


Figure 3.27 Solid state structures of 14, omitting hydrogen atoms. Cut out of the polymeric structure. Compounds 12-16 are isostructrual.

### 3.2.2.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis of Compounds 12-16

Compounds 12-16 were investigated by TGA measurments (Figure 3.28). In compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ there is a weight loss around $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $18.3 \%$, calcd $18.69 \%$ ) arising from the lose of five

DMF molecules, followed by the loss of three DMF molecules in the temperature range of $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $11.23 \%$, calcd $11.21 \%$ ). The remaing DMF molecule and the organic linker start to decompose with a continuous weight loss up to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA of $\mathbf{1 3}$ shows that the four non-coordinated DMF molecules of the lattice are lost in the temperature range of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $14.13 \%$, calcd $14.83 \%$ ). The five coordinated DMF molecules in complex 13 are lost in the temperature range of $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $19.52 \%$, calcd $18.54 \%$ ). In the case of $\mathbf{1 4}$, a weight loss is found due to the release of five DMF molecules in the temperature range of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $18.71 \%$, calcd $18.44 \%$ ), and the remaing four DMF molecules are lost in the temperature range of $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $322^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $14.27 \%$, calcd $14.27 \%)$. Finally, the network decomposes at a temperature of $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.28 TGA for compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 6}$ in temperature range of 20 to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

The weight loss of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ is different from the others. First, one DMF molecule is lost at a temperature of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $4.17 \%$, calcd $3.68 \%$ ), and then seven more DMF molecules are lost in the temperature range of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $364^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $24.97 \%$, calcd $25.75 \%$ ). Finally, the remaining DMF molecule and the organic frameworks begin to decompose with continuous weight loss up to $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For complex 16, a weight loss is observed from $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is attributed to the loss of five DMF molecules, a weight loss of $14.88 \%$
(calcd $14.82 \%$ ). The remaining four DMF molecules decompose in the temperature range of $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $346^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the frameworks collapses at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In summary, a series of manganese-lanthanide MOFs have been synthesized by solvothermal reactions. Compounds 12-16 represent infine 1D chains, which, further extended, result in 2D frameworks in the solid state. Notably, the two different metalloligand systems are connected to trivalent lanthanides to form an infinite chain structure. The guest solvent molecules occupy the void space in the framework. The TGA analysis revels that compounds 12-16 are robust. A heterogeneous catalytic study of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ is in process.

### 3.2.3 Iron and Lanthanide Based MOFs

The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Tb}))$ in the presence of DMF / pyridine under solvothermal conditions results in the isolation of compounds formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{1 7}), \mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1 8}), \mathrm{Gd}$ (19), Tb (20) and Dy (21)) (Scheme 3.5).

$m=5(\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{~Tb})$
$\operatorname{Ln}=Y(17), \mathrm{Eu}(18), \mathrm{Gd}(19), \mathrm{Tb}(20)$ and Dy (21)
Scheme 3.5 Synthetic scheme of compounds 17-21.

All compounds were obtained as red crystalline material and characterized by standard analytical and spectroscopic techniques. In the IR spectra, the asymmetric and symmetric stretching bands for carboxylate groups are observed at around 1614,1477 and $1403 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 17; 1597, 1462 and $1401 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 18; 1600, 1475 and $1402 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for compound 19; 1611, 1480 and $1385 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 0}$; and 1616,1475 and $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 1}$. The differences between
asymmetric and symmetric stretching bands, 211 and $74 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 7} ; 196$ and $61 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 8}$; 198 and $73 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 19; 226 and $95 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 20; 212 and $71 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 21, indicate that the carboxylate group is coordinated to the metal ions through a bridging as well as a chelating fashion. The absence of a characteristic absorption band in the range of $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the complete deprotonation of the salen ligands and coordination to metal ions. Moreover, the characteristic stretching vibrations bands ( $v_{1}$ to $v_{4}$ ) of the nitrate group are observed (experimental section) in compounds 17-21, and the difference in the wave number between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is about $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating that the nitrate group is coordinating to the metal ion in a bidentate chelating mode.

The solid state structures were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.29). Compounds 17-21 crystallize in the triclinic space group $P-1$. They are isostructural; thus, only the structure of $\mathbf{2 1}$ will be described in detail. Selected bond length and bond angles are listed in the caption of Figure 3.30. The asymmetric unit of compound 21 contains two dysprosium ions (Dy1 and Dy2), two different Fe-salen units ((Fe1LCl1)(DMF)) and (Fe2LCl2)), two nitrate groups, four coordinating DMF molecules, and five non-coordinating DMF molecules. Two neighboring dysprosium ions (Dy1and Dy1') are connected together via four carboxylate groups from four different Fe -salen units to form the paddlewheel unit I (Figure 3.29). Each Dy1 is nine-fold coordinated; they are ligated to four carboxylate groups from four different Fe-salen units, one nitrate group and two molecules of DMF. The coordination polyhedron of Dy 1 can be described as a distorted tricapped trigonal prism. Dy2 is connected via four $\mu_{2}$ bidantate carboxylate groups from four different Fe-salen units to form the paddlewheel unit II (Figure 3.29). In contrast to Dy1, Dy2 is ligated to eight oxygen atoms: four oxygen atoms (O5, O6', O9 and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathbf{'}^{\prime}$ ) from $\mu_{2}$ bidentate bridiging carboxylate groups of the Fe-salen unit, two oxygen atoms (O14 and O15) from one nitrate group and two oxygen atoms (O20 and O21) from two DMF molecules. This polyhedron can be described as distorted square antiprism. The Dy-Dy distances in the paddlewheel units I and II are $4.0496(9) \AA$ and $4.1996(10) \AA$, respectively. The Dy-O bond distances are in the range of $2.280(5) \AA$ to $2.860(5) \AA$, which is comparable to reported dysprosium coordination polymers. ${ }^{[107],[108],[109]}$ In the first Fe-salen unit ((Fe1LCl1)(DMF)), Fe1 is coordinated to the salen ligand, one chloride ion and one DMF molecule, resulting in a distorted octahedral geometry with the chloride ion and the oxygen atom of the DMF molecule in apical positions. ${ }^{[110]}$ In the second Fe -salen unit (Fe2LCl2), Fe 2 adopts a square-pyramidal geometry with a chloride ion in the apical position. The Fe-N and Fe-O bond lengths range from $2.078(5) \AA$ to $2.120(7) \AA$ and $1.876(5) \AA$ to $1.910(5) \AA$, respectively, which is common for
the Fe-salen unit. ${ }^{[111]}$ The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances in compound 21 are 2.368(2) $\AA$ and 2.231(3) $\AA$ for Fe 1 and Fe 2 , respectively. Connecting the asymmetric units results in a 1D chain along $a$ axis having alternating paddlewheel units I and II (Figure 3.29). Carefully examining the structure of compound 21, we found that the Fe-salen units act as a linker to form the infinite chain, and this linker is not likely to be rigid. This 1D chain also interacts with neighboring 1D chains via $\pi-\pi$ interactions, resulting in a 2 D porous structure that possesses large free void space (Figure 3.31). The solvent DMF molecules occupy the void space. The total potential solvent accessible void volume of compound 21 calculated by PLATON is $28 \%$.


Figure 3.29 Solid state structures of 21, omitting hydrogen atoms. Top: asymmetric unit. Bottom: Paddlewheel unit. Compounds 17-21 are isostructrual.


Figure 3.30 Solid state structures of 21, shown is the coordination arrangements of dysprosium dimers, omitting hydrogen atoms. Compounds $\mathbf{1 7 - 2 1}$ are isostructrual. Selected bond lengths $[\AA \AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ :

17: Fe1-N1 2.114(6), Fe1-N2 2.113(5), Fe1-O1 1.908(5), Fe1-O2 1.897(4), Fe1-O13 2.144(5), Fe1-Cl1 2.365(2), Fe2-Cl2 2.225(3), Y1-O7 2.262(5), Y1-O8 '2.314(5), Y1-O11 2.892(5), Y1-O11' 2.264(5), Y1-O12 2.372(5), Y1-O17 2.548(6), Y1-O18 2.450(6), Y1-O22 2.289(6), Y1-O23 2.418(5), Y2-O5 2.334(4), Y2-O6' $2.266(5), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 92.265(4), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 10$ ' $2.327(5), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 142.582(5), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 152.426(5), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 202.373(5), \mathrm{Y} 2-\mathrm{O} 21$ 2.337(6), N1-Fe1-N2 77.5(2), N1-Fe1-O1 89.4(2), N1-Fe1-O2 164.4(2), N1-Fe1-Cl1 90.3(2), N2-Fe1-O13 84.70(2), O1-Fe1-O13 84.7(2), O1-Fe1-Cl1 96.8(2), O13-Fe1-Cl1 174.86(15), N3-Fe2-Cl2 97.8(2), O3-Fe2-Cl2 $111.6(2), \mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{Y} 1-\mathrm{O} 8^{\prime} 129.8(2)$, O7-Y1-O11 65.92(15), O7-Y1-O11' 76.3(2), O7-Y1-O12 75.4(2), O7-Y1-O17 133.3(2), O7-Y1-O18 91.3(2), O7-Y1-O22 140.2(2), O7-Y1-O23 72.4(2), O11'-Y1-O12 125.2(2), O17-Y1-O18 51.4(2), O22-Y1-O23 70.8(2), O5-Y2-O6' 124.1(2), O6'-Y2-O9 77.3(2), O6'-Y2-O20 138.0(2), O6'-Y2-O21 139.0(2), O9-Y2-O10' 130.1(2), O14-Y2-O15 50.4(2).

18: Fe1-N1 2.119(3), Fe1-N2 2.126(4), Fe1-O1 1.907(3), Fe1-O2 1.909(3), Fe1-O13 2.166(3), Fe1-Cl1 2.367(15), Fe2-Cl2 2.232(2), Eu1-O7 2.328(3), Eu1-O8' 2.385(3), Eu1-O11 2.836(3), Eu1-O11' 2.348(3), Eu1O12 2.427(3), Eu1-O17 2.591(4), Eu1-O18 2.506(4), Eu1-O22 2.348(3), Eu1-O23 2.481(3), Eu2-O5 2.400(3), Eu2-O6' 2.340(3), Eu2-O9 2.313(3), Eu2-O10' 2.388(3), Eu2-O14 2.620(3), Eu2-O15 2.495(3), Eu2-O20 2.429(3), Eu2-O21 2.409(4), N1-Fe1-N2 77.08(13), N1-Fe1-O1 87.60(13), N1-Fe1-O2 163.04(14), N1-Fe1-Cl1 93.32(10), N2-Fe1-O13 85.44(14), O1-Fe1-O13 90.81(14), O1-Fe1-Cl1 93.58(10), O13-Fe1-Cl1 174.92(11), N3-Fe2-Cl2 100.60(12), O3-Fe2-Cl2 105.37(13), O7-Eu1-O8' 130.69(10), O7-Eu1-O11 66.04(9), O7-Eu1-O11' 75.26(10), O7-Eu1-O12 74.85(10), O7-Eu1-O17 133.70(11), O7-Eu1-O18 94.05(13), O7-Eu1-O22 140.47(10), O7-Eu1-O23 72.36(10), O11'-Eu1-O12 124.95(10), O17-Eu1-O18 49.92(12), O22-Eu1-O23 71.47(11), O5-Eu2O6' 123.85(11), O6'-Eu2-O9 76.44(12), O6'-Eu2-O20 137.70(13), O6'-Eu2-O21 139.31(12), O9-Eu2-O10' 130.93(10), O14-Eu2-O15 49.64(12).

19: Fe1-N1 2.109(4), Fe1-N2 2.129(4), Fe1-O1 1.905(3), Fe1-O2 1.908(3), Fe1-O13 2.174(4), Fe1-Cl1 2.367(2), Fe2-Cl2 2.232(2), Gd1-O7 2.322(3), Gd1-O8' 2.378(3), Gd1-O11 2.848(3), Gd1-O11' 2.329(3), Gd1O12 2.414(3), Gd1-O17 2.588(4), Gd1-O18 2.492(4), Gd1-O22 2.340(4), Gd1-O23 2.466(4), Gd2-O5 2.390(3), Gd2-O6' 2.326(4), Gd2-O9 2.307(3), Gd2-O10' 2.380(3), Gd2-O14 2.621(4), Gd2-O15 2.489(4), Gd2-O20 2.420(4), Gd2-O21 2.389(4), N1-Fe1-N2 77.35(15), N1-Fe1-O1 87.34(14), N1-Fe1-O2 162.88(15), N1-Fe1-Cl1 $93.35(12)$, N2-Fe1-O13 84.9(2), O1-Fe1-O13 90.77 (15), O1-Fe1-Cl1 93.66(11), O13-Fe1-Cl1 174.88(11), N3-Fe2-Cl2 100.72(13), O3-Fe2-Cl2 105.60(14), O7-Gd1-O8' 130.20(11), O7-Gd1-O11 65.54(11), O7-Gd1-O11' $75.56(12)$, O7-Gd1-O12 74.71(12), O7-Gd1-O17 133.71(13), O7-Gd1-O18 93.93(14), O7-Gd1-O22 140.67(13), O7-Gd1-O23 72.40(12), O11'-Gd1-O12 125.00(11), O17-Gd1-O18 49.06(15), O22-Gd1-O23 71.59(13), O5-Gd2-O6' 124.11(13), O6'-Gd2-O9 77.19(13), O6'-Gd2-O20 137.43(15), O6'-Gd2-O21 139.05(15), O9-Gd2-O10' 130.64(12), O14-Gd2-O15 49.80(14). (See next page for compound 20)

20: Fe1-N1 2.116(3), Fe1-N2 2.125(4), Fe1-O1 1.907(3), Fe1-O2 1.907(3), Fe1-O13 2.168(4), Fe1-Cl1 2.366(2), Fe2-Cl2 2.232(2), Tb1-O7 2.305(3), Tb1-O8' 2.353(3), Tb1-O11 2.870(3), Tb1-O11' 2.308(3), Tb1O12 2.400(3), Tb1-O17 2.573(4), Tb1-O18 2.478(4), Tb1-O22 2.323(3), Tb1-O23 2.460(3), Tb2-O5 2.367(3), Tb2-O6' 2.304(3), Tb2-O9 2.289(3), Tb2-O10' 2.370(3), Tb2-O14 2.607(4), Tb2-O15 2.470(4), Tb2-O20 $2.394(4)$, Tb2-O21 2.370(4), N1-Fe1-N2 77.16(14), N1-Fe1-O1 87.43(13), N1-Fe1-O2 163.14(14), N1-Fe1-Cl1 93.34(11), N2-Fe1-O13 84.86(15), O1-Fe1-O13 90.70(14), O1-Fe1-Cl1 93.66(10), O13-Fe1-Cl1 174.89(11), N3-Fe2-Cl2 100.99(12), O3-Fe2-Cl2 105.77(14), O7-Tb1-O8' 130.26(11), O7-Tb1-O11 65.80(10), O7-Tb1-O11' $75.88(11), \mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{Tb} 1-\mathrm{O} 12$ 75.13(11), O7-Tb1-O17 133.43(12), O7-Tb1-O18 93.02(14), O7-Tb1-O22 140.60(11), O7-Tb1-O23 72.28(11), O11'-Tb1-O12 125.27(11), O17-Tb1-O18 50.12(14), O22-Tb1-O23 71.51(12), O5-Tb2O6' 123.93(12), O6'-Tb2-O9 77.36(13), O6'-Tb2-O20 137.27(14), O6'-Tb2-O21 139.37(13), O9-Tb2-O10' 130.36(11), O14-Tb2-O15 50.22(13).

21: Fe1-N1 2.109(6), Fe1-N2 2.120(7), Fe1-O1 1.898(6), Fe1-O2 1.910(5), Fe1-O13 2.154(6), Fe1-Cl1 2.368(2), Fe2-Cl2 2.231(3), Dy1-O7 2.290(5), Dy1-O8' 2.348(5), Dy1-O11 2.860(5), Dy1-O11' 2.288(5), Dy1O12 2.393(5), Dy1-O17 2.571(6), Dy1-O18 2.460(6), Dy1-O22 2.309(5), Dy1-O23 2.445(5), Dy2-O5 2.362(5), Dy2-O6' 2.287(5), Dy2-O9 2.280(5), Dy2-O10' 2.351(5), Dy2-O14 2.604(6), Dy2-O15 2.462(6), Dy2-O20 2.384(6), Dy2-O21 2.354(6), N1-Fe1-N2 77.3(2), N1-Fe1-O1 87.5(2), N1-Fe1-O2 163.6(2), N1-Fe1-Cl1 92.9(2), N2-Fe1-O13 85.4(2), O1-Fe1-O13 90.2(2), O1-Fe1-Cl1 93.8(2), O13-Fe1-Cl1 175.3(2), N3-Fe2-Cl2 100.3(2), O3-Fe2-Cl2 105.7(2), O7-Dy1-O8' 129.6(2), O7-Dy1-O11 65.1(2), O7-Dy1-O11' 76.1(2), O7-Dy1O12 74.5(2), O7-Dy1-O17 133.7(2), O7-Dy1-O18 93.9(2), O7-Dy1-O22 141.2(2), O7-Dy1-O23 72.3(2), O11'-Dy1-O12 125.5(2), O17-Dy1-O18 50.8(2), O22-Dy1-O23 72.1(2), O5-Dy2-O6' 123.5(2), O6'-Dy2-O9 77.3(2), O6'-Dy2-O20 137.2 (2), O6'-Dy2-O21 138.5(2), O9-Dy2-O10' 129.1(2), O14-Dy2-O15 50.5(2).


Figure 3.31 Solid state structure of 21, omitting hydrogen atoms and guest solvent molecules. Cut out of the polymeric structure. Compounds 17-21 are isostructrual.

### 3.2.3.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis of Compounds 17-21

The TGA of compounds $\mathbf{1 7 - 2 1}$ are depicted in Figure 3.32. The TGA of complex $\mathbf{1 7}$ shows that five DMF molecules are lost in the temperature range of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $19.93 \%$, calcd $19.79 \%$ ). The remaining four DMF molecules are lost in the temperature range of $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $312^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $14.94 \%$, calcd $15.83 \%$ ). The framework begins to decompose with a continuous weight loss up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ shows a weight loss of $14.88 \%$ around $128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to the release of four non-coordinated DMF molecules (calcd $14.82 \%$ ); one coordinated DMF molecule is lost around $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $4.31 \%$, calcd $3.70 \%$ ). The other four coordinated DMF molecules are released in the temperature range of $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the rotting of the residue occurs at $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA of compound 19 is similar to that of compound 18: there is a weight loss in the temperature range of $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from the loss of four non-coordinated DMF molecules, a second weight loss arises in the temperature range of $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from the loss of one coordinated DMF molecule, and a weight loss in the temperature range of $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from the loss of four coordinated DMF molecules. The residues decompose up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.32 TGA for $\mathbf{1 7 - 2 1}$ in temperature range of 20 to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

For 20, the weight loss at $134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the loss of two DMF molecules (obsd $6.78 \%$, calcd $7.36 \%$ ). Subsequently, five DMF molecules are lost in the temperature range of $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $342^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $18.97 \%$, calcd $18.39 \%$ ). After loss of all lattice solvent molecules, the framework begins to decompose. For 21, the weight loss at around $136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the loss of two non-coordinated DMF molecules (obsd $7.92 \%$, calcd $7.33 \%$ ), followed by the release of two non-coordinated DMF molecules in the temperature range of $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (obsd $7.56 \%$, calcd $7.33 \%$ ). Additionally, a weight loss of $18.8 \%$ at $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the loss of five coordinated DMF molecules (calcd 18.33\%). Finally, the framework begins to disintegrate with continuous weight loss up to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.3.2 Magnetic Properties of Compounds 19-21

The magnetic susceptibility of compounds 19-21, studied by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. A. K. Powell), were measured from 300 K to 1.8 K at 1000 Oe . At $300 \mathrm{~K}, \chi T$ products of $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 1}$ are $25.30,33.06$ and $37.67 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Figure 3.33).


Figure 3.33 Temperature dependence $\chi T$ products for 19, 20 and 21 at 1 kOe .

These values are in good agreement with the expected values $\left(24.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$ for $\mathbf{1 9}$, $32.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 20 and $37.09 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 21) for two $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ ions ( $S=5 / 2, g=2$, $\left.C=4.375 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ and two lanthanide atoms $\left(\mathrm{Ln}=\operatorname{Gd}(\mathrm{III}) ; S=5 / 2, L=0, g=2,{ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}\right.$, $C=7.875 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{III}) ; S=3, L=5, g=3 / 2,{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, C=11.82 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\left.\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III}) ; \mathrm{S}=5 / 2, L=5, g=4 / 3,{ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}, C=14.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}\right) .{ }^{[102]}$ Upon decreasing the temperature, the $\chi T$ products continuously decrease until $21.53,20.48$ and $21.85 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at
1.8 K for compounds 19-21, respectively, indicating the presence of weak intramolecular antiferromagnetic interactions. From a structural point of view, the magnetic interaction between the paramagnetic centers mainly originates from the pair of lanthanide ions. The interaction of Fe-Ln is likely to be very weak or negligible. The $\chi T$ product of compound 19 as a function of temperature is almost temperature independent above 30 K , indicating paramagnetic behavior in the temperature range of 30 K to 300 K and weakly antiferromagnetic interactios between Gd-Gd below 30 K , which might be true for analogous compounds 20 and 21.


Figure 3.34 (a) Field dependence of magnetization for 19 from 2 K to 5 K ; (b) $M$ vs $H$ plot for 20 from 2 K to 5 K ; (c) $M$ vs $H$ plot for 21 from 2 K to 5 K .

The field dependence of the magnetization at low temperatures shows that the magnetization smoothly increases with the applied dc field (Figure 3.34). At 7 T, it reaches values of 23.8, 19.8 and $17.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ for compounds $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 1}$, respectively. There is no clear saturation for all three
compounds, suggesting the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or the population of lowlying excited states. The magnetic relaxations of compounds 19-21 were investigated using ac susceptibility measurements under zero dc field; no frequency dependence of out-of-phase signals was detected.

In summary, a series of iron and lanthanide containing MOFs have been successfully prepared using trivalent lanthanide and iron salts along with the salen ligand $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ by solvothermal reactions. The novel iron-lanthanide compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}\right.$ $=\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{1 7}), \mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1 8}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{1 9 )}, \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 0})$ and $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{2 1})$ ), are 2D microporous materials (Figure 3.31). This is the first example in which iron, used in metallosalen-based moieties, have carboxylate linkers connected to a lanthanide to form a 1D chain structure. These chains are also interacting with neighboring chains through $\pi-\pi$-stacking to form the 2 D network. The magnetic studies of compounds 19-21 exhibit antiferromagnetic interactions. The TGA analysis of compounds $\mathbf{1 7 - 2 1}$ shows that the frameworks are stable at room temperature.

### 3.3 Trinuclear $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ Complexes

The treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ with $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ in the presence of potassium tertiary butoxide in methanol resulted, after crystallization, in the trinuclear complex formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right]$ (22) (Scheme 3.6). A different reaction pathway was also used for the synthesis of compound 22 by reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$, $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{LiOH}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COONa}$ in methanol. Compound 22 has been characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques, and the solid state structure has been established by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.35). This compound is paramagnetic and could therefore not be characterized by NMR spectroscopy.


Scheme 3.6 Synthetic scheme of compounds 22-23.

Compound 22 crystallizes in the tetragonal space group $P 41$ with four formula units and sixteen methanol molecules in the unit cell. Compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ contains three manganese ions, one trianionic salen ligands $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)^{3-}$, one tetra anionic salen ligand $\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)^{4-}$, two methoxide groups $(\mathrm{OMe})$ and six methanol molecules (Figure 3.35). All the manganese ions are in the +3 oxidation state, which is established by inspection of the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances and confirmed by the bond valence sum (BVS) calculations (Table 3.1). ${ }^{[12],[113]}$ These three
manganese atoms (Mn1, Mn2 and Mn3) form a trinuclear core, which is very common in the literature. ${ }^{[144],[115],[116],[117]}$ The Mn1 is octahedrally coordinated by four oxygen atoms (O1, O3, O7 and O8) and two nitrogen atoms ( N 1 and N 4 ) from the salen ligand. The Mn2 and Mn 3 are also octaherdally coordinated by three oxygen atoms (Mn2: O11, O12, O13 and $\mathrm{Mn} 3: \mathrm{O} 11, \mathrm{O} 12, \mathrm{O} 14$ ) from three methanol molecules, two oxygens atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3$ for Mn2 and O6, O8 for Mn3) and one nitrogen atom ( N 2 for Mn 2 and N 3 for Mn 3 ) from the salen ligand (Figure 3.35). The $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths range from 1.872 (3) $\AA$ to 2.287 (3) $\AA$ and $1.973(4) \AA$ to $2.025(4) \AA$, respectively, which is comparable to reported trinuclear manganese complexes. ${ }^{[114],[115],[116],[117]}$ Since, all the $\mathrm{Mn}($ III $)$ ions display an octaherdal geometry, they exhibit a Jahn-Teller (JT) distortion as expected for high-spin $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ ions with this geometry. The axial Mn-O bonds are longer than the equatorial Mn-O bonds, therefore, the JT axes are along O11-Mn2-O13 and O12-Mn3-O14 for Mn2 and Mn3 (Figure 3.35). The JT axes for Mnl are not clear because, it is disordered by the ligand field. The three manganese ions are at the apices of an scalene triangle, since the $\mathrm{Mn} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Mn} 2, \mathrm{Mn} 2 \cdots \mathrm{Mn} 3$, and $\mathrm{Mn} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Mn} 1$ distances are $3.7487(5) \AA, 3.1642(4) \AA$ and $3.6426(7) \AA$, respectively.


Figure 3.35 Solid state structure of 22, Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ : Mn1-N1 2.025(4), Mn1-N4 1.973(4), Mn1-O1 2.085(3), Mn1-O3 2.226(3), Mn1-O7 1.938(3), Mn1-O8 2.014(3), Mn2N2 1.988(4), Mn2-O2 1.901(4), Mn2-O3 1.938(4), Mn2-O11 2.287(3), Mn2-O12 1.909(3), Mn2-O13 2.235(3), Mn3-N3 1.979(4), Mn3-O6 1.911(4), Mn3-O8 2.008(4), Mn3-O11 1.872(3), Mn3-O12 2.175(3), Mn3-O14 2.304(3), Mn1-O3-Mn2 128.2(2), Mn1-O8-Mn3 129.8(2), Mn2-O11-Mn3 98.57(14), Mn2-O12-Mn3 101.37(14), N4-Mn1-O7 88.03(15), O1-Mn1-O7 87.80(14), O7-Mn1-O8 168.15(14), O3-Mn1-O7 86.27(14), O3-Mn1-O8 99.36(13), N2-Mn2-O2 88.6(2), N2-Mn2-O3 83.5(2), N2-Mn2-O12 174.2(2), O2-Mn2-O3 $171.93(15), \quad \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 2-\mathrm{O} 11$ 92.03(14), O3-Mn2-O11 89.11(14), O3-Mn2-O12 92.16(15), O12-Mn2-O13 93.72(13), N3-Mn3-O8 82.0(2), N3-Mn3-O14 90.7(2), O6-Mn3-O8 169.5(2), O6-Mn3-O11 95.6(2), O6-Mn3O12 95.2(2), O11-Mn3-O14 91.11(14).

Table 3.1 Bond Valence Sum (BVS) calculations for Mn in compound 22.

| Atom | Mn(II) | Mn(III) | Mn(IV) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mn1 | 3.48 | 3.03 | 2.98 |
| Mn2 | 3.40 | 3.14 | 3.08 |
| Mn3 | 3.40 | 3.13 | 3.08 |

In contrast to the results described above, the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ ligand with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ in the presence of lithium hydroxide in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DMF}$ (2:1) results, after crystallization, in the trinuclear compound formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right](23)$ (Scheme 3.6). Compound 23 was characterized by standard analytical techniques, and the solid state structure was established by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.36). The characteristic IR stretching frequency was observed (see experimental section) for compound 23. Compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ and with five molecules of compound 23 and fifteen molecules of water present in the unit cell. In the trinuclear cluster, there are two crystallographically distinct nickel ions present. The two terminal nickel ions (Ni1 and Ni1') are equivalent due to the inversion center passing through the central Ni2 ion (Figure 3.36). To balance the charge we consider each salen ligand to have a double negative charged; therefore, each nickel ion is in the +2 oxidation state. In the trinuclear cluster, the Ni1 ion is six-fold coordinated: it is ligated to two nitrogen atoms (N1and N2) and two phenoxy oxygen atoms ( O 1 and O 2 ) from the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)^{2-}$ ligand, one oxygen atom (O6) from an acetate group and other oxygen atom (O7) from a DMF molecule. The Nil exhibits a distorted octahedral arrangement. The equatorial plane ( $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2$ and O 2 ) is almost coplanar with $0.102 \AA$ deviations for the Ni1 ion. In contrast to Ni1, the central Ni2 ion is coordinated with four phenoxy oxygen atoms (O1, O2, O1' and $\left.\mathrm{O} 2^{\prime}\right)$ from two different $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)^{2-}$ ligand and two bridging oxygen atoms (O8 and O8') from acetate groups; thus, the geometry around the Ni2 is distorted octahedral (Figure 3.36). The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths range from 2.000(3) $\AA$ to $2.182(3) \AA$ and $2.029(3) \AA$ to 2.034(4) $\AA$, respectively, which are comparable in the trinuclear $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$-salen complex. ${ }^{[118]}$ The four donor oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 1$ ' and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ') and Ni 2 ion are almost in planar arrangement. The dihedral angle between the plane ( $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{Ni} 1$ and O 2 ) and ( $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{Ni} 2$ and O 2 ) is $21.37^{\circ}$. The central and terminal nickel ions are non-equivalent with a $\mathrm{Ni} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Ni} 2$ distance of 3.0468(6) $\AA$, which shows the close proximity of the nickel ions.


Figure 3.36 Solid state structure of 23, omitting hydrogen atoms. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Nil-N1 2.034(4), Ni1-N2 2.029(3), Ni1-O1 2.000(3), Ni1-O2 2.016(3), Ni1-O6 2.101(3), Ni1-O7 2.182(3), Ni2-O1 2.054(2), Ni2-O2 2.072(2), Ni2-O8 2.039(3), Ni1-O1-Ni2 97.45(10), Ni1-O2-Ni2 96.36(10), N1-Ni1-N2 96.2(2), N1-Ni1-O1 91.08(13), N1-Ni1-O2 171.79(12), N1-Ni1-O6 95.15(13), N1-Ni1-O7 83.37(13), N2-Ni1-O1 169.82(15), N2-Ni1-O6 93.22(13), O1-Ni1-O2 82.11(11), O1-Ni1-O6 93.14(11), O1-Ni1-O7 89.92(11), O2-Ni1-O6 89.83(11), O6-Ni1-O7 176.63(12), O1-Ni2-O1' 180.0, O1-Ni2-O2 79.48(10), O1-Ni2-O8 87.86(10), O1'-Ni2-O8 92.14(10), O2'-Ni2-O8 92.61(11), O8-Ni2-O8' 180.0(15).

### 3.3.1 Magnetic Properties of Compounds 22-23

The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibilities of compounds $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ are shown in the Figure 3.37.


Figure 3.37 Temperature dependence of the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{T}$ product for $\mathbf{2 2}$ (left) and $\mathbf{2 3}$ (right) at 1000 Oe .

The $\chi T$ values at room temperature are 8.21 and $3.54 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$, respectively. These values are in good agreement with the expected values of three $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ions ( $S=2 \mathrm{amd}$ $g=2$ ) for compound 22 and three $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})(S=1$ and $g=2)$ for compound 23. As the temperature decreases, $\chi T$ values gradually decrease to 2.36 and $0.47 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K for 22 and 23, respectively, indicating the presence of antiferromagnetic coupling between the neighboring metal ions.


Scheme 3.7 Schematic diagrams representing the exchange interactions within complexes 22 (left) and 23 (right).

To determine exchange parameters (Scheme 3.7, left) between the paramagnetic $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})(S=$ 2) pairs in 22, the susceptibility data were simulated based on spin Hamiltonian $H=-2 J_{13}$ $\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{3}+S_{2} \cdot S_{3}\right)-2 J_{12}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2}\right)$ (where $J_{13}=J_{23}$ ). The magnetic data could be reproduced well by the following parameters: $g=1.92$ (fixed), $J_{12} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.48(7) \mathrm{K}, J_{13} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=-7.93(8) \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{zJ} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=-$ $0.047(3) \mathrm{K}, N_{\alpha}=0.00265 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$. The sign and magnitude of magnetic interactions imply that the antiferromagnetic interections are dominant among the three Mn (III) ions. On the other hand, the structure of $\mathbf{2 3}$ consists of isolated linear $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ trimer units and, assuming that the exchange coupling within the cluster is isotropic (Scheme 3.7, right), the Heisenberg Hamiltonian for the trimer system can be written as: $H=-2 J_{12}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2}+S_{2} \cdot S_{3}\right)-2 J_{13}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{3}\right)$ (where $J_{12}=J_{23}$ ). ${ }^{[119]}$ The magnetic data could be reproduced well by the following parameters: $g=2.218(2), J_{12} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=-5.51(5) \mathrm{K}, J_{13} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=-3.43(3) \mathrm{K} .{ }^{[119]}$ The negative $J$ value indicates antiferromagnetic coupling between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions. The $M$ versus $H$ measurements (Figure 3.38) at low temperature reveals slow increase in the magnetization, which reaches the values of 4.2 and $1.9 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 7 T for compounds $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$, respectively. A true saturation of the magnetization values was not observed, suggesting the presence of low-lying excited states that might be populated when the dc field is increased. At a higher field, when the antiferromagnetic interactions are overcome, there should be a saturation of the magnetization at $12 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ for $\mathbf{2 2}(\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ with $S=2$ and $g=2.0)$ and $6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ for $\mathbf{2 3}(\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ with $S=1$ and $g=2.0)$ because all spins will be parallel.


Figure 3.38 Field dependence of the magnetization from 2-5 K for $\mathbf{2 2}$ (left) and $\mathbf{2 3}$ (right).

In summary, the ligand $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ has been successfully used to generate the trinuclear compounds 22-23 $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$, respectively. Both compounds arise from a self-assembly process, which is controlled by donor atoms of the ligand moieties. The solid state structure of compounds 22-23 shows that three Mn (III) ions are at the apices of a scalene triangle whereas three $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are in an linear fashion. The magnetic studies of compounds 22 and 23 shows that overall antiferromagnetic interactions are present.

### 3.4 Lanthanide Complexes of Hitherto Unknown Cage Ligand ( $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis $\{[2-$ hydroxy-3-carboxybenzylidene]aminoethylaminoethyl\}aminoethylamine) $\left(H_{4} L^{5}\right)$

One of the research efforts was to prepare mononuclear lanthanide complexes by using a polypodal ligand. Due to the sticky nature of the unmetallated ligand, the ligand was synthesized in situ by mixing 3-formylsalicylic acid and tris-(2-aminoethyl)amine during the reactions with lanthanide salts. This $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ ligand has three potential coordination pockets: one oxygen donor pocket and two nitrogen donor pockets. Although this ligand has three donor pockets, lanthanides prefer the oxygen donor pockets leading to mononuclear lanthanide metal complexes.

As shown in Scheme 3.8, the reaction of 3-formylsalicylic acid, tris-(2-aminoethyl)amine and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}$ and Dy$)$ and $6(\mathrm{~Tb}, \mathrm{Er}$ and Tm$)$ in ethanol / water (3:1) results
in the complexes formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=9$; $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2 4})$ and x $=8 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 5}), \mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{2 6}), \mathrm{Er}(\mathbf{2 7})$ and $\mathrm{Tm}(28)$ ). Independent of the ionic radii of the lanthanides, all complexes are monomeric in the solid state together with an uncoordinated nitrate ion, water molecules and an ethanol molecule.


Scheme 3.8 Synthetic scheme of compounds 24-28.

Complexes 24-28 were characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques, and the solid state structures were analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The IR spectra of these compounds 24-28 show the characteristic absorption peaks for the functional groups. The broad band around $3238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 24, $3420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 25, $3447 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 6}, 3264 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 27 and $3328 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 8}$ is associated with the O-H stretching vibration of water molecules. The absence of the characteristic band around $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for compounds $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 8}$ indicates the deprotonation of carboxylate groups. There are strong absorption bands of asymmetric and symmetric vibrations at around 1602 and $1374 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 4} ; 1603$ and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 5} ; 1609$ and $1381 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 26; 1605 and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 27; as well as 1611 and $1385 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 28. The separations $(\Delta)$ between $v_{\text {asym }}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ and $v_{\text {sym }}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ are $228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $24,228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 25 , $217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 6}, 219 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 27 and $226 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2 8}$, indicate the presence of monodentate coordination modes.

The solid state structures were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.39). The X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that compounds 24-28 are crystallize in the triclinic space group $P-1$. Compounds $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 8}$ are isostructural, so only the structure of $\mathbf{2 6}$ will be described in detail; however, it should be noted that compound 24 contains nine noncoordinating water molecules and compounds 25-28 have eight non-coordinating water molecules in the formula unit.


Figure 3.39 Solid state structure of the $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$anion of compound 26, omitting hydrogen atoms for clarity. Compounds 24-28 are isostructural. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]:

24: Eu-O1 2.372(4), Eu-O3 2.341(4), Eu-O4 2.422(4), Eu-O6 2.394(4), Eu-O7 2.390(4), Eu-O9 2.397(4), EuO10 2.363(4), Eu-O12 2.360(4), O1-Eu-O3 73.43(14), O1-Eu-O4 73.88(14), O1-Eu-O6 132.2814(15), O3-EuO4 87.15(14), O3-Eu-O9 148.67(15), O3-Eu-O12 139.71(14), O4-Eu-O6 71.96(13),O7-Eu-O9 73.26(14), O9-Eu-O10 131.54(14), O9-Eu-O12 71.23(14), O10-Eu-O12 72.02(13).

25: Tb-O1 2.356(2), Tb-O3 2.340(2), Tb-O4 2.371(2), Tb-O6 2.386(2), Tb-O7 2.340(2), Tb-O9 2.335(2), TbO10 2.398(2), Tb-O12 2.370(2), O1-Tb-O3 72.72(7), O1-Tb-O4 72.74(8), O1-Tb-O6 132.16(8), O3-Tb-O4 84.45(8), O3-Tb-O9 140.37(7), O3-Tb-O12 146.75(8), O4-Tb-O6 73.31(7), O7-Tb-O9 73.81(8), O9-Tb-O10 87.84(8), O9-Tb-O12 72.06(7), O10-Tb-O12 72.70(8).

26: Dy-O1 2.346(2), Dy-O3 2.325(2), Dy-O4 2.358(2), Dy-O6 2.374(2), Dy-O7 2.324(2), Dy-O9 2.324(2), DyO10 2.386(2), Dy-O12 2.358(2), O1-Dy-O3 73.09(8), O1-Dy-O4 72.77(9), O1-Dy-O6 132.64(8), O3-Dy-O4 84.28(9), O3-Dy-O9 140.45(8), O3-Dy-O12 146.65(8), O4-Dy-O6 73.62(8), O7-Dy-O9 74.23(8), O9-Dy-O12 72.03(8), O9-Dy-O10 87.85(9), O10-Dy-O12 73.07(8).

27: Er-O1 2.316(7), Er-O3 2.291(7), Er-O4 2.324(7), Er-O6 2.352(6), Er-O7 2.306(7), Er-O9 2.288(7), Er-O10 2.352(8), Er-O12 2.347(7), O1-Er-O3 74.1(2), O1-Er-O4 71.9(3), O1-Er-O6 133.4(3), O3-Er-O4 84.0(3), O3-ErO9 141.6(3), O3-Er-O12 145.9(3), O4-Er-O6 74.7(2), O7-Er-O9 75.1(3), O9-Er-O10 86.7(3), O9-Er-O12 71.6(3), O10-Er-O12 73.8(3).

28: Tm-O1 2.313(2), Tm-O3 2.296(3), Tm-O4 2.323(2), Tm-O6 2.340(2), Tm-O7 2.294(2), Tm-O9 2.282(2), Tm-O10 2.357(2), Tm-O12 2.331(2), O1-Tm-O3 74.08(8), O1-Tm-O4 72.41(8), O1-Tm-O6 133.84(8), O3-TmO4 83.53(9), O3-Tm-O9 141.02(8), O3-Tm-O12 146.11(8), O4-Tm-O6 74.47(8), O7-Tm-O9 75.35(8), O9-TmO10 86.49(9), O9-Tm-O12 72.02(8), O10-Tm-O12 73.65(8).

The asymmetric unit of compound 26 contains a $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$coordination cation, a $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ anion, one ethanol, and eight water molecules. In order to balance the charge each ligand is singly negatively charged. Thus, the two acid groups are deprotonated forming carboxylate functions while the tethered amine is protonated giving an $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$function. The $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$ cation contains one $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ ion coordinated by two such ligands. Thus, the metal atom is
coordinated by four phenoxy oxygen atoms (O3, O6, O9 and O12) and four carboxyl oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 5, \mathrm{O} 8$ and O 11 ) from both ligands resulting in an eight fold coordinated geometry. The coordination polyhedron can be best described as a distorted square antiprism. The Dy-O(phenoxy) and Dy-O(carboxyl) distances for the monomer are in the range of $2.324(2) \AA-2.374(2) \AA$ and $2.324(2) \AA-2.386(2) \AA$, respectively. Formally each phenoxy oxygen is protonated but we could not locate the protons in the difference Fourier map. Also, there is no significant difference in the Dy-O bond lengths of the phenoxy and the carboxyl groups.

### 3.4.1 Ab Initio Calculation of Compound 26

$A b$ initio calculations of 26 was performed by Prof. Liviu F. Chibotaru within CASSCF/RASSI approach using the MOLCAS package. ${ }^{[120]}$ The magnetic properties have been calculated with SINGLE_ANISO module ${ }^{[121],[122]}$ recently implemented in MOLCAS-7.6.


Figure 3.40 Main anisotropy axes on Dy ion in compound 26.

The main values of the ground state $g$ tensors and orientations of the main magnetic axes on Dy ion in 26 are $0.0238,0.0445$ and 18.7688 for $g_{x}, g_{Y}$ and $g_{z}$, respectively (Figure 3.40). The main magnetic axis in $\mathbf{2 6}$ lies almost in the O4-O7-O10 plane (Figure 3.40) close to Dy-O4 bond $\left(12^{\circ}\right)$. The positions of the hydrogen atoms close to O3, O6, O9, and O12 in 26 (Figure 3.40) are not available from structural data and have been obtained, therefore,
frommolecular mechanics optimization. In order to correct the errors introduced by this procedure, the first excited Kramers doublet was slightly shifted from the calculated value.

### 3.4.2 Magnetic Properties of Compound 25 and 26

The magnetic measurements for compounds 25 and 26 were performed by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. A. K. Powell). The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility measurement for compounds 25 and 26 are shown in Figure 3.41. At room temperature, the $\chi T$ (magnetic susceptibility) product of $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ are 11.81 and $14.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. These values are in good agreement with the expected values for the presence of one Tb (III) ion ( $S=3, L=$ $3,{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, C=11.82 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $g=3 / 2$ ) for $\mathbf{2 5}$ as well as one Dy(III) metal ion ( $S=5 / 2, L=$ $5,{ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}, C=14.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $g=4 / 3$ ) for 26. ${ }^{[102]}$


Figure 3.41 Temperature dependence of the $\chi T$ product for compounds $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ at 1000 Oe.

Upon cooling, $\chi T$ for the two compounds decreases gradually but more rapidly below 50 K , which is mostly due to crystal-field effects (i.e. thermal depopulation of the $\operatorname{Ln}(I I I)$ Stark sublevels). The magnetizations of the two compounds from zero dc field to 50 kOe at $2-5 \mathrm{~K}$ are shown in the Figure 3.42, and the corresponding maximum values reached are 5.2 and $5.7 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. The lack of saturation of magnetization at 50 kOe can likely be attributed to crystalfield effects and low-lying excited states, while the non-superposition of the temperaturedependence under different fields implies the presence of significant magnetic anisotropy.


Figure 3.42 Field dependence of magnetization for $\mathbf{2 5}$ (left) and 26 (right) from 2-5 K.

The magnetic relaxation of compounds 25 and 26 were tested using ac susceptibility measurements under zero dc field. For compound 25, there was no slow relaxation; therefore, no SMM behavior was observed (Figure 4.43)


Figure 3.43 Frequency dependence of the in-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) and out -of-phase ( $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ ) ac susceptibility for compound 25.

The ac measurements of $\mathbf{2 6}$ were performed in the $1.8-10 \mathrm{~K}$ range using a 3.0 Oe ac field oscillation in $1-1500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ range. In the absence of an external dc field, the out-of-phase component is almost absent. Such behavior can be due to fast zero-field tunneling of the magnetization that can be suppressed with application of a small external, dc field, ${ }^{[123],[124],[125],[126]}$ which removes the state degeneracy, suppressing the relaxation via quantum tunnelling. ${ }^{[127],[128]}$ In this way, useful information on the dynamics of the magnetization on the thermally activated regime can be extracted; therefore, ac measurements at different applied static fields less than 2500 Oe were recorded at 1.8 K (Figure 3.44).


Figure 3.44 Frequency dependence of the in-phase $\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ and out-of-phase ( $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ ) ac susceptibility component under different dc fields for 26.


Figure 3.45 Field dependence of the characteristic frequency as a function of the applied dc field for 26.

With the external dc field, the intensity of out-of-phase susceptibility is dramatically enhanced and reaches the same order of the intensity observed in the in-phase component. In addition, both in-phase and out-of-phase components now become strongly field-dependent, which is characteristic of SMM behaviour.


Figure 3.46 Frequency dependence of the in-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) and out-of-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) ac susceptibility at different temperature under applied dc field ( 2000 Oe ) for 26.

As shown in Figure 3.45, the relaxation process becomes slowest at $\sim 18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 2000 Oe and shifts again to higher frequencies with the increase of static fields. A field of 2000 Oe was, therefore, selected to investigate the temperature dependence of the dynamic susceptibility (Figure 3.46).


Figure 3.47 Arrhenius plot of compound 26.

The relaxation time of this compound extracted from the ac data is depicted in Figure 3.47 as a function of temperature. According to the Arrhenius expression,

$$
\tau=\tau_{0} e^{\left(U_{e f f} / K_{B} T\right)}
$$

where $\tau_{0}$ is a pre-exponential factor and $U_{\text {eff }}$ is the energy barrier to reverse the magnetization direction, a linear behavior corresponding to the thermally activated regime can only be obtained below 2.5 K . A fit to this part of data gives an effective energy barrier of
$U_{\text {eff }}=10.7 \mathrm{~K}$ and relaxation time $\tau_{0}=4.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}$ (Figure 3.47). In order to evaluate the distribution of the relaxation time of 26, a Cole-Cole plot of the in-phase versus out-of-phase susceptibilities at low temperatures was constructed. Fitting the data using a generalized Debye model gives a high $\alpha$ value of $0.51-0.75$ (Figure 3.48 and Table 3.2), suggesting that there is likely to be more than one relaxation process operating at low temperatures and the relaxation time has a moderate distribution.


Figure 3.48 Cole-Cole plots of 26 at indicated temperatures. The solid lines are the fittings with a generalized Debye model. The parameters are discussed in the text. Inset: The relaxation time of 26 as a function of temperature plotted against a thermally-activated Arrhenius law (solid line).

Table 3.2 Parameters obtained from the fits of Cole-Cole diagram in 26.

| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\alpha$ | $\chi_{0}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\chi_{\text {inf }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.8 | $0.524(2)$ | $0.168(6)$ | $4.590(14)$ |
| 1.9 | $0.514(3)$ | $0.162(9)$ | $4.161(13)$ |
| 2.0 | $0.570(3)$ | $0.101(9)$ | $4.256(13)$ |
| 2.1 | $0.607(3)$ | $0.054(11)$ | $4.225(15)$ |
| 2.3 | $0.678(4)$ | $0.080(24)$ | $4.324(28)$ |
| 2.5 | $0.748(5)$ | $0.0418(54)$ | $4.404(43)$ |

In summary, a series of mononuclear lanthanide complexes have been synthesized from in situ preparation of hitherto unknown cage ligand, N,N'-bis \{2-(3carboxysalicylidene)aminoethyl\}aminoethylamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)$, based on 3-formylsalicylic acid. The magnetic susceptibility measurement of complex 26 exhibits field-induced SMM
behavior. The compound $\mathbf{2 6}$ exhibits the very first tunneling process in the absence of a static field. Slow magnetic relaxation occurs while the application of an external field removes the degeneracy of the $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ ion sublevels.

### 3.5 Heterometallic 3d-4f Metal Complexes

There has been considerable research interest in recent years for the design and construction of $3 \mathrm{~d}-4 \mathrm{f}$ heterometallic complexes due to their excellent magnetic properties. Gatteschi et al. reported ferromagnetic coupling between $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in two $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Gd}$ trinuclear compounds. ${ }^{[129],[130]}$ This ferromagnetic coupling arises from the interaction between a semioccupied orbital, i.e. $\mathrm{d}_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}$, on the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and an empty orbital on the $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$ center, resulting in the spin $S=4$, which is possible only when the unpaired electron of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ aligns parallel to the seven 4f-electrons of $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$. To investigate how 3 d and 4 f orbitals interact, we are trying to design a novel ligand systems that can form these kinds of heterometallic complexes. Although a series of copper-lanthanide compounds ${ }^{[131],[132],[133],[134]}$ have been successfully synthesized and characterized, there are only few reports on $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Ln}$ complexes ${ }^{[135],[136],[137],[138]}$; complexes involving $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Ln}^{\text {III }}$ are still relatively rare. ${ }^{[139],[140],[141]}$ Costes et al. have reported the compounds, $\left[\mathrm{MnL}^{1 \mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\text {1a }}=\right.$ 1,3-bis((methoxysalicylidene)amino)-2,2'dimethylpropane), ${ }^{[139]}$ in which $\mathrm{Gd}($ III $)$ and Mn (III) are present. Recently, Wu reported a $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{3}$ complex showing intermolecular antiferromagnetic coupling. ${ }^{[141]}$ The goal of this section is to prepare new $3 \mathrm{~d}-4 \mathrm{f}$ heterometallic complexes, mainly $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}($ II $)-$ $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ ), from different ligand systems for magnetic studies. A salen-type polepodal ligand, $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$, was prepared in situ (experimental section), which reacted with transition metals along with lanthanide metals to form a series of novel 3d-4f metal complexes.

### 3.5.1 Trinuclear Manganese and Lanthanide Metal Complexes

The in situ reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$, in a ethanol / water (3:1) mixture with triethylamine, $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}$ and Dy$)$ and $6(\mathrm{Tm}$, Ho and Lu$)$ (10:2:1) resulted in the trinuclear mixed $3 \mathrm{~d}-4 \mathrm{f}$ complexes formulated as $\left[\left(\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left\{\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=2 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2 9})$, $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{3 0})$, Dy (31) and $\mathrm{Lu}(\mathbf{3 3})$ and $x=4 ; \operatorname{Ln}=\operatorname{Tm}(\mathbf{3 2 )})$ (Scheme 3.9). A slight excess of triethylamine is essential for the formation of the mixed 3d-4f metal complex because it promotes the complete deprotonation of the ligand and thus enables it to coordinate to the manganese ions. Compounds 29-33 are isolated by a reaction of their mononuclear complex with $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ in the presence of a base, as shown in Scheme 3.9. Compounds 29-33 were characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques. The solid state structures of compounds 29-33 were established by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.49).


Scheme 3.9 Synthetic scheme of compounds 29-33.


Figure 3.49 Solid state structure of the $\left[\operatorname{Dy}\left\{\operatorname{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{5}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]^{-}$anion of compound 31, omitting hydrogen atoms for clarity. Compounds 29-33 are isostrucrural. Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]:

29: Mn1-N1 2.253(5), Mn1-N2 2.625(2), Mn1-N3 2.210(4), Mn1-N4 2.260(4), Mn1-O3 2.224(3), Mn1-O6 2.306(4), Mn1-O10 2.259(3), Mn2-N5 2.245(4), Mn2-N6 2.646(1), Mn2-N7 2.230(4), Mn2-N8 2.261(4), Mn2O4 2.272(3), Mn2-O9 2.247(3), Mn2-O12 2.292(3), Eu-O1 2.349(3), Eu-O3 2.356(3), Eu-O4 2.350(3), Eu-O6 $2.409(4)$, Eu-O7 2.350(4), Eu-O9 2.348(3), Eu-O10 2.378(3), Eu-O12 2.469(4), N1-Mn1-N2 69.24(4), N1-Mn1N3 105.2(2), N2-Mn1-N4 71.08(4), N5-Mn2-N8 123.33(2), N7-Mn2-N8 102.3(3), N7-Mn2-O12 80.38(15), O1-Eu-O3 71.21(12), O4-Eu-O6 70.17(12), O7-Eu-O9 70.66(13).

31: Mn1-N1 2.256(5), Mn1-N2 2.621(1), Mn1-N3 2.208(5), Mn1-N4 2.277(5), Mn1-O3 2.231(4), Mn1-O6 2.293(4), Mn1-O10 2.247(3), Mn2-N5 2.245(5), Mn2-N6 2.652(1), Mn2-N7 2.2221(4), Mn2-N8 2.261(5), Mn2O4 2.262(4), Mn2-O9 2.246(4), Mn2-O12 2.285(4), Dy-O1 2.321(4), Dy-O3 2.335(3), Dy-O4 2.311(3), Dy-O6 2.381(4), Dy-O7 2.311(4), Dy-O9 2.329(3), Dy-O10 2.339(4), Dy-O12 2.430(4), N1-Mn1-N2 69.06(2), N1-Mn1-N3 104.8(2), N2-Mn1-N4 71.23(2), N5-Mn2-N8 123.4(2), N7-Mn2-N8 102.1(2), N7-Mn2-O12 80.68(15), O1-Dy-O3 72.27(13), O4-Dy-O6 70.48(13), O7-Dy-O9 71.71(13).

32: Mn1-N1 2.252(7), Mn1-N2 2.612(6), Mn1-N3 2.209(6), Mn1-N4 2.271(7), Mn1-O3 2.245(5), Mn1-O6 2.269(5), Mn1-O10 2.249(4), Mn2-N5 2.253(7), Mn2-N6 2.649(6), Mn2-N7 2.229(6), Mn2-N8 2.267(7), Mn2O4 2.246(5), Mn2-O9 2.243(5), Mn2-O12 2.279(5), Tm-O1 2.272(5), Tm-O3 2.292(5), Tm-O4 2.280(5), TmO6 2.372(5), Tm-O7 2.279(5), Tm-O9 2.298(5), Tm-O10 2.309(5), Tm-O12 2.393(5), N1-Mn1-N2 69.36(2), N1-Mn1-N3 102.7(2), N2-Mn1-N4 70.54(3), N5-Mn2-N8 123.1(3), N7-Mn2-N8 102.3(3), N7-Mn2-O12 81.1(2), O1-Tm-O3 73.4(2), O4-Tm-O6 70.8(2), O7-Tm-O9 72.0(2).

33: Mn1-N1 2.251(3), Mn1-N2 2.611(3), Mn1-N3 2.218(3), Mn1-N4 2.272(3), Mn1-O3 2.250(2), Mn1-O6 2.263(2), Mn1-O10 2.259(2), Mn2-N5 2.248(3), Mn2-N6 2.652(3), Mn2-N7 2.229(3), Mn2-N8 2.265(3), Mn2O4 2.248(2), Mn2-O9 2.228(2), Mn2-O12 2.280(2), Lu-O1 2.256(2), Lu-O3 2.278(2), Lu-O4 2.262(2), Lu-O6 2.359(2), Lu-O7 2.265(2), Lu-O9 2.292(2), Lu-O10 2.285(2), Lu-O12 2.387(2), N1-Mn1-N2 69.33(11), N1-Mn1-N3 102.47(11), N2-Mn1-N4 71.87(12), N5-Mn2-N8 123.0(12), N7-Mn2-N8 102.30(12), N7-Mn2-O12 80.71(10), O1-Lu-O3 73.77(8), O4-Lu-O6 70.92(8), O7-Lu-O9 72.85(8).

The single crystal X-ray study reveals that compounds 29-33 crystallize in the triclinic space group $P-1$. It is worth noting that suitable yellow crystals of $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ have a crystallographic twin that was observed during the diffraction. The structure of compounds 29 and $\mathbf{3 1}$ were solved after twin refinement, but the data completeness was not higher than $70 \%$. The structure of compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ could not be satisfactorily refined due to disorder among the metal
ions $\left(\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})\right.$ and $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$ ) as well as the counter ions $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$and $\left.\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$, but we found a similar crystallographic cell corresponding to compounds 29,31 and 33 . Moreover, the ESIMS analyses support the structural motif that is similar to compounds 29 and 31. Since they are isostructural, and only the crystal structure of the compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ will be described in detail. The asymmetric unit contains two $\left(\mathrm{NHEt}_{3}\right)^{+}$cations, one $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)^{-}$, one $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{5}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]^{-}$ coordination anion and two water moleclues. Compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ is isostructural with compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ except that the number of non-coordinating water molecules / formula unit in compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ is higher than that in compound $\mathbf{3 1}$. The solid state structure of compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ is illustrated in Figure 3.49, and selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in the caption for compounds 29-33. The trimetallic $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{5}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]^{-}$anion is build around the central $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ ion, as is observed in compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ and two adjacent $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, which are coordinated in the outer cages of the anion (Figure 3.49).

In contrast to compound 26, the two ligands are now fully deporonated and, thus, have additional coordination sites available. The coordination mode of the Dy(III) ion is quite similar to the one observed in compound 26: the Dy(III) ion is eight-fold coordinated and is the center of a distorted square antiprism coordination polyhedron. Although, the ligand is now fully depotonated, there is no obvious difference in the Dy-O distances (2.311(4)$2.430(4) \AA$ ) in comparison to compound 26. The two $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions (Mn1 and Mn2) are sevencoordinated: they are ligated to four nitrogen atoms (around Mn1; N1-N4 and around Mn2; N5-N8) of the tris-(2-aminoethyl)amine sub-unit and three oxygen atoms, of which two are phenoxy groups (O3 and O6 for $\mathrm{Mn} 1, \mathrm{O} 9$ and O 12 for Mn 2 ) and the remaining one is from carboxyl group ( O 10 for Mn 1 and O 4 for Mn 2 ) (Figure 3.49). The coordination polyhedron is best described as a distorted capped octahedron. As expected, the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances of the amine nitrogen atoms $(\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2=2.621(1) \AA$ and $\mathrm{Mn} 2-\mathrm{N} 6=2.652(1))$ are significantly longer than those of the imine nitrogen atoms (2.208(5)-2.277(5) $\AA$ ). The Dy-Mn distances of Dy-Mn1 3.4039(9) and Dy-Mn2 3.4081(8) Å show close proximity of the metal atoms.

### 3.5.1.1 ESI-MS Spectral Studies of Compounds 29-31

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) of compounds 29-31 were performed on a Bruker Esquire 3000 plus ion trap instrument in positive and negative ionization modes in acetonitrile solution by Dr. Christoph Riehn.


Figure 3.50 (a) Pos. mass spectrum from 1010 to $1145 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. (b) $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ fragmentation of $1141.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. (c)-(h) simulated mass spectra of compound 29.

Only one peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ was detected in positive ion mode for compound 29 (Figure 3.50), corresponding to the double protonation of $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$, which leads to $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. Simulation of the isotopic distribution shows a good match with the measured spectrum except a small shift of all peaks of 0.3 to higher $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ values (a calibration issue of the mass spectrometer). Table 3.3 shows the fragmentation of the $1141.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak.

For the negative ion mode, a couple of peaks were detected for compound 29. The most prominent ones are 1339.3 and $594.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. The first one is not assigned, but the latter corresponds to the species $\left[\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]^{-}$. Other fragmentations are assigned in Table 3.4.

Table 3.3 Fragmentation of the peak $1141.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

| Parent mass $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ | Fragment mass (m/z) | Neutral loss | Proposed fragment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1141.4 | 1097.4 | $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ | $\left[\mathrm{EuMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]^{+}$ |
| 1141.4 | 1079.4 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left[\mathrm{EuMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{+}$ |
| 1141.4 | 1053.4 | $2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $\left[\mathrm{EuMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right]^{+}$ |
| 1141.4 | 1035.4 | $2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left[\mathrm{EuMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]^{+}$ |
| 1141.4 | 1017.4 | $2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left[\mathrm{EuMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{+}$ |

Table 3.4 Fragmentation of negative ion mode of compound 29.

| Parten mass $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ | Assigned molecular formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1293.3 | $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)\right]^{-}$ |
| 1202.4 | $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$ |
| 1139.4 | $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ |
| 642.5 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)\left(\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{15}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ |
| 594.4 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)\right]^{-}$ |

Only one intense peak at $1145.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ for compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was detected in positive ion mode (Figure 3.51), corresponding to the doubly protonated $\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$, which leads to $\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. The simulation of the isotopic distribution matches very well with the measured spectrum except a small shift of all peaks equal to or higher than $0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (a calibration issue of the mass spectrometer). Fragmentation of the $1145.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak leads to the same neutral losses as shown during fragmentation of the $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$parent ion. The investigation in negative ion mode gave very similar results for compound $\mathbf{2 9}$.

The positive ion mode was also recorded for compound 31, and it shows one intense peak at $1152.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. This peak corresponds to the doubly protonated $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$, leading to $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. After recalibration of the Esquire the simulation of the isotopic distribution shows a good match with the measured spectrum except a small shift of all peaks equal to or higher than $0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ values. Fragmentation of the $1152.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak leads to the same neutral losses as shown during fragmentation of the $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$parent ions. The composition of the solution in negative ion mode is also very similar to compounds 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$.


Figure 3.51 (a) pos. mass spectrum from 1000 to $1160 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ for compound $\mathbf{3 0}$, (b) $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ fragmentation of $1146.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$, (c)-(f) simulated mass spectra.

From the measurements of compounds 29-31, a common fragmentation scheme of the positive parent ions is shown in (Figure 3.52). Each complex shows the same neutral losses in a similar intensity distribution. The loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is preferred over the loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Additionally, some minor fragmentation paths were found, especially in the fragmentation of [ $\left.\mathrm{LnMn}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{+}$, e.g. the loss of $27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ according to HCN. These minor channels are not plotted in Figure 3.52.


Figure 3.52 Fragmentation scheme of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{MnC}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}$ (29), Gd (30) and Dy (31)) according to neutral losses.

In order to further elucidate the gas phase structure of $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\{\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{HL})\}_{2}\right]^{+}$in copound 31, hydrogen/deuterium exchange (HDX) reactions with $\mathrm{ND}_{3}$ were performed in a FT-ICR Penning-trap mass spectrometer. The $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\{\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{HL})\}_{2}\right]^{+}$showed only an exchange of two hydrogen atoms, even after a storage time of 960 s , although there are four more hydrogen atoms available on the amine groups (Figure 3.53). We believe that this result indicates strong coordination of the amine groups to the manganese ions. The HDX results together with the fragmentation behavior (loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) imply that probably the two exchangeable protons are not coordinated at the amine groups but at the carboxyl binding sites.


Figure 3.53 Mass spectra of $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\{\mathrm{HMn}(\mathrm{L})\}_{2}\right]^{+}$in compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ after 0 , 1.25 and 960 seconds (first, third and fourth row) and simulated isotope patterns (second and fifth row).

### 3.5.1.2 Ab Initio Calculation of Compound 31

Ab initio calculations of $\mathbf{3 1}$ was performed by Prof. Liviu F. Chibotaru within CASSCF/RASSI approach using the MOLCAS package. ${ }^{[120]}$ Their magnetic properties have been calculated with SINGLE_ANISO module ${ }^{[121],[122]}$ recently implemented in MOLCAS7.6. The main values of the ground state $g$ tensors and orientations of the main magnetic axes on Dy ion of 31 are $0.0682,0.1542$ and 19.3367 for $g_{X}, g_{Y}$ and $g_{Z}$, respectively. In contras to 26, the main magnetic axis lies almost in the O4-O6-O7 plane (Figure 3.54) and makes an angle of $81^{\circ}$ with the Mn1-Mn2 axis for compound 31. The exchange spectrum in $\mathbf{3 1}$ has been simulated by the Lines model, as discussed in previous works, using the software POLY_ANISO. ${ }^{[142]}$ The two Lines parameters describing the Dy-Mn and Mn1-Mn2 exchange interactions have been derived from the fitting of $\chi T(\mathrm{~T})$ and $M(\mathrm{~T})$ data for 31. The exchange
spectrum arising from the interaction of the ground Kramers doublet on Dy with $S=5 / 2$ on Mn1 and Mn2 ( $2 \times 6 \times 6=72$ states) has a spread of only $1.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is the result of a very weak Dy-Mn exchange interaction. However, the zero-field splitting obtained for the Mn sites is even smaller, $c a .0 .2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This is the reason why the local magnetizations on Mn 1 and Mn 2 in exchange states are not directed along the corresponding anisotropy axes, but are tilted towards the anisotropy axis on Dy. Due to the small exchange splitting, complex 31 is found in the "paramagnetic regim", when the magnetic ions are practically uncoupled for all temperatures at which the measurements were done.


Figure 3.54 Main anisotropy axes on Dy ion in compound 31.

### 3.5.1.3 Magnetic Properties of Compounds 30, 31 and 32

The magnetic properties of compounds $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ were studied by Dr. Yanhua Lan (Prof. A. K. Powell). The magnetic susceptibility measurement of compounds $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ are shown in Figure 3.55. At room temperature, the $\chi T$ products of $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ are 16.52, 23.26, and $15.82 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. These values are in good agreement with the expected values for the presence of two $S=5 / 2 \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions ( $S=5 / 2, C=4.375 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $g=2$ ) and for 30, one $\operatorname{Gd}($ III $)$ ion ( $S=7 / 2, L=0,{ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}, C=7.875 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $g=2$ ), for 31, one Dy(III) metal ion ( $S=5 / 2, L=5,{ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}, C=14.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $g=4 / 3$ ), as well as for 33 , one Tm (III) ion $\left(S=1, L=5,{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}, C=7.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$ with $\left.g=7 / 6\right) .{ }^{[102]}$ The $\chi T$ product of compound 30 is almost constant up to 60 K , after which it increases to reach $21.79 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$
at 1.8 K (Figure 3.55), indicating ferromagnetic interaction between the neighboring $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and Gd (III) ions.


Figure 3.55 Temperature dependence of the $\chi T$ product for compounds $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ at 1000 Oe.

The $M$ versus $H$ measurements as a function of field (Figure 3.56, left) for compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ reveal a slow increase of the magnetization, which reaches a saturation of $17 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 7 T . The $M$ vs $H / T$ curves (Figure 3.56, right) at different temperatures are superposed to show the absence of magnetic anisotropy in the compound 30. The two solid lines (Figure 3.57) correspond to the calculated curves with Brillouin functions of one $17 / 2$ spin and the sum of three spins of $5 / 2,7 / 2$ and $5 / 2$, respectively. The magnetization is close to the latter with three isolated spins, suggesting weak ferromagnetic interactions between Mn (II) and $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$ ions.


Figure 3.56 Field dependence of magnetization (left) and $M$ vs $H / T$ (right), for $\mathbf{3 0}$ from 2-5 K .


Figure 3.57 Field dependence of magnetization for $\mathbf{3 0}$ at 2 K , the solid lines are calculated curves with Brillouin functions.

For compound 31, the $\chi T$ product slowly decreases to reach $21 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 11 K and then slightly increases to reach $23.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K (Figure 3.55). This suggests the presence of weak intramolecular ferromagnetic interactions between Mn (II) and Dy(III) metal ions.


Figure 3.58 Field dependence of magnetization (left) and $M$ vs $H / T$ (right), for $\mathbf{3 1}$ from 2-5 K.

The field dependence of the magnetization plots at different temperatures show that the magnetization is smoothly increasing with increasing applied dc field (Figure 3.58, left). At 7 T , the magnetization value is $15.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$, which is in good agreement with the expected value $\left(15.23 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. There is no clear saturation of magnetization, suggesting the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or the population of low-lying excited states. Also, the plot of $M$ vs $H / T$ at different temperatures shows that the curves are not superposed on a single master curve (Figure 3.58 right), further indicating the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states.


Figure 3.59 Frequency dependence of the in-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) and out-of-phase ( $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ ) susceptibilities for compound 31 .

As shown in the plots of ac susceptibilities (Figure 3.59), almost no slow magnetic relaxation is observed in the $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}$ Dy compound under zero dc field, and the slow relaxation is also not induced by applying an external dc field. This indicates that the introduction of Mn (II) into the mononuclear Dy(III) system (e.g. compound 26) can switch off SMM behavior. These results are well explained with the help of $a b$ initio calculations. Due to a very weak Dy-Mn exchange (or, generally, magnetic) interaction, the manganese ions in $\mathbf{3 1}$ will have independently reorienting magnetic moments already at $\mathrm{T} \geq 2 \mathrm{~K}$. In this "paramagnetic regim" the latter are merely sources of random magnetic field for the dysprosium ion, inducing the dynamics of its magnetic moment due to a tunneling splitting $\Delta_{n n}=\frac{1}{2} \mu_{B} g_{\perp} H_{\perp}$. This is expected to be large given the non-negligible values of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ for Dy in 31 (Section 3.4.1) as compared to other dysprosium complexes, and the relatively large $H_{\perp}$ as compared to its typical values from intermolecular interactions. ${ }^{[143]}$ On the contrary, $H_{\perp}$ is much weaker in 26, since it derives from dipolar intermolecular interactions only, while $g_{\perp}$ is smaller than in $\mathbf{3 1}$ (Section 3.5.1.2). Since the tunneling rate of the magnetic moment is proportional to $\Delta_{m n}^{2}{ }^{[144],[145]}$ the above estimates suggest that it will be orders of magnitude larger in 31 than in 26, explaining why the former is not a SMM.
For 32, the $\chi T$ product decreases upon decreasing the temperature and reach to $9.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K (Figure 3.55), suggesting the presence of weak intramolecular antiferromagnetic interactions between Mn (II) and Tm (III) metal ions.


Figure $3.60 M$ vs $H$ (left) and $M$ versus $H / T$ (right) plots for $\mathbf{3 2}$ from 2 K to 5 K .

The magnetization of $\mathbf{3 2}$ increases with increasing dc field (Figure 3.60, left). At 7 T, the value is $13.5 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (at 2 K ) without a clear saturation. The plots of $M$ vs $H / T$ at several low temperatures show that the curves are not superposed on a single master curve (Figure 3.60, right), indicating the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states.

In summary, a series of trinuclear complexes 29-32 have been synthesized and characterized. The magnetic studies of compounds $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ show that ferromagnetic interactions exist between the metal ions (Mn(II)-Gd(III) and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ ), while there are antiferromagnetic interactions between the Mn (II)- Tm (III) ions.

### 3.5.2 Trinuclear Nickel and Lanthanide Metal Complexes

The treatment of 3-formylsalicylic acid, tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) with $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}$ in the presence of triethylamine in methanol / water $(15 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ afforded the trinuclear 3d-4f compound formulated as [Dy $\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14}$ ] (34) (Scheme 3.10). The base, triethylamine, plays an important role for the formation of the 3d-4f complex.


Scheme 3.10 Synthetic scheme of compound 34.

Compound 34 was obtained as yellow crystals and characterized by standard analytical / spectroscopic techniques. The solid state structure was established by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.61). The in situ generation of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ and the free tren ligand coordinated with nickel and dysprosium ions resulted in trinuclear complexes. Although tren ligands contain transition metal complexes are known in literature, trinuclear 3d-4f complexes containing both ligands $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right.$ and tren) are not reported in the literature. The IR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ shows characteristic bands of functional groups (see experimental section).

Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P-1$. The asymmetric unit of compound 34 contains a $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}$ coordination cation, three $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anion, fourteen water molecules. Selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in the caption of Figure 3.61.


Figure 3.61 Solid state structure of the $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}$ cation of compound 34, omitting hydrogen atoms for clarity. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Ni1-N7 2.055(7), Ni1-N9 2.105(7), Ni1-N10 2.104(7), Ni1-N11 2.126(7), Ni1-N12 2.099(7), Ni1-O2 2.160(5), Ni2-N3 2.11(2), Ni2-N13 2.090(9), Ni2-N14 2.088(10), Ni2-N15 2.108(7), Ni2-N16 2.096(8), Ni2-O8 2.092(5), Dy1-O1 2.317(6), Dy1O3 2.333(5), Dy1-O5 2.369(6), Dy1-O6 2.358(5), Dy1-O7 2.359(5), Dy1-O9 2.312(5), Dy1-O10 2.324(5), Dy1O12 2.368(5), N7-Ni1-N10 96.6(3), N7-Ni1-N11 95.2(3), N7-Ni1-N12 178.8(3), N9-Ni1-N10 91.5(3), N9-Ni1N11 164.6(3), N7-Ni1-O2 91.2(2), N10-Ni1-O2 172.2(3), N13-Ni2-N16 83.1(3), N14-Ni2-N16 83.4(4), N15-Ni2-N16 83.5(3), O1-Dy1-O3 72.3(2), O1-Dy1-O5 71.6(2), O1-Dy1-O9 78.2(2), O3-Dy1-O12 145.56(2), O5-Dy1-O10 141.30(2).

The cationic $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}$ core (Figure 3.61) contains one dysprosium ion, two nickel ions, two $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)^{2-}$ ligands and two tren ligands. To balance the charge, each $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ acts as a double negative charge due to the two carboxylate that are deprotonated upon crystallization. Thus, the dysprosium shows an oxidation state of +3 and nickel shows an oxidatation state of +2 . Both the nickel ions, Ni 1 and Ni 2 , are surrounded by ligands, tren and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)^{2-}$. Each nickel is six coordinated: they are ligated to five nitrogen atoms (around Ni1; N7,N9-N12 and around Ni2; N3, N13-N16) from both of the ligand and one oxygen ( O 2 for Ni1 and O8 for Ni2). The nickel ions Ni1 and Ni2 exhibit distorted octahedral geometry. The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths are in the range of 2.101(5) $\AA$ to $2.154(5) \AA$ and $2.060(12) \AA$ to $2.139(7) \AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ is situated in the center and is eight-fold coordinated: it is ligated to four phenoxy oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O} 9$ and O 12 ) and four carboxylate oxygen atoms $(\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 5, \mathrm{O} 7$ and O 10$)$ from two different $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)^{2-}$ ligands. Hence, the coordination environment of $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ can be described as a distorted square-antiprism polyhedron with Dy-O bond lengths in the range of $2.313(5) \AA$ to $2.376(5) \AA$. The distances
between dysprosium and nickel ions are Dy1-Ni1 5.473(3) $\AA$ and Dy1-Ni2 5.62(3) $\AA$, while the distance of the two nickel ions, $\mathrm{Ni} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Ni} 2$, is $8.196(4) \AA$, resulting in a V-shaped structure.

### 3.5.2.1 Magnetic Properties of Compound 34

The magnetic susceptibility measurement of compound 34 is shown in Figure 3.62. At 300 K , the $\chi T$ product is $16.11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is in good agreement with the expected value of $16.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ for two $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})(S=1$ and $g=2$ ) ions and one Dy(III) metal ions ( $S=5 / 2$, $L=5, g=4 / 3,{ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}$ and $\left.C=14.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}\right) .{ }^{[102]}$ Upon decreasing the temperature, the $\chi T$ product at 1 kOe continuously decreases to reach $11.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 1.8 K . The profile of the $\chi T$ product indicates that antiferromagnetic interactions may be present in this compound.


Figure 3.62 Temperature dependence of the $\chi T$ product for compounds 34 at 1 kOe (left); Field dependence of magnetization for 34 from 2-5 K (right).

The field dependence of magnetizations from 2-5 K for compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ is shown in Figure 3.62. The magnetization slowly inreases to $10.0 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at 2 K and 7 T without true saturation, suggesting the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states.

In summary, a new V shaped compound $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14}\right]$ (34) has been prepared by the use of an unknown polypodal ligand $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)$, which is generated from an in situ reaction. The magnetic property of compound 34 suggests the presence of an anitiferromegnic interaction between neighboring metal centers.

## 4 Experimental Sections

### 4.1 Spectroscopic Studies

All compounds were characterized spectroscopically. IR were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum instrument or a Bruker IFS 113v FTIR spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 300 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to internal solvent resonances and are reported relative to tetramethylsilane. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Chemotrade or Euriso-Top GmbH (99 atom\% D). Elemental analyses were carried out with an Elementar vario EL III. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed on a Bruker Esquire 3000plus ion trap instrument in positive and negative ionization mode. TGA measurements were made on a Netzsch STA 429 instrument. The magnetic measurements were carried out with the use of a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS. This magnetometer works between 1.8 and 400 K for dc applied fields ranging from -7 to 7 T . Measurements were performed on the polycrystalline samples dispersed in eicosane. The magnetic data were corrected for the sample holder. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption / desorption isotherms were performed on a multi-point BELSORP-mini II from BEL. All the analytical experiments mentioned were not applied to all of the compounds due to different experimental requirements or stability considerations.

### 4.2 Syntheses

All chemicals and solvents used for synthesis were obtained from chemical sources and were used as received without further purification. All reactions were carried out in aerobic conditions.

### 4.2.1 Ligands Syntheses

### 4.2.1.1 Synthesis of $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ )

A solution of ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ethylenediamine in 10 mL of ethanol was added dropwise to a solution of ( $665 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 4-formyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid in 20 mL ethanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solid was filtered and washed with 10 mL cold ethanol and dried in vacuo. Yield: $610 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $3.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 7.4-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 59.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 117.5(\mathrm{Ar}), 119.7$ (Ar), 119.8 (Ar), 121.5 (Ar), 131,8 (Ar), $160.5(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 166.9(\mathrm{Ar}), 167.9(\mathrm{COOH}) . \mathrm{EI} / \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{ev}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 356\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 0.6\right), 179$ ([M/2] $\left.{ }^{+}, 86\right), 208\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{+}, 35\right), 60\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{+}, 68\right), 30\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{+}, 100\right)$. IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3416$ (m), 2969 (w), 2898 (w), 1699 (s), 1634 (s), 1535 (s), 1388 ( s), 1316 (m), 1282 (s), 1214 (s), 1130 (w), 1079 (m), 1030 (s), 783 (m), 762 (s) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

### 4.2.1.2 Synthesis of $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$

A solution of ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 1,3-diaminopropane in 10 mL ethanol was added dropwise a solution of ( $0.664 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 4-formyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid in 20 mL ethanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solid was filtered and wash with 10 mL cold ethanol and dried in vacuo. Yield: $0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.6$ (br, s, $\mathrm{OH}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 7.4-7.3 (m, 6H, Ar) 3.74 (t, 4H, CH2), 2.05 (qn, 2H, CH2). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3406(\mathrm{w}), 2963(\mathrm{w}), 2897(\mathrm{w}), 2851$ (w), 1702 (m), 1634 (s), 1530 (s), 1463 (w), 1392 (s), 1335 (w), 1319 (w), 1257 (w), 1211 (m), 1138 (w), 1094 (w), 1069 (w), 982 (m), 959 (m), 931 (m), 900 (w), 813 (m), 779 ( s$) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

### 4.2.1.3 Synthesis of $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)$

A solution of ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 1,3-diamino-2-propanol in 10 mL ethanol was added dropwise a solution of ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 4-formyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid in 20 mL ethanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solid was filtered and wash with 10 mL cold ethanol and dried in vacuo. Yield: $315 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ (based on amine). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.6$ (br, s, OH ), $8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 7.6-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.07$ (pentate, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 3.82
$\left(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 63.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.6(\mathrm{CHOH}), 117.7$ (Ar), 119.4 (Ar), $122.3(\mathrm{Ar}), 132.1(\mathrm{Ar}), 134.6(\mathrm{Ar}), 160.1(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 167(\mathrm{Ar}), 167.3(\mathrm{COOH})$. IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3406$ (w), 2963 (w), 2897 (w), 2851 (w), 1702 (m), 1634 (s), 1530 (s), 1463 (w), 1392 (s), 1335 (w), 1319 (w), 1257 (w), 1211 (m), 1138 (w), 1094 (w), 1069 (w), 982 (m), 959 (m), 931 (m), 900 (w), 813 (m), 779 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.2.1.4 Synthesis of $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-hydroxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propa-nol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)$

A solution of ( $361 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 1,3-diamino 2-propanol in 10 mL of methanol was added dropwise to a solution of $(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ 2,4-dihydroxy benzaldehyde in 20 mL methanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solid was filtered and washed with 10 mL cold methanol and dried in vacuo. Yield: $1.27 \mathrm{gm}, 92 \%$ (based on aldehyde). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 61.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.33(\mathrm{CHOH})$, 103.63 (Ar), 107.66 (Ar), 112.03 (Ar), 134.41 (Ar), $163.05(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 166.71$ (Ar). EI/MS (70 ev) m/z (\%): 330 ([M] $\left.]^{+}, 0.7\right), 165\left([M / 2]^{+}, 8\right), 211\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{+}, 9\right)$. IR ( KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=$ 3552 (w), 3478 (w), 3414 (s), 3058 (w), 2925 (w), 2882 (w), 2468 (w), 1638 (s), 1617 (s), 1500 (m), 1438 (m), 1369 (w), 1286 (w), 1235 ( s), 1191 (w), 1114 (s), 1003 (w), 977 (w), 883 (w), 839 (m), 783 (m), 751 (w), $740(\mathrm{w}), 678(\mathrm{w}), 620(\mathrm{~s}), 465(\mathrm{~m}), 413(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.2.2 Synthesis of Novel Compounds

### 4.2.2.1 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Complexes 1-2

A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(360 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 30 mL of DMF and added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then 1 mmol of $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=4 ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}$ and $\mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cu})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and the reaction mixture was filtered and kept for crystallization. After 2 days orange red needle shaped crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum.

### 4.2.2.1.1 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{NiL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$

Yield: $=330 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), $7.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}) 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 58.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 115.8(\mathrm{Ar}), 119.4(\mathrm{Ar}), 122.4(\mathrm{Ar}), 133.2(\mathrm{Ar}), 141.1(\mathrm{Ar}), 161.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 162.4(\mathrm{Ar}), 175.2(\mathrm{COO})$. IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3240(\mathrm{~m}), 2952(\mathrm{w}), 2924(\mathrm{w}), 1624$ (s), 1571 (s), 1525 (s), 1481 (m), 1419 (m), 1390 (s), 1345 (m), 1284 (s), 1219 (s), 1139 (w), 1109 (w), 1091 (m), 1056 (m), 972 (m), 889 (w), 772 (s), 743 (w), 619 (w), 539 (w), 467 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ : C, 40.18; H, 3.93; N, 5.2. Found: C, 39.91; H, 3.87; N, 5.16.

### 4.2.2.1.2 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{CuL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{n}(2)$

Yield: $38 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$. IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3264$ (m), 2969 (w), 2947 (w), 1630 (s), 1517 (s), 1522 (s), 1478 (m), 1435 (w), 1390 (s), 1280 (m), 1195 (s), 1139 (w), 1108 (w), 1087 (w), 1046 (w), 972 (m), 895 (w), 827 (w), 797 (w), 773 (s), 633 (w), 590 (w), 521 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ : C, 39.82; H, 3.9; N, 5.16. Found: C, 39.30; H, 3.91; N, 4.88.

### 4.2.2.2 Synthesis of $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]_{\mathrm{n}}(3)$

A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.314 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 15 mL of DMSO and added a solution of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirring for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and the reaction mixture was filtered and kept for crystallization. After 2 months orange red crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: $28 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$. IR (KBr Pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=2986$ (w), 2908 (m), 2851 (w), 1919 (br), 1686 (m), 1616 ( s ), 1528 (m), 1443 (w), 1420 ( s$), 1387$ ( s ), 1297 ( s$), 1255$ (m), 1221 (w), 1107 (w), 1030 (s), 970 (m), 887 (w), 814 (m), 764 (s), 615 (w), 553 (w), 463 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{LiN}_{2} \mathrm{NiO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 48.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.63$. Found: C, 48.12; H, 3.97; N, 5.41.

### 4.2.2.3 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$

A solution of ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}(370 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 20 mL DMF and added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(162 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 30 min at room temperature, filtered and kept for crystallization. After 2 days green block shaped crystal were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: $142 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ (based on Ni). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3468$ (m), 3415 (br), 3232 (w), 2941 (w), 2857 (w), 2296 (w), 1620 ( s), 1572 (w), 1524 (s), 1402 (s), 1292 (s), 1197 (m), 1150 (w), 1120 (w), 1077 (w), 968 (m), 909 (w), 889 (w), 830 (w), 799 (w), 777 ( s), 615 (m), $467(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ (corresponds to loss of the eight lattices $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, 41.23; H, 3.81; N, 4.93. Found: C, 41.19; H, 3.52; N, 4.83.

### 4.2.2.4 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(5)$

A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 20 mL MeOH and added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 30 min at room temperature and filtered. Diethyl ether was allowed to diffuse into the filtrate at ambient temperature. After 2 days green needle shaped crystal were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: 23 mg , $22 \%$ (based on Cu ). IR ( KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=$ 3415 ( s), 2920 (m), 2852 (w), 2346 (w), 1617 (s), 1574 (m), 1526 (s), 1483 (w), 1427 (w), 1386 (s) 1295 (m), 1214 (m), 1140 (w), 1126 (w), 1060 (m), 1003 (w), 969 (m), 894 (w), 807 (m), 777 (s), 743 (w), 682 (w), 613 (m), 504 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{17}$ (corresponds to loss of one diethyl ether molecule): C, 45.08 ; H, 3.59; N, 5.26. Found: C, 43.91; H, 3.77; N, 5.24.

### 4.2.2.5 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Complexes 6-9

$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{LNi})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a few drops of water and 5 mL of DMF. Then $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred
at room temperature for 30 min . After 3 months, reddish orange crystals were collected by filtration, washed with cold methanol, diethyl ether and dried in vacuum.

### 4.2.2.5.1 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Er}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(6)$

Yield: $22 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ (based on Ni-salen). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3380$ (m), 2964 (w), 2936 (w), 1664 (m), 1623 (s), 1589 (m), 1418 (w), 1390 (s), 1295 (w), 1217 (w), 1200 (w), 1137 (w), 1115 (w), 979 (m), 836 (w), 812 (w), 774 (s), 685 (w), 540 (w), 467 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{Er}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36}$ : C, 38.24; H, 4.51; N, 7.11. Found: C, 38.68; H, 3.99; N, 6.92.

### 4.2.2.5.4 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Tm}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}}(7)\right.$

Yield: $3 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ (based on Ni-salen). IR (KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=3422$ (m), 2924 (w), 2857 (w), 1622 (s), 1505 (w), 1385 (s), 1287 (w), 1214 (w), 1194 (w), 1110 (w), 1091 (w), 979 (w), 892 (w), 848 (w), 814 (w), 774 (s), 684 (w), 618 (w), 537 (w), 470 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36} \mathrm{Tm}_{2}$ : C, 38.18; H, 4.50; N, 7.10. Found: C, 37.82; H, 3.20; N, 7.16.

### 4.2.2.5.3 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Yb}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{8})$

Yield: $15 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ (based on Ni-salen). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3435$ (m), 2967 (w), 2872 (w), 2361 (m) 1655 (s), 1618 (s), 1519 (s), 1479 (m), 1420 (w), 1292 (s), 1199 (w), 1091 (w), 976 (m), 895 (w), 846 (w), 776 (s), 742 (w), 742 (w), 681 (w), 538 (w), 467 (w), $413(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{Yb}_{2}$ (corresponds to loss of the eight lattices $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): C, 40.73; H, 4.01; N, 7.57. Found: C, 40.61; H, 3.82; N, 7.09.

### 4.2.2.5.2 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Lu}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(9)$

Yield: $25 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ (based on Ni-salen). IR (KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=3402$ (m), 2963 (w), 2919 (w), 1653 (m), 1620 (s), 1580 (m), 1519 (m), 1482 (m), 1424 (s), 1387 (w), 1344 (w), 1296 (s), 1218 (w), 1202 (w), 1115 (w), 1091 (w), 1058 (w), 977 (s), 899 (w), 848 (w), 812 (w), 779 (s), 684 (w), 620 (w), 540 (w), 470 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{Lu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36}$ : C, 37.97; H, 4.48; N, 7.06. Found: C, 38.89; H, 4.08; N, 6.88.

### 4.2.2.6 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(10)$

$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMSO / THF ( $25 / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ $(178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The reaction mixture was then heated under reflux for 2 h , and then cooled to room temperature. Then, the mixture was filtered and kept for crystallization at room temperature. After one month, red needle shaped crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: 54 mg , $21 \%$ (based on $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3423$ (m), 2997 (w), 2919 (w), 1619 ( s$), 1561$ (m), 1518 ( s$), 1483$ (w), 1422 (s), 1410 (w), 1343 (w), 1294 (m), 1217 (w), 1200 (w), 1138 (w), 1092 (w), 1017 (m), 977 (m), 892 (w), 844 (w), 814 (w), 775 ( s), 742 (w), 685 (w), 654 (w), 540 (w), 467 (w) cm ${ }^{1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{DyN}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{13} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 31.92; H, 3.41; N, 5.08. Found: C, 32.48; H, 3.83; N, 4.69.

### 4.2.2.7 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathbf{Y b}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 1 )}$

A solution of ligand $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 30 mL DMF and added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}(180 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.37 mmol ) were added. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h . Then the mixture was filtered and kept for crystallization at room temperature. After 20 days, red needle shaped crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: $25 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%$ (based on Yb). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3411$ (m), 3249 (w), 2957 (w), 2935 (w), 1620 (s), 1576 (m), 1523 (s), 1481 (s), 1421 (w), 1387 ( s), 1285 ( s), 1241 (w), 1218 (m), 1137 (w), 1087 ( s), 1060 (w), 973 ( s), 899 (w), 837 (w), 804 (m), 772 ( s), 774 (w), 689 (w), 655 (w), 612 (w), 541 (w), 466 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{22} \mathrm{Yb}: \mathrm{C}, 42.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.08$; N, 6.12. Found: C, 43.48; H, 3.24; N, 5.81.

### 4.2.2.8 General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 12-16

$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( 0.1 ml ) were combined in 3 mL of DMF with stirring. The resulting solution was then stirred for further 3 h at room temperature and then sealed in a 10 mL glass vial. The glass vial was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 44 h in oven and cooled to room temperature. The red block shaped crystals were collected and washed three times with DMF followed by diethyl ether and dried in air.

### 4.2.2.8.1 $\left[\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 2 )}\right.$

Yield: $52 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ (based on Mn). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2919$ (w), 2848 (m), 1644 (s), 1593 (s), $1528(\mathrm{~m})\left(v_{1}\right), 1437(\mathrm{~m}), 1384(\mathrm{w}), 1385(\mathrm{~m}), 1332(\mathrm{~m}), 1301(\mathrm{~m}), 1277(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right), 1253(\mathrm{~m})$, $1140(\mathrm{w}), 1106(\mathrm{~m}), 1088(\mathrm{~m}), 1033(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right), 975(\mathrm{w}), 903(\mathrm{w}), 828(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{4}\right), 796(\mathrm{~m})$, 738 (s), 672 (m), 638 (w), 611 (m), 504 (m). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ : C, 38.69; H, 4.48 N, 10.74. Found: C, 37.67; H, 4.37; N, 10.39.

### 4.2.2.8.2 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(13)\right.$

Yield: $52 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ (based on Mn). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2931$ (w), 2878 (w), 1645 (s), 1614 (s), 1598 (s), 1528 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1476 (m), 1386 (m), 1304 (w), 1278 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1196 (m), 1138 (m), 1106 (m), 1091 (s), 1036 (m) ( $v_{3}$ ), 976 (s), 902 (m), 897 (w), 829 (m) ( $v_{4}$ ), 810 (m), 797 (w), 776 (s), 739 (m), 673 (w), 639 (s), 611 (m), 555 (w), 504 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ (corresponds to loss of the five DMF molecules): C, $35.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.26$; N, 8.72. Found: 35.23; H, 3.78; N, 8.56.

### 4.2.2.8.3 $\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(14)$

Yield: $56 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ (based on Mn). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2940$ (w), 2871 (w), 1644 (s), 1615 (s), 1599 ( s , 1529 (m), 1464 (m), 1402 (m), 1385 (m), 1338 (m), 1305 (m), 1278 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), $1251(\mathrm{~m}), 1196(\mathrm{w}), 1138(\mathrm{~m}), 1107(\mathrm{w}), 1090(\mathrm{~m}), 1037(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right), 976(\mathrm{~m}), 902(\mathrm{~s}), 865(\mathrm{~m})$, $829(\mathrm{~m}), 816(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{4}\right), 798(\mathrm{~m}), 777(\mathrm{~m}), 740(\mathrm{~s}), 675(\mathrm{~s}), 638(\mathrm{~s}), 614(\mathrm{~m}), 588(\mathrm{w}), 504(\mathrm{w})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ (corresponds to loss of the four DMF molecules): C, 36.26; H, 3.52; N, 9.12. Found: 36.05; H, 4.12; N, 9.25.

### 4.2.2.8.4 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 5})\right.$

Yield: $53 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ (based on Mn). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2939$ (w), 2892 (w), 1645 (s), 1617 (s), 1601 ( s , 1529 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1472 (m), 1386 (m), 1337 (m), 1308 ( s$), 1278$ (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ), 1197 (m), 1106 ( s , 1062 ( s$), 1038$ ( s$\left.)\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right), 977(\mathrm{~m}), 903(\mathrm{~m}), 830(\mathrm{~s}), 815(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{v}_{4}\right), 798(\mathrm{~m}), 776(\mathrm{~s})$, 740 (s), 672 (s), 638 (m), 614 (m), 595 (m), 540 (m), 503 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ (corresponds to loss of the three DMF molecules): C, $36.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.77$; N, 9.52. Found: 36.38; H, 4.15; N, 9.59.

### 4.2.2.8.5 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(16)\right.$

16: Yield: $47 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ (based on Mn). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \overline{\mathrm{v}}=2938$ (w), 2894 (w), 1646 (s), 1617 ( s ), 1602 ( s , 1529 ( s$) ~\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right), 1470$ (m), 1404 ( s$), 1385$ ( s$), 1337$ ( s$), 1308$ (m), 1278 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ), 1253 (m), 1196 ( s$), 1107$ ( s$), 1091$ ( s$), 1039$ (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ ), 977 (m), 913 ( s$), 897(\mathrm{~s}), 828(\mathrm{~s})\left(\mathrm{v}_{4}\right)$, 816 (m), 799 (s), 778 (m), 740 (m), 675 (s), 638 (m), 615 (m), 559 (w), 504 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ : C, 37.98; H, 4.40; N, 10.55. Found: 37.06; H, 4.25; N, 10.09.

### 4.2.2.9 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Complexes 17-21

$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( 0.1 ml ) were combined in 3 mL of DMF with stirring. The resulting solution was then stirred for further 3 h at room temperature and then sealed in a 10 mL glass vial. The glass vial was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 44 h in oven and cooled to room temperature. The red block shaped crystals were collected and washed three times with DMF followed by diethyl ether and dried in air.

### 4.2.2.9.1 $\left[\mathrm{Y}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 7})$

Yield: $45 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ (based on Fe). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2929$ (w), 2855 (w), 1614 (s), 1531 (m), $1476(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right), 1403(\mathrm{~m}), 1382(\mathrm{~m}), 1307(\mathrm{w}), 1278(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right), 1196(\mathrm{~m}), 1104(\mathrm{~m}), 1046(\mathrm{~m})$ $\left(v_{3}\right), 976(\mathrm{~s}), 903(\mathrm{~m}), 814(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{4}\right), 801(\mathrm{~m}), 776(\mathrm{~s}), 741(\mathrm{~m}), 678(\mathrm{~m}), 631(\mathrm{~m}), 534(\mathrm{w})$, 496 (w), 413 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ : C, 40.97; H, 4.75; N, 11.38. Found: 40.18; H, 4.61; N, 10.41.

### 4.2.2.9.2 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(18)$

Yield: $53 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ (based on Fe). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2931$ (w), 2862 (w), 1634 (m), 1613 (s), 1597 (m), 1532 (m), 1462 (m), 1435 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1401 (w), 1384 (w), 1331 (m), 1305 (m), 1281 (m), 1253 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ), $1194(\mathrm{w}), 1107(\mathrm{~m}), 1093(\mathrm{~m}), 1061(\mathrm{~s}), 1036(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right), 978(\mathrm{~m}), 899(\mathrm{w})$, $816(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right), 806(\mathrm{w}), 778(\mathrm{~m}), 740(\mathrm{~s}), 676(\mathrm{~m}), 627(\mathrm{w}), 612(\mathrm{~m}), 553(\mathrm{w})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ : C, 38.35; H, $4.44 \mathrm{~N}, 10.65$. Found: C, 37.83; H, 4.40; N, 10.27.

### 4.2.2.9.3 $\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(19)\right.$

Yield: $58 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ (based on Fe). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2933$ (w), 2914 (w), 1674 (m), 1647 (s), 1600 ( s ), 1531 (m), 1477 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1401 (m), 1331 (m), 1301 (w), 1281 (s) ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ), 1253 (m), 1197 (m), 1107 (m), 1033 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ ), 976 ( s$), 903$ (w), 839 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{4}$ ), 811 (m), 800 (w), 776 ( s ),

740 (s), 675 (s), 629 (m), 526 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ (corresponds to loss of the five DMF molecules): C, 35.63 ; H, 3.24; N, 8.66. Found: 35.10; H, 3.34; N, 8.32.

### 4.2.2.9.4 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 0})\right.$

Yield: $56 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ (based on Fe). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2933$ (w), 2914 (w), 1671 (m), 1644 (s), 1600 ( s ), 1532 (m), 1464 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 1402 ( s$), 1384(\mathrm{~m}), 1307$ (m), 1282 (w), 1253 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ), $1194(\mathrm{~m}), 1107$ (m), 1093 ( s$), 1039$ ( s$) ~\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right), 978(\mathrm{~m}), 913(\mathrm{w}), 838(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right), 815(\mathrm{~m}), 798(\mathrm{~s})$, 778 (m), 741 (s), 676 (s), 660 (w), 627 (s), 554 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ : C, 38.08; H, 4.41 N, 10.57. Found: C, 37.63; H, 4.36; N, 10.01.

### 4.2.2.9.5 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(21)$

Yield: $62 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ (based on Fe). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=2925$ (w), 2860 (w), 1616 (s), 1532 (w), $1475(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right), 1404(\mathrm{~s}), 1281(\mathrm{~s}), 1253(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right), 1198(\mathrm{~m}), 1104(\mathrm{~m}), 1038(\mathrm{~m})\left(v_{3}\right), 978(\mathrm{~s})$, 906 (w), 802 (m) ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), 776 ( s$), 740$ ( s$), 676$ (s), 629 (s), 555 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ (corresponds to loss of the six DMF molecules): C, 34.75; H, 2.92 N, 8.10. Found: C, 34.63; H, 3.42; N, 8.20.

### 4.2.2.10 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right]$ (22)

The ligand $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium tertiary butoxide ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 15 mL methanol. To this solution $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 10 min , filtered and kept for crystallization at room temperature. After 2 days, needle shaped black crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: $26 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$. IR ( KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=3436(\mathrm{~m})$, 2918 (w), 2845 (w), 2360 (w), 1583 (s), 1544 (w), 1482 (m), 1355 (w), 1301 (w), 1232 (s), 1180 (w), 1127 (s), 1009 (w), 845 (w), 802 (w), 760 (w), 720 (w), 643 (w), 603 (m), 504 (m), 451 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ (corresponds to replacement of three lattices

MeOH by three $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules): C, 45.45 ; H, 5.18 ; N, 5.44 . Found: C, 45.70 ; H, 4.45; N, 5.77 .

### 4.2.2.11 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$ (23)

The ligand $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 20 mL methanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(51 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.2 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 10 min , filtered and kept for crystallization at room temperature. Within one week needle shaped black crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Yield: $63 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$. IR ( KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3309$ (m), 2935 (w), 2988 (w), 2353 (w), 1646 (s), 1607 (s), 1570 (w), 1489 (s), 1454 (m), 1403 (w), 1349 (w), 1277 (s), 1220 (m), 1191 (w), 1132 (w), 1057 (s), 1023 (w), 991 (s), 907 (w), 851 (s), 805 (w), 759 (s), 717 (m), 673 (m), 660 (m), 636 (m), 571 (m), 476 (w), 412 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : C, $45.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.08 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.30$. Found: C, 45.56; H, 5.27; N, 6.98.

### 4.2.2.12 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Complexes 24-29

To a stirred solution of 3-formylsalicylic acid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ tris-(2-aminoethyl)amine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}$ and Dy$)$ and $\mathrm{m}=6(\mathrm{~Tb}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Tm}$, and Ho$))(0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was then stirred for further 12 h at room temperature, filtered and kept for crystallization. After 2 days, yellow color block shaped crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum.

### 4.2.2.12.1 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (24)

Yield: $47 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ (based on Eu). IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=3238$ (br), 2925 (w), 2824 (w), 1647 (s), 1602 (s), 1537 (s), 1452 (m), 1374 (s), 1310 (m), 1225 (m), 1149 (m), 1020 (m), 953 (w), 870 (w), 761 (w), 643 (w), 608 (w), 489 (w), 431 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{EuN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ : C, 42.93; H, 5.64 N, 9.79. Found: C, 41.28; H, 5.77; N, 10.72. ESI-MS (MeOH): For positive ion
mode- $1035.307\left(\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. For an anionic ion mode- 1033.263 $\left(\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$.

### 4.2.2.12.3 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](25)$

Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%$ based on Tb$)$. IR $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=3466$ (br), 2926 (w), 2815 (w), 1646 (s), 1603 (s), 1537 (s), 1478 (m), 1452 (m), 1387 (s), 1311 (m), 1247 (m), 1225 (m), 1149 (m), 1107 (m), 1067 (w), 1032 (m), 954 (w), 871 (w), 761 (w), 644 (w), 611 (w), 564 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{~Tb}$ (corresponds to loss of the six lattices $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and one ethanol): C, $46.36 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.77; N, 11.06. Found: C, 46.15; H, 5.06; N, 10.68. ESI-MS (MeOH): For positive ion mode$1041.311\left(\left[\mathrm{~Tb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. For an anionic ion mode- $1039.281\left(\left[\mathrm{~Tb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$.

### 4.2.2.12.2 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](26)$

Yield: $53 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ (based on Dy). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3420$ (br), 3049 (br), 2951 (w), 2825 (w), 1650 (s), 1609 (s), 1538 (s), 1452 (m), 1381 (s), 1221 (m), 1187 (m), 1145 (m), 1031 (m), 867 (m), 762 (m), 641 (m), 628 (w), 445 (w). cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{DyN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ (corresponds to additionally four lattices $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ moleclues): C, $44.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.96 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.69$. Found: C, 44.99; H, 5.02; N, 10.61. ESI-MS (MeOH): For positive ion mode- 1046.289 $\left(\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. For an anionic ion mode- $1044.287\left(\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$, 2087.531 $\left(\left\{\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\right\}^{-}\right)$.

### 4.2.2.12.4 $\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (27)

Yield: $45 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ (based on Er). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3446$ (br), 2964 (w), 2828 (w), 1652 (s), 1607 (s), 1544 (s), 1482 (w), 1455 (m), 1385 (s), 1315 (m), 1229 (m), 1201(w), 1152 (m), 1069 (w), 1020 (w), 956 (w), 873 (m), 764 (m), 647 (m), 619 (w), 439 (s). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{ErN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ (corresponds to loss of the three lattices $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules): $\mathrm{C}, 44.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.33 \mathrm{~N}$, 10.10. Found: C, 43.93; H, 4.84; N, 10.48. ESI-MS (MeOH): For positive ion mode$1048.272\left(\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. For an anionic ion mode- $1046.261\left(\left[\operatorname{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$.

### 4.2.2.12.5 $\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](28)$

Yield: $48 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ (based on Tm). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \overline{\mathrm{v}}=3470$ (br), 2938 (w), 2812 (w), 1646 (s), 1604 ( s , 1539 ( s$), 1480$ (m), 1452 ( s$), 1391$ ( s$), 1247$ (m), 1200 (m), 1150 (m), 1107 (m), 1068 (m), 1033 (m), 954 (m), 898 (w), 873 (w) 762 (w), 645 (w), 616 (w), 520 (w), 485 (w), 432 (w). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ Tm: C, 42.37; H, $5.57 \mathrm{~N}, 9.67$. Found: C, 41.73; H, 5.40; N, 9.93. ESI-MS (MeOH): For positive ion mode- $1051.321\left(\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. For an anionic ion mode- $1049.301\left(\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$.

### 4.2.2.13 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Complexes 29-33

3-formylsalicylic acid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tris (2-aminoethyl)amine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in ethanol / water ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) mixture. Then triethylamine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1$ mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. To the resulting solution $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}(75 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}$ and Dy) and $\mathrm{m}=6(\mathrm{Tm}$ and Lu$))(0.1$ mmol ) were added and the subsequent mixture was stirred for another 12 h . The solution was then filtered and kept for crystallization. Within one week needle shaped yellow crystals were collected, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum.

### 4.2.2.13.1 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (29)

Yield: $57 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$. (based on Eu). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3447$ (br), 2907 (w), 2858 (w), 1634 (s), 1594 ( s), 1554 (s), 1440 ( s), 1414 (s), 1373 (w), 1332 (m), 1297 (s), 1231 (m), 1193 (m), 1159 (m), 1094 ( s , , 1026 (m), 997 (m), 986 (m), 962 (m), 877 (m), $832(\mathrm{~m}), 801(\mathrm{~m}), 764(\mathrm{~s})$, $667(\mathrm{~m}), 624(\mathrm{~m}), 600(\mathrm{w}), 483(\mathrm{w}), 457(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClEuMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ : C, 45.49; H, 5.45; N, 9.47. Found: C, 45.41; H, 5.34; N, 9.44.

### 4.2.2.13.2 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (30)

Yield: $45 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$. (based on Gd). IR (KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=3415$ (br), 3293 (w), 3246 (w), 2923 (m), 2864 (w), 2360 (m) 1635(s), 1558 (s), 1440 (s), 1406 (m), 1295 (s), 1233 (w), 1094 (s), 1028 (m), 986 (w), 961 (w), 876 (m), 833 (w), 803 (w), 765 (w), 666 (w), 624 (w), 480 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClGdMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ : C, 45.33; H, 5.43; N, 9.44. Found: C, 44.87; H, 5.43; N, 9.39.

### 4.2.2.13.3 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (31)

31: Yield: $48 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ (based on Dy). IR (KBr pellet): $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=3451$ (w), 3480 (w), 3415 (br), 3283 (w), 3232 (w), 2906 (w), 2857 (w), 1637 (s), 1594 (m), 1553 (s), 1440 (m), 1416 (s), 1405 (w), 1332 (w), 1298 (m), 1227 (m), 1194 (w), 1159 (m), 1093 (s), 1026 (m), 962 (m), 878 (s), 832 (m), 802 (m), 764 (s), 666 (w), 623 (s), 476 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClDyMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ : C, 45.17; H, 5.41; N, 9.41. Found: C, 44.71; H, 5.34; N, 9.26.

### 4.2.2.13.5 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (32)

Yield: $62 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ (based on Tm). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3448$ (w), 2906 (w), 2857 (w), 1635 (s), 1596 (w), 1555 (s), 1453 (m), 1416 (w), 1405 (w), 1375 (w), 1300 (s), 1231 (m), 1195 (w), 1159 (m), 1094 (m), 1027 (m), 963 (m), 878 (s), 833 (w), 803 (m), 764 (m), 669 (w), 624 (s), 601 (w), 482 (w), 459 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{ClMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ Tm: C, 43.90; H, 5.53; N, 9.15. Found: C, 44.80; H, 5.43; N, 9.30.

### 4.2.2.13.4 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (33)

Yield: $59 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$. (based on Lu). IR (KBr pellet): $\bar{v}=3438$ (br), 2902 (w), 2857 (w), 1630 (s), 1594 (m), 1552 (m), 1462 ( s$), 1440$ (m), 1414 (m), 1335 (w), 1301 (m), 1231 (m), 1194 (w), 1162 (w), 1096 (s), 1026 (w), 986 (w), 966 (m), 960 (m), 878 (m), 833 (w), 805 (w), 761 (m), 669 (m), 624 (m), 603 (w), 551 (w), 482 (w), 455 (w), 409 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$. Anal.

Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClLuMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ : C, 44.79; H, 5.37; N, 9.33. Found: C, 45.40; H, 5.44; N, 9.17.
4.2.2.14 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ (34)

3-formylsalicylic acid acid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris (2-aminoethyl)amine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol / water $(15 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ mixture and then triethylamine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. To this solution $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ $(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and reflux at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solution was then filtered and kept for crystallization. Within one week, the yellow crystals were collected, washed with little amount of methanol and dried in vacuum. Yield: $68 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ (based on Dy). IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: \bar{v}=3334,3270$ (br), 2923 (w), 2857 (w), 1651 (s), 1604 (s), 1541 (s), 1477(w), 1453 (s), 1371 (m), 1308 (s), 1226 (s), 1150 (m), 1121(m), 1072 (m), 1016 ( s$), 980(\mathrm{~m}), 873$ ( s$), 829(\mathrm{~m}), 799(\mathrm{~m}), 765(\mathrm{~s}), 616(\mathrm{w}), 541(\mathrm{w})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{DyNi}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{25}$ (corresponds to loss of the ten water molecules): C, 39.30; H, 5.42; N, 15.55. Found: 39.55; H, 5.61; N, 15.70.

## 5 Crystal Structure Measurements

### 5.1 Data Collection with Refinement

The measurement for the structure analyses of this thesis were done with STOE IPDS 2. A suitable crystal was covered in mineral oil (Aldrich) and mounted on a glass fiber. The crystal was transferred directly to the cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream of a STOE IPDS 2 diffractometer ( $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation; $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). Subsequent computations were carried out on an Intel Pentium IV or on a Core2Duo PC. All structures were solved by the Patterson method (SHELXS-97). ${ }^{[146]}$ The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix least-squares techniques on $F$, minimizing the function $\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$, where the weight is defined as $4 F_{0}{ }^{2} / 2\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)$ and $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes using the program SHELXL-97. ${ }^{[146]}$ For this, the following equations are used.

$$
\frac{1}{W}=\sigma^{2} F_{0}^{2}+(a P)^{2}+b P \quad \text { with } \quad P=\frac{\max \left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{C}^{2}}{3}
$$

The values proposed by the SHELXL were accepted as the coefficients $a$ and $b$. With this the determined optimum values are

$$
R_{1}=\frac{\sum\left|F_{0}\right|-\mid F_{c} \|}{\sum\left|F_{0}\right|} \quad \text { and } \quad w R_{2}=\left[\frac{\sum\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{C}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{0.5}}{\sum\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]}\right]^{0}
$$

The Goodness of Fit is another important factor for the quality of the structural analysis, and is defined as:

GooF $=S=\left[\frac{\sum\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{C}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]}{(n-p)}\right]^{0.5}$

Where $n$ is the number of reflections and $p$ is the total number of parameters refined. The graphical representation was done using the program DIAMOND. Carbon-bound hydrogen atom positions were calculated. The hydrogen atom contributions were calculated, but not refined. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as
well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities in each case were of no chemical significance.

### 5.2 Crystallograpic Data

### 5.2.1 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{NiL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(1)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1076.12 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $8.860(2), 14.699(3), 17.013(3)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{/}, \beta / /^{\circ}, \gamma$ | $83.43(3), 77.74(3), 79.98(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2120.7(7)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $173(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.019 |
| No. of reflections measured | 15591 |
| No. of independent reflections | 8576 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0583 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0440 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1134 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.976 |

### 5.2.2 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{CuL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2})$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1085.78 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $8.860(2), 14.669(3), 17.013(3)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $83.43(3), 77.74(3), 79.98(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2120.7(7)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $173(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integrationl |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.134 |
| No. of reflections measured | 37382 |
| No. of independent reflections | 8458 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1083 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values (I > 2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0440 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1226 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.041 |

### 5.2.3 $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]_{\mathrm{n}}(3)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{LiN}_{2} \mathrm{NiO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 497.08 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 21 / n$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $16.234(3), 8.1754(16), 17.328(3)$. |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $111.16(3)$ |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2020.9(7)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 4 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.111 |
| No. of reflections measured | 15178 |
| No. of independent reflections | 4124 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0598 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0414 |
| Final wR 2 values $($ all data $)$ | 0.1000 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.058 |

### 5.2.4 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right){ }_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{29}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1280.27 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 21 / m$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $23.2692(10), 18.0786(8), 10.5869(4)$. |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $96.936(3)$ |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4421.1(3)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.08 |
| No. of reflections measured | 24687 |
| No. of independent reflections | 5611 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0749 |
| Final R $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0399 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1174 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.050 |

### 5.2.5 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left\{\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(5)\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1139.90 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $C 2 / c$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $23.2692(10), 18.0786(8), 10.5869(4)$. |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $96.936(3)$ |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4421.1(3)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 4 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.08 |
| No. of reflections measured | 22542 |
| No. of independent reflections | 5052 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0587 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0458 |
| Final wR 2 values $($ all data $)$ | 0.1100 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.109 |

### 5.2.6. $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Er}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(6)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{Er}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 2167.23 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.682(3), 15.347(3), 19.140(4)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $95.82(3), 100.58(3), 94.33(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4197.9(14)$ |
| Temperature/K | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.732 |
| No. of reflections measured | 32757 |
| No. of independent reflections | 16656 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1229 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0765 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1994 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.987 |

### 5.2.9 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Tm}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(7)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36} \mathrm{Tm}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 2170.57 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.681(3), 15.356(3), 19.139(3)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $95.72(3), 100.67(3), 94.37(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4199.2(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.846 |
| No. of reflections measured | 44991 |
| No. of independent reflections | 17776 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0873 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.487 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1116 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.029 |

### 5.2.8 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Yb}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{8})$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36} \mathrm{Yb}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 2178.72 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.642(1), 15.392(1), 19.100(1)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $95.70(5), 100.47(5), 94.24(5)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4193.2(5)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.964 |
| No. of reflections measured | 35333 |
| No. of independent reflections | 17599 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.2218 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.1365 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.3685 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.111 |

### 5.2.7 $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Lu}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(9)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{97} \mathrm{Lu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{36}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 2182.65 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.637(1), 15.373(1), 19.098(1)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $95.65(6), 100.61(6), 94.39(6)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4183.5(5)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.095 |
| No. of reflections measured | 30288 |
| No. of independent reflections | 16731 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0837 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0594 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1326 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.031 |

## $\left.2.10\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 0})$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{DyN}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{13} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 827.80 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $7.523(1), 22.668(5), 17.349(4)$. |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $95.88(3)$ |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2942.9(10)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 4 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.369 |
| No. of reflections measured | 20559 |
| No. of independent reflections | 6252 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0891 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.582 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1424 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.040 |

### 5.2.11 $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Yb}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \mathrm{DMF}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 1 )}$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{22} \mathrm{Yb}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1602.17 |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal |
| Space group | $P 6_{3} / m$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.052(2), 14.052(2), 16.522(3)$. |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 120 |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2825.5(8)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $203(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.739 |
| No. of reflections measured | 14745 |
| No. of independent reflections | 2080 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0896 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0567 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1596 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.098 |

### 5.2.11 $\left[\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 2 )}\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1955.74 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.767(3), 14.726(3), 21.486(4)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $88.19(3), 74.15(3), 76.57(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4073.4(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.706 |
| No. of reflections measured | 41925 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21440 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0694 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0516 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data $)$ | 0.1401 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.997 |

### 5.2.13 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 3})\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1971.18 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.678(3), 14.648(3), 21.363(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $88.07(3), 74.02(3), 76.52(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3999.3(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.008 |
| No. of reflections measured | 78278 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21555 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1154 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0423 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1147 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.002 |

### 5.2.14 $\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(14)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1981.76 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.725(3), 14.716(3), 21.451(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | $88.22(3), 74.06(3), 76.58(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4049.8(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.072 |
| No. of reflections measured | 150550 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21850 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0621 |
| Final R $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0308 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.0784 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.059 |

### 5.2.15 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 5})\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1985.10 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.665(3), 14.642(3), 21.663(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $88.06(3), 74.08(3), 76.44(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3994.1(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.211 |
| No. of reflections measured | 58668 |
| No. of independent reflections | 16949 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1675 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0436 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1146 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.922 |

### 5.2.16 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{MnLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 6})\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1992.26 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.704(3), 14.709(3), 21.449(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $88.21(3), 74.23(3), 76.63(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4045.8(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.281 |
| No. of reflections measured | 61665 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21454 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0985 |
| Final R1 values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0574 |
| Final wR 2 values $($ all data $)$ | 0.1227 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.922 |

### 5.2.17 $\left[\mathrm{Y}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(17)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1846.90 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.430(3), 14.799(3), 21.553(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $87.39(3), 74.19(3), 77.21(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4018.9(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.935 |
| No. of reflections measured | 42870 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21161 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1309 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0869 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.2457 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.930 |

### 5.2.18 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 8})$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1973.00 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.616(3), 14.823(3), 21.566(4)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $87.36(3), 74.47(3), 76.62(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4078.5(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.015 |
| No. of reflections measured | 48395 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21451 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0593 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0430 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1055 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.932 |

### 5.2.19 $\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(19)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1983.58 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.614(3), 14.830(3), 21.566(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $87.37(3), 74.37(3), 76.58(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4078.0(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.103 |
| No. of reflections measured | 42702 |
| No. of independent reflections | 21638 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0809 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0505 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1321 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.947 |

### 5.2.20 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2} \mathbf{( N O}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 0})$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1986.92 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.603(3), 14.829(3), 21.588(4)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | $87.40(3), 74.33(3), 76.73(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4080.3(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.210 |
| No. of reflections measured | 57316 |
| No. of independent reflections | 27298 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0695 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0401 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1059 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.019 |

### 5.2.21 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(21)\right.$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1994.08 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.582(3), 14.723(3), 21.432(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $87.03(3), 74.25(3), 76.10(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4003.7(14)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.352 |
| No. of reflections measured | 32415 |
| No. of independent reflections | 14243 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1392 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0678 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1768 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.999 |

### 5.2.22 $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right](22)$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1072.75 |
| Crystal system | Tetragonal |
| Space group | $P 41$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $13.735(2), 13.735(2), 24.967(5)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4710.0(13)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 4 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.870 |
| No. of reflections measured | 36699 |
| No. of independent reflections | 9989 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1054 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0518 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1033 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.883 |

### 5.2.23 $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$ (23)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1151.09 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $10.890(2), 18.070(4), 13.073(3)$. |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $105.49(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2479.2(9)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.08 |
| No. of reflections measured | 49446 |
| No. of independent reflections | 6558 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.2126 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0704 |
| Final wR 2 values $($ all data $)$ | 0.2061 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.059 |

### 5.2.24 $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathbf{E t O H}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (24)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{EuN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{25}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1305.10 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.9856(3), 15.1302(4), 16.3921(4)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $75.195(2), 66.686(2), 71.861(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2778.19(12)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.219 |
| No. of reflections measured | 21184 |
| No. of independent reflections | 11305 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0994 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0616 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1607 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.961 |

### 5.2.24 $\left[\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathbf{E t O H}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (25)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{~Tb}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1294.05 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.9690(3), 15.2260(4), 16.5079(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $74.873(2), 66.826(2), 71.571(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2807.99(12)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.348 |
| No. of reflections measured | 27791 |
| No. of independent reflections | 12774 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0494 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0350 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.0970 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.941 |

### 5.2.26 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (26)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{DyN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1297.63 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.9580(3), 15.2000(4), 16.4680(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $74.850(2), 66.944(2), 71.621(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2796.72(12)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $220(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.425 |
| No. of reflections measured | 30623 |
| No. of independent reflections | 12726 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0979 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0429 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data $)$ | 0.1199 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.063 |

### 5.2.27 $\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (27)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{Er}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1302.39 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.9060(3), 15.1407(4), 16.4050(4)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $74.779(2), 66.829(2), 71.728(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2762.66(12)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $150(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.609 |
| No. of reflections measured | 23936 |
| No. of independent reflections | 12407 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0755 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0880 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.2382 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.261 |

5.2.28 $\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathbf{E t O H}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (28)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{Tm}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1304.06 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.951(3), 15.136(4), 16.459(4)$. |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $90.99(3), 113.00(3), 108.31(3)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2783.1(10)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $207(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.684 |
| No. of reflections measured | 53357 |
| No. of independent reflections | 15000 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0847 |
| Final R values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0373 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1019 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.051 |

### 5.2.29 $\left[\mathrm{NHEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (29)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClEuMn} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1478.59 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $10.8515(5), 15.9218(7), 19.7737(10)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $77.689(4), 85.253(4), 73.277(4)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3195.8(3)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.476 |
| No. of reflections measured | 48202 |
| No. of independent reflections | 11949 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0818 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0476 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1156 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.931 |

### 5.2.30 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (31)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClDyMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1489.13 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $10.825(3), 15.910(5), 19.856(3)$ |
| $\alpha / /^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $77.33(3), 85.55(3), 73.0(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3190.3(16)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.667 |
| No. of reflections measured | 49900 |
| No. of independent reflections | 7909 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0737 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0384 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1013 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.058 |

### 5.2.31 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (32)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{ClMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{20} \mathrm{Tm}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1531.59 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $10.833(3), 15.795(5), 20.169(6)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /^{\circ}$ | $76.45(3), 86.42(3), 72.08(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3192.09(16)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.890 |
| No. of reflections measured | 122656 |
| No. of independent reflections | 17229 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1120 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0783 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.2270 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.061 |

### 5.2.32 $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$ (33)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{ClLuMn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1501.60 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $10.841(3), 15.795(5), 20.249(6)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /{ }^{\circ}, \gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $76.40(3), 86.68(3), 71.99(2)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3204.63(16)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $200(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.034 |
| No. of reflections measured | 33484 |
| No. of independent reflections | 16960 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0594 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ | 0.0406 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data $)$ | 0.1109 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.035 |

### 5.2.33 $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathbf{N i}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ (34)

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{DyN}_{19} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{35}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula mass | 1891.57 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA, \mathrm{b} / \AA, \mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.879(9), 16.092(8), 18.612(10)$. |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}, \beta /^{\circ}, \gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | $102.70(4), 106.78(5), 103.58(4)$. |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3944.0(4)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $100(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per unit cell, Z | 2 |
| Absorption correction | Integration |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.509 |
| No. of reflections measured | 25544 |
| No. of independent reflections | 13541 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0860 |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ values $(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0754 |
| Final wR 2 values (all data) | 0.1225 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.855 |

## 6 Summary / Zusammenfassung

### 6.1 Summary

This research work deals with the synthesis of heterobimetallic coordination compounds composed of transition metal ions and rare earth elements that are supported by salen ligands. These compounds offer opportunities to study areas such as gas adsorbtion, catalysis and magnetic properties. Generally, a salen ligand is synthesized from an amine and a carbonyl compound (e.g. aldehydes, ketones) via a condensation reaction to give an imine. For this purpose, the salen ligands, $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)ethylenediamine ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ), $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4carboxysalicylidene)propanediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$, $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-carboxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right), ~ N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-hydroxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)$, were initially synthesized via a condensation reaction. The hitherto unknown cage compound $N, N^{\prime}-$ bis \{[2-hydroxy-3-carboxybenzylidene]aminoethyl\}aminoethylamine) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)$ was prepared in situ as a proligand.

The treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ with transition metal salts in the presence of NaOH or LiOH leads to the 2D ICPs, $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{ML})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{2}))$, and 1D ICP, $\left.[\mathrm{Li}\{(\mathrm{NiHL})\}(\mathrm{DMSO}))\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (3). The nickel and copper metal atoms show square planer geometry. The structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are built from 1D zig-zag chains along the $c$ axis through metal salen (ML) and sodium atoms. The second sodium atom incorporates in such a way as to extend the structure along the $a$ axis, forming a 2D layer. In contrast, the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ is based on 1D chains that interact with each other through hydrogen bonding and $\pi$ - $\pi$-stacking interactions, resulting in a 3D supramolecular structure. Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ are thermally robust, as proved by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The magnetic studies of compound 2 suggest that weak antiferromagnetic interactions are present in each dinuclear motif. The slightly modified $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ ligands were reacted with transition metal salts, which, in the presence of NaOH , give the novel 2D polymeric compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (4) and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{MeOH}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(5)$. Compound 4, has both square pyramidal geometry and distorted octahedral coordination enviorment around the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, while the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion in compound $\mathbf{5}$ is exclusively coordinated in a square pyramidal fashion. The magnetic properties of compound 4 reveal antiferomagnetic interactions between the metal centers. The treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{NiL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$ with $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=4(\mathrm{Lu}), 5(\mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{Tm}$, $\mathrm{Yb})$ ) afforded the microporous lanthanide-nickel-based metal organic frameworks, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Er}(\mathbf{6}), \mathrm{Tm}(7), \mathrm{Yb}(\mathbf{8})$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(9))$.

Moreover, aqueous lanthanide nitrate ( $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Dy}$ and Yb ) was added to the in situ reaction used to prepare compound 1, resulting in the polymeric compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 0})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Yb}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 1})$. In these complexes, the nickel-salen unit acts as flexible strut. The shape of the network is strongly influenced by the ionic radius of the lanthanide element as well as the reaction conditions. The thermogravimetric analysis of compound 6-11 shows that the compounds are stable, but thermal removal of the solvent molecules leads to decomposition of the frameworks. The magnetic susceptibility studies of compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ suggest the presence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions between the lanthanide ions. The magnetic relaxation of compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were tested using ac susceptibility measurements under zero dc field, but only compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ exhibited a non -zero frequency dependence of out-ofphase components below 12 K , indicating slow relaxation of magnetization under zero dc field.

The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{~Tb}))$ in DMF / Py gave the lanthanide-manganese-based metal organic frameworks, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}((\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Nd}(12), \mathrm{Eu}(13), \mathrm{Gd}(14), \mathrm{Tb}(15)$ and Dy (16)). The structures of 12-16 are 1D chains along the $a$ axis through manganese-salen moiety and lanthanide ions, which also interact with neighboring chains along the $c$ axis by $\pi-\pi$ stacking to form 2D polymers. The thermogravimetry studies of compounds 12-16 suggest that all the compounds are very robust. Guest solvent molecules are present in the void space, which was observed from thermogravimetric analysis.

Using $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ instead of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ afforded the compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{1 7}), \mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1 8}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{1 9}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 0})$ and $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{2 1}))$. The repeating unit of the polymeric compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$, consist of two iron and two lanthanide ions. The structure of 17-21 consists of 1D chains along the $a$ axis. The 1 D chains is also interact with neighboring chains along $c$ axis by $\pi-\pi$ stacking, to form 2D iron-lanthanide based polymers. The magnetic susceptibilities of compounds 19-21 at room temperature are $25.30,33.06$ and $37.67 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. The observed decrease of the $\chi T$ value with decreasing temperature is due to week antiferromagnetic interactions between the lanthanide ions.

By using the $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ ligand, the trinuclear $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes of composition $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right](\mathbf{2 2})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$
(23) were prepared. It was observed that compound 22 has three $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ion that form a triangle, and compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ contains a linear nickel trimer unit. The magnetic measurement of the two trinuclear complexes show that an antiferromagnetic interaction is present due to close proximity of the neighboring metal centers.

The in situ formation of the hitherto unknown cage $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ ligand with $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}$ $(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{~Tb}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Ho}))$ afforded the mononuclear lanthanide compounds, $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=9 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2 4})$ and $\mathrm{x}=8 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 5})$, Dy (26), $\mathrm{Er}(\mathbf{2 7}), \mathrm{Tm}$ (28)). In these compounds, the geometry around the eight-fold coordinated lanthanides is a distorted square antiprism. Magnetic properties of compounds 25 and 26 show different kind of magnetic behavior: compound 26 exhibits slow relaxation of magnetization as a SMM, but for compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ shows no magnetic slow relaxation.

The treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ (in situ generation), $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ with $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5$ $(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Lu}))$ in the presence of triethylamine afforded the trinuclear compounds, $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=2 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2 9}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{3 0}), \mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{3 1}), \mathrm{Lu}(\mathbf{3 3})$ and $\mathrm{x}=$ 4; $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tm}$ (32). Compounds 29-33 were also synthesized from isolated mononuclear lanthanide complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH})\right]$ by simply reacting with $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ in the presence of TEA, forming mononuclear to linear trinuclear 3d-4f complexes. Magnetic measurements of compounds 30-31 indicate the presence of ferromagnetic interactions within the heterometallic core. In contrast to compounds 30-31, compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ shows antiferromagnetic interaction within the heterometallic core.

The treatment of 3-formylsalicylic acid, tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) with $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ in the presence of triethylamine in methanol / water afforded the trinuclear mixed 3d-4f complex, $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14}\right]$ (34). In this complex, the $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ is present in the center and two $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ are on the two sides. Magnetic studies show that there are weak antiferromagnetic interactions between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{III})$ ions.

### 6.2 Zusammenfassung

Die vorliegende Arbeit befasst sich mit der Synthese von heterobimetallischen Koordinationsverbindungen, die sich aus Übergangsmetallen und Seltenen Erden zusammensetzen, welche durch Salenliganden zusammengehalten werden. Diese Verbindungen ermöglichen unter anderem die Untersuchungen von Gas Adsorption, Katalyse und magnetischer Eigenschaften. Im Allgemeinen werden Salene über eine Kondensationsreaktion zwischen einem Amin und einer Carbonylverbindung (z.B. Aldehyde, Ketone) synthetisiert. Auf diesem Weg konnten die Salenliganden $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4carboxysalicyliden)ethylendiamin ( $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}\right), \quad N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxy-salicyliden)propandiamin $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right), \quad N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(4-carboxysalicyliden)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right), \quad N, N^{\prime}$-Bis $(4-$ hydroxysalicyliden)-1,3-diamino-2-propanol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)$ erhalten werden. Die bisher unbekannte Käfigverbindung $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis \{[2-hydroxy-3-carboxybenzyliden]aminoethyl $\}$-aminoethylamine) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)$ wurde zur Verwendung als Proligand in situ dargestellt.

Die Umsetzung von $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ mit Übergangsmetallen in Anwesenheit von NaOH oder LiOH führt zu zweidimensionalen ICPs des Typs $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{ML})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{2})$ ), und dem eindimensionalen ICP, $[\operatorname{Li}\{(\mathrm{NiHL})\}(\mathrm{DMSO}))]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{3})$, in welchen die Nickel- und Kupferatome quadratisch-planar koordinert sind. Die Strukturen von 1 und 2 setzen sich aus eindimensionalen Zick-Zack-Ketten, bestehend aus Metallsalenen (ML) und Natriumatomen, entlang der $c$-Achse zusammen. Das zweite Natriumatom wird so eingelagert, dass die Struktur entlang der $a$-Achse erweitert und somit eine zweidimensionale Schicht gebildet wird. Im Gegensatz dazu basiert die Struktur von $\mathbf{3}$ auf eindimensionalen Ketten, welche über Wasserstoffbrücken und $\pi$ - $\pi$-Stacking wechselwirken, woraus eine dreidimensionale supramolekulare Struktur resultiert. Die Verbindungen 1-3 sind thermisch robust, was durch thermogravimetrische Analysen (TGA) nachgewiesen wurde. Die magnetischen Eigenschaften von $\mathbf{2}$ deuten auf eine schwach antiferromagnetische Wechselwirkung in jedem dinuklearen Motiv hin. Die geringfügig modifizierten Liganden $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ und $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ wurden ebenfalls mit Übergangsmetallsalzen in Anwesenheit von NaOH und LiOH umgesetzt. Dies führte zu neuartigen zweidimensionalen Polymeren der Zusammensetzung $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$ und $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (5). In Verbindung 4 sind die $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$-Ionen quadratisch-pyramidal und verzerrt oktaedrisch koordiniert, wogegen die $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-Ionen in 5 ausschließlich eine quadratisch-pyramidale Koordinationsgeometrie aufweisen. Die magnetischen Eigenschaften von 4 zeigen eine
antiferromagnetische Kopplung zwischen den Metallzentren. Die Umsetzung von $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{NiL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$ mit $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=4(\mathrm{Lu}), 5(\mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Yb}))$ führte zu mikroporigen lanthanoid-nickel-basierten metallorganischen Netzwerken (MOFs), $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Er}(6), \mathrm{Tm}(7), \mathrm{Yb}(8)$, and $\mathrm{Lu}(9))$. Zudem wurden durch Zugabe von wasserhaltigen Lanthanoidnitraten $(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Dy}$ und Yb$) \mathrm{zu}$ der in situ dargestellten Verbindung 1 die polymeren Verbindungen $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (10) und $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Yb}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1 1})$ erhalten. In diesen Komplexen dient die Nickel-Salen-Einheit als flexibler Linker. Die Struktur des Netzwerkes ist stark durch den Ionenradius des Lanthanoids, sowie die Reaktionsbedingungen beeinflusst. Die thermogravimetrischen Analysen der Verbindungen 6-11 zeigen, dass die Verbindungen zwar stabil sind, die Netzwerke jedoch bei Erhitzen die Lösungsmittelmoleküle abgeben und sich dadurch zersetzen. Die magnetischen Suszeptibilitäten der Verbindungen 6, 9 und 10 weisen auf eine schwache antiferromagnetische Kopplung zwischen den Lanthanoidionen hin. Die magnetischen Relaxationen von 6, $\mathbf{9}$ und $\mathbf{1 0}$ wurden mittels AC-Suszeptibilitätsmessungen bei DC-Nullfeld bestimmt. Dabei zeigte jedoch nur die Verbindung 10 eine Frequenzabhängigkeit der gegenphasigen Komponenten unter 12 K , was auf eine langsame Relaxation der Magnetisierung unter DC-Nullfeld hinweist.

Die reaktion von $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ und $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{~Tb}))$ in einem DMF-Pyridin-Gemisch führte zu lanthanoid-mangan-basierten MOFs, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}((\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Nd}(12), \mathrm{Eu}(13), \mathrm{Gd}(14), \mathrm{Tb}(15)$ und Dy (16)). Die Verbindungen 12-16 bilden entlang der $a$-Achse eindimensionale Ketten aus Mangan-Salen-Einheiten und Lanthanoid-Ionen, welche mit den benachbarten Schichten entlang der $c$-Achse durch $\pi$ - $\pi$-Wechselwirkung zu zweidimensionalen Polymere verbunden werden. Thermogravimetrische Studien von 12-16 deuten auf eine hohe thermische Stabilität hin und zeigen, dass sich in den vorhandenen Hohlräumen noch Lösungsmittelmoleküle befinden.

Verwendet man $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ anstatt des $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ erhält man die Verbindungen $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{1 7}), \mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1 8}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{1 9})$, Tb (20) and $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{2 1}))$. Die sich wiederholende Einheit der polymeren Verbindungen, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5}\right.$. (DMF) $)_{4}$ n, besteht aus zwei Eisen- und zwei Lanthanoid-Ionen. Die Strukturen von 17-21 bestehen aus eindimensionalen Ketten entlang der $a$-Achse. Diese Ketten wechselwirken entlang der $c$-Achse mit Nachbarketten über $\pi$ - $\pi$-Stacking, wodurch zweidimensionale eisen-
lanthanoid-basierte Polymere entstehen. Die magnetischen Suszeptibilitäten der Verbindungen 19-21 bei Raumtemperatur betragen 25.30 , 33.06 , bzw. $37.76 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mol}$. Die beobachtete Erhöhung der $\chi$ T-Werte mit steigender Temperatur ist durch schwache antiferromagnetische Wechselwirkung zwischen den Lanthanoid-Ionen bedingt.

Durch Verwendung des $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~L}^{4}$-Liganden konnten die trinuklearen $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ - und $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ Komplexe der Zusammensetzung $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right]$ (22) und $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$ (23) erhalten werden. Es wurde beobachtet, dass in Verbindung 22 drei $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-Ionen ein Dreieck bilden, während in Verbindung 23 eine lineares Nickel-Trimer vorliegt. Die magnetischen Messungen der beiden trinuklearen Komplexe weisen aufgrund der direkten Nachbarschaft der Metallzentren antiferromagnetische Wechselwirkung auf.

Bei der Umsetzung des in situ-gebildeten, bisher unbekannten Käfig-Liganden $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}$ mit $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{~Tb}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Ho}))$ führte zur Bildung mononuklearer Lanthanoid-Verbindungen, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=9$; $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(24)$ und $\mathrm{x}=$ 8; $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tb}$ (25), Dy (26), Er (27), Tm (28)). In diesen Verbindungen sind die LanthanoidIonen verzerrt quadratisch antiprismatisch umgeben. Die magnetischen Eigenschaften der Verbindungen $\mathbf{2 5}$ und $\mathbf{2 6}$ zeigen unterschiedliches magnetisches Verhalten: Verbindung 26 weist wie ein SMM eine langsame Relaxation der Magnetisierung auf, Verbindung 25 zeigt keine langsame magnetische Relaxation.

Die Reaktion von $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{5}, \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ und $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{m}=5(\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}), 6(\mathrm{Tm}\right.$, $\mathrm{Lu})$ ) in Gegenwart von Triethylamin führte zu den trinuklearen Verbindungen $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=2 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2 9}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathbf{3 0})$, $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{3 1}), \mathrm{Lu}(\mathbf{3 3})$ und $\mathrm{x}=$ 4; $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tm}$ (32). Die Verbindungen 29-33 konnten auch aus dem isolierten mononuklearen Lanthanoidkomplex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH})\right]$ durch einfache Umsetzung mit $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ in Gegenwart von TEA, unter Bildung mononuklearer bis linear trinuklearer 3d-4f-Komlexe, synthetisiert werden. Magnetische Messungen der Verbindungen 30-31 weisen auf ferromagnetische Wechselwirkungen im heterometallischen Kern hin. Im Gegensatz dazu liegt in Verbindung 32 eine antiferromagnetische Wechselwirkung zwischen den Metallatomen vor.

Die Umsetzung von 3-Formylsalicylsäure und Tris-(2-aminoethyl)amin (tren) mit $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6} \quad$ und $\quad \mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \quad$ in Anwesenheit von Triethylamin in einem Methanol/ Wasser-Gemisch führt zum trinuklearen 3d-4f-Komplex,
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\operatorname{tren})\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14}\right]$ (34). In diesem Komplex liegen das Dy(III)-Ion im Zentrum und die beiden Nickelatome an den beiden Seiten. Magnetische Untersuchungen zeigen, dass eine schwache antiferromagnetische Kopplung zwischen den Ni(II)- und Dy(III)Ionen vorliegt.
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## 8 Appendices

## A. 1 Directory of Abbreviations

## A.1.1 General

| Ar | Aryl group |
| :---: | :---: |
| Me | Methyl |
| Py | Pyridine |
| Et | Ethyl |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| DMF | Dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| en | Ethylenediamine |
| tren | Tris(2-aminoethyl)amine |
| R | Organic group |
| calcd | Calculated |
| obsd | Observed |
| M | Metal atom |
| Ln | Lanthanide |
| L | Ligand |
| ML | Metalloligand |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| EI-MS | Electron-ionization mass spectrometry |
| IR | Infrared |
| TGA | Thermogravimetric analysis |
| MOFs | Metal organic frameworks |
| CMOFs | Chiral metal organic frameworks |
| MCPs | Microporous coordination polymers |


| UMCs | Unsaturated metal centers |
| :--- | :--- |
| ICPs | Infinite coordination polymers |
| SMMs | Single molecule magnets |

## A.1.1 NMR Abbreviations

| $\delta$ | Chemical shift |
| :--- | :--- |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| s | Singlet |
| d | Doublet |
| t | Triplet |
| m | Multiplet |

## A.1.2 IR Abbreviations

| br | Broad |
| :--- | :--- |
| w | Weak |
| m | Medium |
| s | Strong |
| vs | Very strong |
| sh | Shoulder |

## A.1.2 Magnetic Abbreviations

| SQUID | Super-conducting quantum interference device |
| :--- | :--- |
| ac | Alternating current |
| dc | Direct current |
| $D$ | zero-field splitting parameter |
| K | Kelvin |
| Oe | Oersted |
| $H$ | Field |


| Hz | Hertz |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M$ | Magnetisation |
| T | Tesla |
| $T_{b}$ | Blocking temperature |
| $T_{c}$ | Critical temperature |
| h | Hour |
| $\chi$ | Molar magnetic susceptibility |
| $\chi^{\prime}$ | In-phase magnetic susceptibility |
| $\chi^{n}$ | Out-of-phase magnetic susceptibility |
| $\tau$ | Relaxation rate |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Bohr magneton |
| $U_{e f f}$ | Effective energy barrier |
| $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | Cubic centimeters |

## A. 2 Directory of Compounds

1

2

3

4
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{NiL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{CuL})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{NiHL})(\mathrm{DMSO})]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{5}\left\{\left(\mathrm{NiL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{HCOO})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{11}\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{4}\left\{\left(\mathrm{CuHL}^{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Er}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Tm}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Yb}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Lu}_{2}(\mathrm{NiL})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})(\mathrm{DMSO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{DMSO})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Yb}\left\{(\mathrm{NiL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Nd}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Y}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{FeLCl}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Tb}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}(\mathrm{FeLCl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{5} \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HL}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{MeOH})_{4}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{9} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$

28
29
30
31

34
$\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot(\mathrm{EtOH}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$
$[\mathrm{HNEt}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$
$[\mathrm{HNEt}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$
$[\mathrm{HNEt}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$
$[\mathrm{HNEt}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$
$[\mathrm{HNEt}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{MnL}^{5}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)(\text { tren })\right\}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{14} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$
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