



Table of Contents

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Vorwort und Danksagung / Acknowledgement..... | V |
| List of Figures..... | XI |
| List of Tables..... | XV |
| Abstract..... | XVI |
| Zusammenfassung..... | XVIII |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Motivation | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Why CO ₂ storage? | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Why saline aquifer?..... | 3 |
| 1.1.3 Why geological barrier integrity? | 5 |
| 1.2 State of the art | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Ongoing CO ₂ sequestration projects | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Research status | 6 |
| 1.2.2.1 Analytical solutions..... | 7 |
| 1.2.2.2 Numerical simulations..... | 7 |
| 1.3 Objectives and structure | 8 |
| 1.3.1 Objectives of this dissertation | 8 |
| 1.3.2 Structure of this work..... | 8 |
| 2 Fundamentals of hydro-mechanical coupling..... | 9 |
| 2.1 Fundamentals of rock mechanics | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Linear elasticity and Hooke's Law..... | 10 |
| 2.1.2 Nonlinear elasticity | 11 |
| 2.2 Fundamentals of multiphase flow | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Darcy's law | 11 |
| 2.2.2 Relative permeability | 12 |
| 2.2.3 Klinkenberg's effect..... | 13 |
| 2.2.4 Capillary pressure..... | 14 |
| 2.2.5 CO ₂ fluid properties..... | 14 |
| 2.2.5.1 CO ₂ fluid density..... | 15 |
| 2.2.5.2 Enthalpy | 15 |
| 2.2.5.3 Viscosity..... | 16 |
| 2.2.6 Brine-CO ₂ mixture | 16 |
| 2.2.6.1 Density | 16 |
| 2.2.6.2 Viscosity..... | 17 |
| 2.2.6.3 Specific enthalpy | 17 |



| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.3 | Fundamentals of hydro-mechanical process | 18 |
| 2.3.1 | Effective stress | 18 |
| 2.3.2 | Hydro-mechanical (HM) coupling | 19 |
| 2.4 | TOUGH2MP-FLAC3D simulator..... | 20 |
| 2.4.1 | FLAC3D..... | 20 |
| 2.4.2 | TOUGH2MP | 20 |
| 2.4.3 | TOUGH2MP-FLAC3D simulator..... | 21 |
| 3 | Hydro-Mechanical (HM) coupling concept | 23 |
| 3.1 | Effective mean stress dependent permeability model | 24 |
| 3.1.1 | Experimental methods..... | 24 |
| 3.1.1.1 | Isotropic compressional tests | 24 |
| 3.1.1.2 | Non-isotropic compressional test | 25 |
| 3.1.2 | Experimental results and permeability models | 26 |
| 3.1.2.1 | Experimental results | 26 |
| 3.1.2.2 | Effective mean stress dependent permeability model | 27 |
| 3.1.3 | Validation of the developed effective mean stress dependent permeability model | 30 |
| 3.1.3.1 | The comparison with Rutqvist porosity-permeability model..... | 30 |
| 3.1.3.2 | Nonlinear elasticity assumption | 32 |
| 3.2 | Effective mean stress dependent Biot's coefficient model | 35 |
| 3.2.1 | Experimental methods..... | 35 |
| 3.2.2 | Effective mean stress dependent Biot's coefficient model | 36 |
| 3.2.3 | Validation of Biot's coefficient's model..... | 37 |
| 3.3 | Implementation of the developed modes into TOUGHMP-FLAC3D code. | 38 |
| 3.3.1 | Implementation of developed permeability model..... | 39 |
| 3.3.2 | Implementation of developed Biot's coefficient model..... | 39 |
| 4 | Mechanical criteria for the integrity of geological barriers | 40 |
| 4.1 | Tensile failure..... | 41 |
| 4.2 | Shear failure | 41 |
| 4.3 | Inactive fault reactivity..... | 44 |
| 5 | Simulation of Hydro-Mechanical (HM) coupling geo-processes at Ketzin CO₂ storage site using the code TOUGH2MP-FLAC3D..... | 46 |
| 5.1 | Introduction of the pilot CO ₂ storage project at Ketzin..... | 46 |
| 5.1.1 | Location and geological settings | 46 |
| 5.1.2 | CO ₂ injection | 48 |
| 5.2 | Modeling and numerical simulation..... | 49 |
| 5.2.1 | Model setup..... | 49 |
| 5.2.2 | Initial and boundary conditions..... | 51 |
| 5.3 | Simulation results and discussion | 53 |



| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 5.3.1 | History match | 54 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Comparisons of CO ₂ arrival distance | 54 |
| 5.3.1.2 | History match of down hole pressure | 55 |
| 5.3.2 | Simulation results analysis | 57 |
| 5.3.2.1 | Verification of simulation feasibility | 57 |
| 5.3.2.2 | Reservoir permeability and porosity alteration | 58 |
| 5.3.2.3 | CO ₂ plume evolution | 59 |
| 5.3.2.4 | Overpressure distribution | 62 |
| 5.3.2.5 | Stress evolution | 64 |
| 5.3.2.6 | Caprock integrity analysis | 66 |
| 5.3.2.7 | Induced ground movement | 68 |
| 5.4 | Summary | 69 |
| 6 | Sensitivity analysis..... | 71 |
| 6.1 | Parameter sensitivity analysis | 71 |
| 6.1.1 | Parameter selection | 72 |
| 6.1.1.1 | Irreducible water saturation..... | 72 |
| 6.1.1.2 | Absolute permeability | 72 |
| 6.1.1.3 | Salt concentration..... | 72 |
| 6.1.1.4 | Vertical/horizontal permeability ratio | 73 |
| 6.1.1.5 | Biot's coefficient..... | 73 |
| 6.1.2 | Parameter deviation and sensitivity analysis..... | 73 |
| 6.1.3 | Results analysis | 74 |
| 6.1.3.1 | CO ₂ plume distribution..... | 74 |
| 6.1.3.2 | Pore pressure buildup | 78 |
| 6.1.3.3 | Determination of parameter impacts from sensitivity analysis | 80 |
| 6.2 | Scenarios sensitivity analysis | 81 |
| 6.2.1 | Injection scenarios..... | 81 |
| 6.2.2 | Results analysis | 82 |
| 6.2.2.1 | CO ₂ plume distribution..... | 82 |
| 6.2.2.2 | Pore pressure buildup | 83 |
| 6.3 | Summary | 84 |
| 7 | Numerical simulation of a commercial scale CO₂ injection using a generic model | 85 |
| 7.1 | Basic information of the modelling..... | 85 |
| 7.1.1 | The setup of simulation model | 85 |
| 7.1.2 | Properties and initial conditions | 87 |
| 7.1.3 | CO ₂ injection scenarios | 89 |
| 7.2 | Numerical simulations..... | 89 |
| 7.2.1 | Without fault | 89 |
| 7.2.1.1 | The injection phase..... | 89 |
| 7.2.1.2 | Post injection | 98 |



| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 7.2.2 | With fault..... | 105 |
| 7.2.2.1 | Model setup..... | 105 |
| 7.2.2.2 | Results analysis..... | 106 |
| 7.3 | Simulation with the implemented Biot's coefficient model..... | 110 |
| 7.4 | Summary..... | 112 |
| 8 | Conclusions and future work..... | 114 |
| 8.1 | Conclusions..... | 114 |
| 8.2 | Future work..... | 117 |
| | References..... | 118 |
| | Nomenclature..... | 126 |