

# Contents

## Abstract

## Zusammenfassung

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Goals and Contributions of the work . . . . .	4
1.3	Structure of the work . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Lithium-ion storage system</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Lithium-ion cell . . . . .	6
2.1.1	Cell structure . . . . .	6
2.1.2	Cell modeling . . . . .	9
2.2	Conventional storage systems . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Energy efficiency issue . . . . .	13
2.2.2	Balancing methods . . . . .	15
2.3	Reconfigurable storage systems . . . . .	18
2.3.1	Various architecture concepts . . . . .	19
2.3.2	Proposed reconfigurable battery architecture . . . . .	20
2.3.3	Control strategies for two switch per cell architecture . . . . .	24
<b>3</b>	<b>Model of reconfigurable battery</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1	Definition of the cell switching process . . . . .	28

3.2	Operation state of the battery cells . . . . .	29
3.3	Extracted energy . . . . .	30
3.4	Balancing energy . . . . .	37
3.5	Energy loss . . . . .	38
<b>4</b>	<b>Optimization Theory</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	Static optimization . . . . .	43
4.2	Dynamic optimization . . . . .	46
4.2.1	Numerical solution for dynamic optimization problems . . . . .	49
4.2.2	Dynamic programming . . . . .	50
<b>5</b>	<b>Noncausal strategy for global optimality</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Formulation of the optimization problem . . . . .	56
5.2	Forward dynamic programming algorithm . . . . .	62
<b>6</b>	<b>Application of noncausal strategy on battery model</b>	<b>74</b>
6.1	Cell simulation model . . . . .	74
6.2	Model validation . . . . .	76
6.3	Simulation setup . . . . .	81
6.3.1	Battery cell capacities . . . . .	81
6.3.2	Batteries data set . . . . .	85
6.3.3	Driving cycles . . . . .	86
6.4	Optimization algorithm results . . . . .	87
6.4.1	Optimization model parameters in case of a relative capacity variation by 1%: . . . . .	87
6.4.2	Optimization model parameters across different capacity variation values: . . . . .	88
6.5	Battery simulation results . . . . .	91
6.5.1	Comparison with the conventional storage system . . . . .	93
6.5.2	Comparison with a battery having uniform cells . . . . .	96

---

---

6.6 Conclusion . . . . .	96
<b>7 Causal optimal control strategies</b>	<b>99</b>
7.1 Maximize energy strategy (MES) . . . . .	101
7.2 Optimize balancing strategy (OBS) . . . . .	102
7.2.1 Formulation of the optimization problem . . . . .	102
7.2.2 Solution of the optimization problem . . . . .	105
<b>8 Application of causal strategies on the battery model</b>	<b>108</b>
8.1 Results of the optimization algorithms . . . . .	109
8.1.1 Results of the MES algorithm . . . . .	109
8.1.2 Results of the OBS algorithm . . . . .	110
8.2 Results of the battery simulations . . . . .	112
8.2.1 Efficiency comparison with conventional storage system . . . . .	112
8.2.2 Comparison of energy efficiency of causal strategies . . . . .	114
8.2.3 Comparison of rest energy in reconfigurable and conventional batteries . . . . .	116
8.2.4 Efficiency comparison with the one-cell-off strategy . . . . .	117
8.3 Conclusion . . . . .	118
<b>9 Conclusion and outlook</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>144</b>

---

---

Acknowledgments	147
-----------------	-----