

# Contents

1	Introduction .....	1
1.1	Motivation and objectives .....	1
1.2	Thesis outline .....	6
2	Need for alternative frac-fluid .....	9
2.1	Environmental problems .....	9
2.1.1	Water availability .....	9
2.1.2	Water quality .....	10
2.1.3	Induced seismicity .....	13
2.2	Technical problems .....	14
2.2.1	Less propped fracture height .....	14
2.2.2	Phase trapping .....	15
2.2.3	Clay swelling .....	17
2.2.4	Low flowback and poor fracture clean-up .....	17
2.3	Problem with public acceptance .....	18
2.4	Alternative frac-fluids .....	18
2.4.1	Water-based fluids .....	19
2.4.2	Foam/emulsion-based fluids .....	19
2.4.3	Energized fluids .....	19
2.4.4	Oil-based fluids .....	20
2.4.5	LPG based fluid .....	21
2.4.6	Light Alkane Stimulation .....	21
3	Light alkanes (C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>10</sub> ) .....	24
3.1	Properties of alternative fluid .....	25
3.1.1	Fluid density and viscosity .....	26
3.1.2	Biodegradation .....	28
3.1.3	Fluid rock interaction .....	28
3.2	Simulation in a fictive model to investigate phase behavior .....	28
3.2.1	TMVOC: multiphase multicomponent (MM) fluid flow simulator .....	29
3.2.2	Phase behavior of alternative fluid in porous media .....	32
4	Hydraulic fracture modeling .....	36
4.1	Brief overview of hydraulic fracturing .....	36
4.1.1	Fracture initiation and propagation .....	37
4.1.2	Fracture orientation .....	38
4.1.3	Fracture confinement .....	40

4.1.4	Fracture closure.....	41
4.1.5	Proppants .....	41
4.2	Mass and energy balance for flow in porous media.....	43
4.3	Space and time discretization .....	45
4.4	Numerical model.....	47
4.4.1	Stress state.....	47
4.4.2	Mechanical deformation .....	48
4.4.3	Fracture propagation mechanism .....	50
4.4.4	MM fluid flow.....	51
4.4.5	Proppant transport in fracture.....	52
4.4.6	Apparent fluid viscosity.....	53
4.4.7	Fluid leakoff.....	55
5	Model verification and application.....	57
5.1	Fracture initiation and propagation .....	57
5.2	Isothermal MM flow verification.....	58
5.3	Non-isothermal MM flow verification .....	60
5.4	Hydraulic fracturing in McCully tight gas reservoir, New Brunswick, Canada.....	62
5.4.1	Introduction.....	62
5.4.2	3D model and fracture design .....	62
5.4.3	Analysis of fracturing with different fluids.....	64
5.4.4	Frac-fluid flowback .....	68
5.4.5	Production forecast .....	69
6	Hydraulic fracturing optimization in a tight gas reservoir of Germany.....	71
6.1	Introduction .....	71
6.2	Model generation and verification .....	74
6.3	Production history match.....	78
6.4	Stress state .....	81
6.5	Important parameter analysis.....	83
6.5.1	Fluid viscosity and Injection rate .....	86
6.5.2	Injection time .....	89
6.5.3	Reservoir permeability.....	90
6.6	Frac-fluid flowback.....	93
6.7	Design proposals.....	94
6.7.1	Proposal 1 .....	95
6.7.2	Proposal 2 .....	98
6.8	Comparison with previous fracture job .....	102

7	Rod-shaped proppants.....	105
7.1	Introduction .....	105
7.2	Fracture conductivity.....	107
7.3	Numerical model.....	108
7.4	Sensitivity analysis.....	112
7.4.1	Fracture permeability and conductivity .....	113
7.4.2	Proppant deformation and embedment .....	114
7.4.3	Strength contrast between proppant and formation .....	115
7.4.4	Bottomhole production pressure .....	116
7.5	Application .....	118
8	Conclusions.....	122
9	References.....	125
	Appendix A.....	138