



Mohamed Khalil Ben-Larbi (Autor)  
**Guidance, Control and Docking for CubeSat-based  
Active Debris Removal**



<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/8869>

Copyright:  
Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen,  
Germany  
Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>

# Contents

<b>Contents - Inhalt</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Kurzzusammenfassung</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xiii</b>
Acronyms	xiii
Symbols	xvii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation	1
1.1.1 The evolution of space technology and environment	1
1.1.2 Problem statement	5
1.2 State of the art	5
1.2.1 Historical overview of rendezvous in space	5
1.2.2 Mission architecture and operations for rendezvous and docking	6
1.2.3 Rendezvous and docking with a non-cooperative target	7
1.2.4 Proposed ADR concepts	8
1.2.5 Small satellite ADR	10
1.2.6 Conclusions and Research Gaps	12
1.3 Objectives and Thesis Approach	12
1.3.1 Research questions formulation	12
1.3.2 Thesis Structure and Methodology	13
<b>2 Technical Challenges for CubeSat based ADR</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Current and Future Space Debris Challenges	15
2.1.1 The Space Debris Population	15
2.1.2 Recent Trends and Long Term Evolution	17
2.2 Prioritization of potential targets for ADR	19
2.2.1 Environmental metrics	19
2.2.2 Mission challenges	22
2.3 The Evolution of CubeSat	22
2.3.1 CubeSat Propulsion	23
2.3.1.1 Chemical Propulsion	23
2.3.1.2 Electric Propulsion	25
2.3.2 CubeSat GNC	26
2.3.3 Other CubeSat Subsystems	26
<b>3 Concept of Operations for CubeSat based ADR</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Mission objectives and design drivers	27
3.1.1 Objectives and boundary conditions	27
3.1.2 Success criteria	28
3.1.3 Deorbiting strategy	28
3.1.4 Power management	30
3.2 Mission and system analysis	30
3.2.1 Design reference mission	30
3.2.2 Requirements decomposition and allocation	32
3.2.3 Functional Architecture	33
3.2.4 Physical architecture	34

3.2.5	Design and performance analysis . . . . .	36
<b>4</b>	<b>Spacecraft Relative Motion Modeling</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1	Theoretical background . . . . .	37
4.1.1	Reference frames . . . . .	37
4.1.2	Relative motion models . . . . .	38
4.2	Dynamics of relative motion . . . . .	39
4.2.1	Linearized equations of relative motion . . . . .	39
4.2.2	State-space representation . . . . .	40
4.2.3	Solution of the linearized equations of motion . . . . .	40
4.2.4	Relative orbital elements . . . . .	42
4.2.5	Inclusion of perturbations . . . . .	43
4.3	Final Comments . . . . .	45
<b>5</b>	<b>Modeling and simulation methodology</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1	Adopted modeling and simulation approach . . . . .	47
5.1.1	Systems engineering . . . . .	47
5.1.2	The Verification and Validation Issue . . . . .	48
5.1.3	Modeling and Simulation . . . . .	49
5.1.4	Conclusion and proposed strategy . . . . .	51
5.2	Simulation environments description . . . . .	53
5.2.1	The DIFFRACT environment . . . . .	53
5.2.2	The SCATTER environment . . . . .	54
5.2.3	The ELISSA environment . . . . .	55
5.2.4	The ASTROBEE environment . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>Design and Qualification of an Active Air Bearing Table</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1	State of the art of air bearing based dynamic simulators . . . . .	57
6.1.1	Degrees of freedom . . . . .	59
6.1.2	Propulsion . . . . .	60
6.1.3	Navigation . . . . .	61
6.2	ELISSA requirements and design . . . . .	62
6.2.1	Concept of Operations . . . . .	62
6.2.2	Aeromechanical subsystem design . . . . .	63
6.2.3	Motion capture subsystem design . . . . .	64
6.2.4	Free flyer subsystem design . . . . .	65
6.2.5	Mission Control subsystem design . . . . .	68
6.3	ELISSA Characterization and Qualification Testing . . . . .	72
6.3.1	Qualitative evaluation of the final design . . . . .	72
6.3.2	Residual acceleration . . . . .	72
6.3.3	Control Accuracy . . . . .	74
<b>7</b>	<b>Low-Thrust Guidance Strategy</b>	<b>77</b>
7.1	Relative motion guidance formulation . . . . .	77
7.1.1	Gauss' variational equations . . . . .	78
7.1.2	Impulsive thrust formulation for relative guidance . . . . .	78
7.1.3	Relation to the relative state transition matrix . . . . .	79
7.1.4	Finite-duration thrust formulation for relative guidance . . . . .	79
7.2	Impulsive thrust maneuver design . . . . .	80
7.2.1	Normal maneuvers . . . . .	80
7.2.2	Tangential maneuvers . . . . .	81
7.2.3	Radial maneuvers . . . . .	82
7.3	Finite-duration thrust maneuver design . . . . .	82
7.3.1	Normal maneuvers . . . . .	82
7.3.2	Tangential maneuvers . . . . .	83
7.3.3	Radial maneuvers . . . . .	84
7.4	Proposed guidance schemes . . . . .	84
7.4.1	Impulsive 4T2N scheme . . . . .	84
7.4.2	Impulsive 2R2T2N scheme . . . . .	85

7.4.3	Finite-duration thrust planning . . . . .	88
7.5	Simulation Results . . . . .	89
7.5.1	Impulsive planing verification . . . . .	89
7.5.2	Finite-duration planing verification . . . . .	90
<b>8</b>	<b>Feedback Linearized Differential Drag Control</b>	<b>95</b>
8.1	The concept of differential drag control . . . . .	95
8.1.1	Previous work . . . . .	95
8.1.2	proposed approach . . . . .	97
8.2	Control Design . . . . .	97
8.2.1	Controllability Considerations . . . . .	97
8.2.2	Input-output linearization . . . . .	99
8.2.3	Stability Analysis . . . . .	101
8.3	Numerical Validation . . . . .	103
8.3.1	Simulation Scenario . . . . .	103
8.3.2	Reference trajectory generation . . . . .	104
8.3.3	Simulation setup . . . . .	105
8.3.4	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	107
<b>9</b>	<b>Docking using Gecko-inspired Adhesives</b>	<b>111</b>
9.1	Bio-inspired micropatterned dry adhesives . . . . .	111
9.1.1	Adhesion contact splitting principle . . . . .	112
9.1.2	Manufacturing techniques and industrial applications . . . . .	113
9.2	Micropatterned dry adhesives for active debris removal . . . . .	114
9.2.1	Anisotropic MDA used in space robotics . . . . .	116
9.2.2	Isotropic MDA used in space robotics . . . . .	116
9.2.3	Performed docking experiments . . . . .	118
9.3	Docking mechanism design and technical equipment . . . . .	121
9.3.1	Chaser mechanism design . . . . .	121
9.3.2	Selected MDA specimen . . . . .	123
9.3.3	Target mechanism design . . . . .	126
9.3.4	Selected target samples . . . . .	126
9.4	Ground Testing . . . . .	127
9.4.1	Experimental realization on ELISSA . . . . .	127
9.4.2	Results and evaluation of ELISSA test campaign . . . . .	131
9.4.3	The validation test campaign at NASA ARC . . . . .	134
9.5	On-orbit testing . . . . .	136
9.5.1	Flight hardware integration and launch . . . . .	137
9.5.2	Installation and checkout procedure . . . . .	137
9.5.3	Crew and ground operations . . . . .	138
9.5.4	Results and evaluation . . . . .	140
<b>10</b>	<b>Conclusion and Outlook</b>	<b>145</b>
10.1	Conclusions . . . . .	145
10.1.1	Summary . . . . .	145
10.1.2	Research Contributions . . . . .	146
10.2	Limitations and Outlook . . . . .	147
	<b>References</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>CubeSat Hardware</b>	<b>171</b>
A.1	Overview of CubeSat COTS components . . . . .	171
A.1.1	PropulsionsSubsystem . . . . .	171
A.1.2	EPS subsystem . . . . .	174
A.1.3	GNC subsystem . . . . .	174
A.2	Selection of CubeSat hardware for the reference mission scenario . . . . .	174

---

<b>B</b>	<b>Additional Data for Guidance and Control</b>	<b>177</b>
B.1	Differential drag control data . . . . .	177
B.1.1	Transition times . . . . .	177
B.1.2	Settings for automatic PID tuning in Matlab . . . . .	177
B.1.3	PID feedback gains . . . . .	178
B.1.4	IOL gains . . . . .	179
B.2	Guidance additional plots . . . . .	179
<b>C</b>	<b>Additional Data for Docking</b>	<b>183</b>
C.1	Docking mechanism additional drawings . . . . .	183
C.1.1	Chaser mechanism drawings . . . . .	183
C.2	Ground experiments . . . . .	184
C.2.1	Surface roughness of target samples . . . . .	184
C.2.2	Force measurement during docking . . . . .	186
C.2.3	ELISSA docking results . . . . .	188
C.2.4	ARC granite lab docking results . . . . .	190
C.3	On-orbit experiments . . . . .	196