

# Table of Contents

## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION .....	1
A. EXPLORING INTERNET CHALLENGES IN VIOLATIONS OF PERSONALITY RIGHTS: A PRELUDE TO PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW .....	1
1. CRUCIAL ROLE OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW.....	1
2. INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION.....	3
3. APPLICABLE LAW .....	6
B. CONTEXTUALIZING THE RESEARCH .....	7
1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEM.....	7
2. OBJECTIVE AND METHOD.....	8
3. OVERVIEW ON ISSUES AND STRUCTURE.....	9

## CHAPTER II

PARADIGMS ON PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN EU .....	13
A. EUROPEAN LEGAL LANDSCAPE.....	13
1. ADVANCEMENTS IN SAFEGUARDING PERSONALITY RIGHTS .....	13
1.1. <i>International Treaties: ECHR and CFR</i> .....	13
1.2. <i>Insights from ECtHR and CJEU</i> .....	21
1.3. <i>The Influences in National Legislations</i> .....	24
2. PERSPECTIVES FROM PETL AND DCFR.....	27
B. CHARTING MONIST AND PLURALIST APPROACHES .....	29
C. NATIONAL TORT LAW REMEDIES .....	39
1. AUSTRIA .....	40
2. FRANCE.....	46
3. HUNGARY .....	51
4. ITALY .....	57
5. SPAIN .....	62
D. DOMESTIC REGIMES OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW .....	67
1. AUSTRIA .....	67
2. FRANCE.....	72
2.1. <i>De Lege Lata</i> .....	72
2.2. <i>De Lege Ferenda</i> .....	78
3. HUNGARY .....	82
4. ITALY .....	85
5. SPAIN .....	92
E. LEGISLATIVE PATHS AND EXCLUSIONS.....	96

1.	THE COMPLEX JOURNEY OF PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN ROME II .....	96
2.	EXPLORING SOLUTIONS AND PROPOSALS FOR APPLICABLE LAW UNDER THE ROME II REGULATION.....	100
2.1.	<i>Dilemmas in Determining Applicable Law Under Rome II</i> ...	101
2.1.1.	Examining Lex Loci Damni: Implications and Challenges .....	101
2.1.2.	Assessing the Law of the Victim's Habitual Residence .....	103
2.1.3.	Evaluating the Law of the Content Provider's Place of Establishment .....	106
2.1.4.	Cascading Clauses: Choice-Based Conflict Rules.....	106
2.1.5.	Lex Fori: Jurisdictional Law as the Governing Standard ..	109
3.	ANALYSING EXCEPTIONS IN CONFLICT OF LAWS .....	110
3.1.	<i>Common Domicile or Habitual Residence of the Parties</i> .....	110
3.2.	<i>The Law Most Closely Related to the Dispute</i> .....	112
 <b>CHAPTER III</b>		
	<b>PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN THE UK AND USA .....</b>	<b>114</b>
A.	<b>ENGLISH COMMON LAW .....</b>	<b>114</b>
1.	<b>TORT OF DEFAMATION .....</b>	<b>114</b>
1.1.	<i>Definition</i> .....	114
1.2.	<i>Elements of Libel Action</i> .....	116
1.3.	<i>Legal Presumptions</i> .....	124
2.	<b>APPLICABLE LAW .....</b>	<b>130</b>
3.	<b>JURISDICTION.....</b>	<b>139</b>
B.	<b>DEFAMATION LAW IN USA .....</b>	<b>154</b>
1.	<b>TORT OF DEFAMATION .....</b>	<b>154</b>
1.1.	<i>Defamatory Statement</i> .....	157
1.2.	<i>Identification</i> .....	159
1.3.	<i>Publication</i> .....	162
1.4.	<i>Defences</i> .....	164
1.4.1.	Truth .....	164
1.4.2.	Fair Comment and Opinion .....	166
1.4.3.	Advantage of Fair and Impartial Reporting .....	172
2.	<b>APPLICABLE LAW .....</b>	<b>175</b>
3.	<b>JURISDICTION.....</b>	<b>181</b>
 <b>CHAPTER IV</b>		
	<b>JURISDICTION OVER PERSONALITY RIGHTS: ARTICLE 7(2) AND THE SHEVILL INSIGHT .....</b>	<b>193</b>
A.	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>193</b>
B.	<b>ISSUES PERTAINING TO CIVIL WRONGS AND LIABILITIES .....</b>	<b>195</b>
C.	<b>THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT OR POTENTIAL INCIDENT.....</b>	<b>197</b>

1.	THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT .....	197
1.1.	<i>Origin of the Incident Leading to Damage</i> .....	201
1.2.	<i>Location of Damage Incidence</i> .....	205
2.	LOCATION OF POTENTIAL HARMFUL INCIDENTS .....	209
3.	CONTINUATION OF JURISDICTION .....	211
D.	INVOLVEMENT OF CLAIMANT AND RESPONDENT IN LEGAL ACTIONS .....	213
E.	OVERVIEW OF THE SHEVILL CASE .....	214
1.	THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT .....	216
1.1.	<i>The Origin of the Damaging Incident</i> .....	216
1.1.1.	<i>The Role of the Publisher's Office in Sequential Actions</i> .....	217
1.1.2.	<i>The Role of the Content Provider's Headquarters</i> .....	219
2.	THE LOCATION OF INCURRED DAMAGES .....	224
2.1.	<i>Understanding Harm to Reputation</i> .....	226
2.2.	<i>Linking Distribution Dynamics to Reputational Harm</i> .....	231
3.	IMPLICATIONS OF THE SHEVILL CASE .....	232
CHAPTER V		
JURISDICTIONAL FRONTIERS: NAVIGATING ONLINE PERSONALITY RIGHTS INFRINGEMENTS .....		234
A.	INSIGHTS FROM THE EDATE AND MARTINEZ CASES .....	234
1.	SETTING THE STAGE: BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS .....	234
1.1.	<i>eDate</i> .....	234
1.2.	<i>Martinez and Martinez</i> .....	235
1.3.	<i>Distinctive Circumstances</i> .....	235
2.	INQUIRIES TO THE CJEU .....	237
3.	THE CJEU'S RULING .....	237
4.	REVISITING SHEVILL: EVOLVING PERSPECTIVES .....	239
4.1.	<i>Confirming the Origin of the Incident</i> .....	240
4.2.	<i>Re-evaluation of the Damage Location</i> .....	245
4.2.1.	Plaintiff's Focus: Core Interests .....	245
4.2.1.1.	Global Reach: Universal Access .....	246
4.2.1.1.1.	Assessment of Audience Targeting .....	248
4.2.1.1.2.	Real Access Methodology .....	251
4.2.1.1.3.	Implementing Blocks and Circulating Content .....	253
4.2.1.2.	Significant Impact: Severe Damage .....	257
4.2.1.3.	Plaintiff's Core Interests .....	259
4.2.2.	Reachability: An Analytical Concept .....	261
B.	BOLAGSUPPLYSNINGEN CASE .....	263
1.	SETTING THE STAGE: BACKGROUND, LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND INQUIRIES TO THE CJEU .....	263
2.	THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL .....	265
3.	THE CJEU'S RULING .....	268

4.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	269
4.1.	<i>Requests for Correction and Deletion</i> .....	270
4.2.	<i>Prospects for the Mosaic Doctrine</i> .....	272
4.3.	<i>Focal Point of Jurisdiction</i> .....	274
4.4.	<i>Clarifying the Jurisdictional Focal Point</i> .....	276
C.	GTFLIX: A FAMILIAR TUNE OR A NOVEL CJEU PRELUDE? .....	281
1.	BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	282
2.	THE RULING OF THE CJEU.....	283
3.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	286
D.	MITTELBAYERISCHER VERLAG: PIONEERING A FRESH PATH? .....	288
1.	BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	289
2.	CJEU DECISION.....	291
3.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	294
CHAPTER VI		
CONCLUSION.....		298
A.	CONCLUSIONS ON APPLICABLE LAW .....	298
1.	EUROPEAN UNION (AUSTRIA, FRANCE, HUNGARY, ITALY AND SPAIN) 298	
2.	THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES .....	303
2.1.	<i>The United States</i> .....	303
2.2.	<i>The United Kingdom</i> .....	305
B.	CONCLUSION ON JURISDICTION .....	307
1.	BRUSSELS REGIME.....	307
2.	THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES .....	313
2.1.	<i>The United States</i> .....	313
2.2.	<i>The United Kingdom</i> .....	315
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....		318