



Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	I
Abstract	III
Table of Contents	V
List of Figures	VIII
List of Tables	X
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivations and Objectives	1
1.2 State of the Art and Scientific Challenges	3
1.2.1 Development and Evolution of CAES and Hydrogen Storage Power Generation	3
1.2.2 Methodological Development of 4E Evaluation and Multi-Objective Optimization	8
1.2.3 Technological Evolution and Maturity Assessment Based on Patent and Bibliometric Metrics	11
1.2.4 Scientific Challenges and Identified Research Gaps	13
1.3 Thesis Outline	15
2 S-4E-T Integrated Evaluation Framework and Methodological Foundation	19
2.1 Theoretical Architecture and Coupling Logic of the S-4E-T Framework	19
2.2 Indicators and Quantification Methods for Site Suitability Evaluation	21
2.2.1 Evaluation Indicator System	21
2.2.2 Quantification Methods	26
2.3 Development of the Performance Evaluation Model	30
2.3.1 Energy Analysis Model	30
2.3.2 Exergy Analysis Model	31
2.3.3 Exergoeconomic Analysis Model	33
2.3.4 Exergoenvironmental Analysis Model	35
2.3.5 Comprehensive 4E Performance Indicators	38
2.4 Quantitative Evaluation Method for Technology Maturity	42
2.4.1 Technology Maturity Evaluation Indicator System	42
2.4.2 Calculation Procedure and Classification Criteria for Technology Maturity	44
2.5 Construction of the MCDA-Based Integrated Decision Model	52
2.5.1 Data Standardization and Weight Determination	53
2.5.2 MCDA Model Based on TOPSIS	53
3 Site Suitability Evaluation and Empirical Analysis (S Dimension)	56



3.1 Basic Idea	56
3.2 Study Sites, Classification Criteria, and Data Sources	57
3.2.1 Study Site Selection and Regional Background	57
3.2.2 Classification Criteria for Evaluation Indicators	58
3.2.3 Data Sources and Collection Methods	61
3.3 Results of Site Suitability Evaluation and Cross-Site Comparison	62
3.3.1 Multi-Source Data Collection for the Empirical Sites	62
3.3.2 Quantified Site Scores and Comparison of Category-Level Characteristics	67
3.4 Extraction of Physical Boundary Parameters for the Pingdingshan Site	72
3.4.1 Representative Site Selection and Coupling Logic	72
3.4.2 Physical Constraint Parameters and Their Mapping to the 4E Dimension	73
3.5 Summary	74
4 4E Evaluation of Energy Storage Pathways under Representative Site Constraints	76
4.1 Basic Idea	76
4.2 Process Modeling and Construction of Representative Pathways	77
4.2.1 EBSILON Simulation Platform and Modeling Logic	77
4.2.2 Modeling of the Five Representative Technological Pathways	78
4.3 In-Depth 4E Analysis of the Benchmark System (C-CAES)	82
4.3.1 Energy Analysis: Energy Balance and Round-Trip Efficiency	82
4.3.2 Exergy Analysis: Component-Level Exergy Destruction and Irreversibility	84
4.3.3 Exergoeconomic Analysis: Cost Structure and Value Transfer	87
4.3.4 Exergoenvironmental Analysis: Environmental Burden Structure and Transfer Mechanism	90
4.4 Cross-Technology Comparison of 4E Performance	94
4.4.1 Coupled Analysis of Energy and Exergy Efficiency	95
4.4.2 Coupled Analysis of Economic and Environmental Performance	97
4.4.3 Integrated Performance Assessment and Pathway Positioning	99
4.5 Summary	101
5 Technology Maturity Evaluation and Stage Identification (T Dimension)	103
5.1 Basic Idea	103
5.2 Data Acquisition and Calculation of Annual Technology Maturity Values	104
5.2.1 Data Sources and Search Strategy	104
5.2.2 Determination of Indicator Weights	108
5.2.3 Results of Annual Technology Maturity Values	111



5.3 Life-Cycle Stage Identification via S-Curve Fitting	114
5.3.1 Calculation of Cumulative Technology Maturity Stock	114
5.3.2 Parameter Estimation and Goodness-of-Fit Testing of the Logistic Model	115
5.3.3 Life-Cycle Stage Identification and Maturity Classification	118
5.4 Comparison with Existing Evidence and Plausibility Check	122
5.4.1 Comparison with TRL Results Reported in Previous Studies	122
5.4.2 Consistency with Engineering Practice	124
5.4.3 Applicability of the Model and Differences Across Evolutionary Stages	126
5.5 Summary	128
6 Maturity-Corrected Decision Analysis and Deployment Pathways within the S-4E-T Framework	130
6.1 Basic Idea	130
6.2 Realism Correction of 4E Performance Based on Technology Maturity	131
6.2.1 Correction Logic and Risk Parameter Settings	132
6.2.2 Corrected Results for the Full Set of 4E Indicators	133
6.2.3 Overall Characteristics of the Corrected Results	134
6.3 AHP-TOPSIS Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis and Scenario Sensitivity Analysis	139
6.3.1 Construction of the Multi-Dimensional Evaluation Indicator System and Weight Allocation	139
6.3.2 TOPSIS-Based Competitiveness Evaluation of Alternative Pathways	142
6.3.3 Multi-Scenario Weight Sensitivity Analysis	146
6.4 Site-Related Deployment Implications and Technology Evolution Roadmap	151
6.4.1 Site-Related Deployment Implications for Representative Salt-Cavern Sites..	151
6.4.2 Technology Evolution Pathways Toward 2040	152
6.5 Summary	154
7 Conclusions and Outlook	157
7.1 Conclusions	157
7.2 Outlook	160
References	163
Appendix: Main Abbreviation List	174