

Table of Contents

A	Introduction.....	1
1	Overview – Pesticides in Precision Agriculture.....	1
2	Influencing factors on herbicide deposit formation.....	2
2.1	Physical processes during formation of herbicide deposits.....	2
2.2	Active ingredient.....	3
2.3	Adjuvants.....	4
2.3.1	Influence on deposit formation.....	6
2.3.2	Impact on uptake and biological efficacy.....	7
2.4	Leaf surface characteristics.....	8
2.5	Influence of deposits on uptake of a.i.....	9
3	Objective of the study.....	10
4	References.....	11
B	Optimization of biological efficacy of glyphosate and glufosinate formulations on basis of physicochemical and micromorphological weed surface properties.....	19
1	Introduction.....	19
2	Materials and methods.....	20
2.1	Plant material and growth conditions.....	20
2.2	Chemicals.....	20
2.3	Application of treatment solutions and evaluation of biological efficacy.....	21
2.4	Determination of leaf microroughness.....	21
2.5	Scanning electron microscopy.....	22
2.6	Statistical analysis.....	22
3	Results.....	22
3.1	Leaf micromorphology.....	22
3.2	Biological efficacy as affected by treatment solutions.....	24
3.2.1	<i>Chenopodium album</i>	24
3.2.2	<i>Setaria viridis</i>	27
3.2.3	<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i>	27
3.2.4	<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	27
3.2.5	<i>Stellaria media</i>	27
3.2.6	<i>Viola arvensis</i>	27
4	Discussion.....	28
5	References.....	30

C Surfactant-induced deposit structures in relation to biological efficacy of glyphosate on easy- and difficult-to-wet weed species.....	35
1 Introduction.....	35
2 Materials and methods.....	36
2.1 Plant material and growth conditions.....	36
2.2 Preparation of treatment solutions.....	37
2.3 Leaf microroughness and scanning electron microscopic images.....	37
2.4 Determination of droplet spread area and area ultimately covered by a.i.....	37
2.5 Application of treatment solutions and evaluation of biological efficacy.....	38
2.6 Statistical analysis.....	38
3 Results.....	38
3.1 Leaf micromorphology.....	38
3.2 Droplet spread area and area ultimately covered with glyphosate.....	40
3.2.1 <i>Chenopodium album</i>	41
3.2.2 <i>Setaria viridis</i>	42
3.2.3 <i>Stellaria media</i>	42
3.2.4 <i>Viola arvensis</i>	43
3.3 Biological efficacy as affected by treatment solutions and droplet volume...	43
3.4 Correlation analysis.....	44
4 Discussion.....	45
5 References.....	47
D Cuticular calcium penetration is directly related to the area covered by calcium within droplet spread area.....	51
1 Introduction.....	51
2 Materials and Methods.....	52
2.1 Tomato fruit cuticles.....	52
2.2 Chemicals.....	52
2.3 Determination of droplet spread area and area ultimately covered with a.i...	53
2.4 Determination of Ca penetration.....	53
2.5 Statistical analysis.....	54
3 Results.....	54
3.1 Penetration studies.....	54
3.2 Determination of droplet spread area and a.i. residue area.....	54
4 Discussion.....	59
5 References.....	61

E Selected calcium salt formulations: interactions between spray deposit characteristics and Ca penetration with consequences for rain-induced wash-off.....	67
1 Introduction.....	67
2 Materials and methods.....	68
2.1 Plant material and growth conditions.....	68
2.2 Chemicals.....	69
2.3 Determination of droplet spread area and area ultimately covered by a.i.....	69
2.4 Determination of Ca penetration.....	69
2.5 Determination of rain-induced wash-off.....	69
2.6 Statistical analysis.....	70
3 Results.....	71
3.1 Determination of droplet spread area and residue area.....	71
3.2 Penetration studies.....	73
3.3 Rain-induced wash-off.....	74
4 Discussion.....	75
5 References.....	77
F Summary and Conclusion.....	81