

1	INTRODUCTION	2
2	CONCEPTUAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK: THE CAPITAL-NEED-INSTITUTION-MODEL.....	6
2.1	CATEGORISING FORMS OF CAPITAL	8
2.1.1	<i>Biodiversity as an important component of natural capital</i>	9
2.1.2	<i>Physical capital: the neoclassical explanation</i>	10
2.1.3	<i>The role of human capital and perception</i>	11
2.1.4	<i>Financial capital and its multiple functions</i>	13
2.1.5	<i>Social capital and institutions</i>	15
2.2	THE MOTIVATING ENDS OF HUMAN ACTION.....	15
2.2.1	<i>Classification of needs</i>	18
2.3	THE ROLE OF INSTITUTIONS.....	23
2.3.1	<i>Utility maximisation and institutions</i>	24
2.3.2	<i>Transaction costs of institutions</i>	26
2.3.3	<i>Externalities and biodiversity conservation</i>	27
2.3.4	<i>Categorisation of enforcement instruments</i>	39
2.3.5	<i>The relation between different forms of institutions</i>	47
2.4	OUTCOMES OF ACTIONS	51
2.5	SUMMARY	53
3	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY	54
4	MUTOMPO/MILE 46	62
4.1	OVERVIEW OF THE MILE 46 LIVESTOCK DEVELOPMENT CENTRE	63
4.1.1	<i>Capital availability</i>	63
4.1.2	<i>Objectives of the research farm</i>	64
4.1.3	<i>Institutional framework</i>	64
4.2	CAPITAL AVAILABILITY OF MUTOMPO COMMUNITY	65
4.2.1	<i>Natural capital</i>	65
4.2.2	<i>Financial capital</i>	70
4.2.3	<i>Physical capital</i>	72
4.2.4	<i>Human capital</i>	74
4.3	MOTIVES FOR RESOURCE USE	76
4.4	INSTITUTIONS	83

4.4.1	<i>Traditional authority systems of the Mbunza</i>	83
4.4.2	<i>Ownership of land and natural resources</i>	84
4.4.3	<i>Regulation of access to natural resources</i>	85
4.4.4	<i>Incentives for agricultural intensification</i>	91
4.4.5	<i>Regulations for wood harvesting</i>	92
4.4.6	<i>Institutional measures to fight bush fires</i>	96
4.4.7	<i>Regulations for wildlife, fruits and grass</i>	98
4.4.8	<i>Water: the scarcest resource in inland Kavango settlements</i>	99
4.4.9	<i>Social capital and intra-community institutions</i>	108
4.4.10	<i>Pasture distribution</i>	110
4.5	CONCLUSION	115
5	OKAMBORO	118
5.1	THE CAPITAL AVAILABILITY OF THE OKAMBORO COMMUNITY	118
5.1.1	<i>Natural capital</i>	118
5.1.2	<i>Financial capital</i>	121
5.1.3	<i>Physical capital</i>	122
5.1.4	<i>Human capital</i>	123
5.2	MOTIVES OF RESOURCE USE	125
5.3	INSTITUTIONS	130
5.3.1	<i>Ownership and security of use rights</i>	130
5.3.2	<i>Access regulation to Okamboro land</i>	132
5.3.3	<i>Restrictions on resource use and use intensity</i>	134
5.3.4	<i>Affirmative Action Loan Scheme</i>	136
5.3.5	<i>Social capital and intra-community institutions</i>	139
5.3.6	<i>The informal pasture distribution</i>	140
5.3.7	<i>Enclosing of communal land</i>	145
5.3.8	<i>The impact of the water reform</i>	148
5.4	CONCLUSION	149
6	TIERVLEI/GELLAP OST	153
6.1	OVERVIEW OF THE GELLAP OST RESEARCH STATION	153
6.1.1	<i>Capital availability</i>	153
6.1.2	<i>Objectives of the research farm</i>	153
6.1.3	<i>Institutional framework</i>	154
6.2	THE TIERVLEI FARMING UNITS	155

6.3	THE CAPITAL AVAILABLE TO THE NABAOS COMMUNITY	156
6.3.1	<i>Natural capital</i>	156
6.3.2	<i>Financial capital</i>	162
6.3.3	<i>Physical capital</i>	164
6.3.4	<i>Human capital</i>	165
6.4	MOTIVES OF RESOURCE USE	167
6.5	INSTITUTIONS	172
6.5.1	<i>Ownership and access to land and natural resources</i>	172
6.5.2	<i>Access to emergency grazing and reactions to droughts</i>	176
6.5.3	<i>Limitations of grazing and browsing intensity</i>	177
6.5.4	<i>The impact of rural water supply reforms</i>	178
6.5.5	<i>Regulations of wood and wildlife resources</i>	180
6.5.6	<i>Intra-community institutions</i>	183
6.6	CONCLUSION	184
7	SOEBATSFONTEIN	187
7.1	THE CAPITAL AVAILABILITY IN THE SOEBATSFONTEIN COMMUNITY	188
7.1.1	<i>Natural Capital</i>	188
7.1.2	<i>Financial Capital</i>	191
7.1.3	<i>Physical Capital</i>	194
7.1.4	<i>Human Capital</i>	195
7.2	MOTIVES OF RESOURCE USE	196
7.3	INSTITUTIONS	198
7.3.1	<i>The role of land ownership</i>	200
7.3.2	<i>Access regulations and security of use rights</i>	202
7.3.3	<i>Resource use regulations</i>	204
7.3.4	<i>Local social structures as institutions and capital</i>	211
7.3.5	<i>Community institutions and natural resource management</i>	213
7.3.6	<i>Communal versus individual farm management</i>	215
7.3.7	<i>The Soebatsfontein community and the Namaqua National Park</i>	216
7.4	CONCLUSION	218
8	COMPARISON OF THE RESEARCH SITES AND INTER-REGIONAL DISCUSSION.....	220
8.1	CAPITAL AVAILABILITY.....	220
8.1.1	<i>Natural capital</i>	220

8.1.2	<i>Financial capital</i>	223
8.1.3	<i>Physical capital</i>	225
8.1.4	<i>Human capital</i>	226
8.2	MOTIVES OF RESOURCE USE	228
8.3	THE ROLE OF INSTITUTIONS	236
8.3.1	<i>Ownership and use rights</i>	236
8.3.2	<i>Exclusion from and access to natural resources</i>	240
8.3.3	<i>Regulation of livestock numbers and pasture management</i>	245
8.3.4	<i>Regulation of non-grazing resources</i>	247
8.3.5	<i>The impacts of land reform</i>	252
8.3.6	<i>The impact of Namibian rural water reform</i>	255
8.3.7	<i>Social capital and intra-community institutions</i>	260
8.3.8	<i>Fencing of communal land</i>	261
8.3.9	<i>The role of customary law and traditional authorities</i>	263
8.3.10	<i>Cooperative Governance</i>	272
8.3.11	<i>Heterogeneity & community choice</i>	275
9	CONCLUSION	278
	APPENDIXES	XI
	REFERENCES	XVII