



Ellen Gottschämmer (Autor)

**Kinematic and Dynamic Simulation of Ground Motion: Implications for Seismic Hazard Assessment**  
**Verbesserung der seismischen Gefährdungsabschätzung durch kinematische und dynamische Modellierung seismischer Bodenbewegung**

---

Ellen Gottschämmer

**Kinematic and Dynamic Simulation  
of Ground Motion:  
Implications for Seismic Hazard Assessment**

---



Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen

<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/3597>

Copyright:

Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentzsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>1 Concepts of Finite Difference Modeling</b>	<b>19</b>
1.1 Stability of a System . . . . .	21
1.2 Numerical Dispersion . . . . .	22
1.3 Staggered Grids and Accuracy of the System . . . . .	22
1.4 Lateral and Bottom Boundaries . . . . .	23
1.5 Free-Surface Boundary-Condition . . . . .	24
1.6 Implementation of the Source . . . . .	25
1.6.1 Kinematic Ruptures . . . . .	25
1.6.2 Dynamic Ruptures . . . . .	28
<b>2 Case Study I: Rhinegraben-Earthquakes</b>	<b>31</b>
2.1 Motivation . . . . .	31
2.2 Upper Rhinegraben . . . . .	32
2.2.1 Tectonics and Geology . . . . .	32
2.2.2 Seismicity . . . . .	33
2.2.3 Three Rhinegraben Earthquakes ( $M_L$ 3.5 – 3.6) . . . . .	33
2.3 Modeling Parameters . . . . .	35
2.3.1 Elastic Model and Geometry . . . . .	35
2.3.2 Computational Parameters . . . . .	37
2.4 Numerical Results . . . . .	38
2.4.1 Arrival Times of P-Waves . . . . .	39
2.4.2 Peak Ground Velocities . . . . .	40
2.4.3 Amplitude Ratios . . . . .	42
2.4.4 Duration of Shaking . . . . .	44
2.4.5 Response Spectra . . . . .	44
2.5 Discussion . . . . .	45

<b>3</b>	<b>Case Study II: The 1927 Jericho Earthquake</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1	Motivation . . . . .	51
3.2	Dead Sea Rift Transform Fault . . . . .	52
3.2.1	Tectonics and Geology . . . . .	52
3.2.2	Seismicity . . . . .	52
3.2.3	The 1927 Jericho Earthquake . . . . .	52
3.3	Modeling Parameters . . . . .	54
3.3.1	Elastic Model and Geometry . . . . .	54
3.3.2	Computational Parameters . . . . .	55
3.4	Numerical Results . . . . .	56
3.4.1	Snapshots of the Wavefield . . . . .	56
3.4.2	Seismograms . . . . .	57
3.4.3	Peak Ground Velocities . . . . .	58
3.4.4	Spectral Accelerations . . . . .	59
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	62
<b>4</b>	<b>Case Study III: Earthquakes on Dipping Faults</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1	Motivation . . . . .	65
4.2	Modeling Parameters . . . . .	67
4.2.1	Elastic Model and Geometry . . . . .	67
4.2.2	Dynamic Computational Parameters . . . . .	67
4.2.3	Kinematic Computational Parameters . . . . .	69
4.3	Numerical Results . . . . .	70
4.3.1	Uniform Halfspace (UHS) Model . . . . .	70
4.3.2	Layered Model, 45° Dipping Thrust Fault . . . . .	75
4.3.3	Variation of Initial Stress Distribution . . . . .	79
4.3.4	Deeper Versus Shallower Events . . . . .	86
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	87
	<b>Summary</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Free-Surface Boundary-Condition</b>	<b>95</b>
A.1	Explicit Free-Surface Boundary-Condition . . . . .	96
A.1.1	Free-Surface Boundary-Condition FS1 . . . . .	96
A.1.2	Free-Surface Boundary-Condition FS2 . . . . .	97
A.2	Source and Receiver Configuration and Model Description . . . . .	98
A.3	Numerical Results . . . . .	99

<i>CONTENTS</i>	3
A.3.1 Comparison Between FS1 and FS2 . . . . .	99
A.3.2 Refinement of FS1 and FS2 . . . . .	101
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Lebenslauf</b>	<b>121</b>