




Jan-Martin Kirves (Autor)  
**New Algorithms for Single Particle Cryo Electron  
Microscopic Image Processing**



<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/6884>

Copyright:  
Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen,  
Germany  
Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
List of Figures . . . . .	v
List of Tables . . . . .	ix
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Three Dimensional Model Determination of Macromolecular Assemblies .	1
1.1.1 X-Ray Crystallography and Cryo-Electron Microscopy . . . . .	2
1.2 Electron Microscopy . . . . .	3
1.2.1 The Transmission Electron Microscope . . . . .	4
1.2.2 Image Acquisition . . . . .	6
1.2.2.1 Amplitude Contrast . . . . .	7
1.2.2.2 Phase Contrast . . . . .	8
1.2.2.3 Phase Contrast Transfer Function . . . . .	11
1.3 Single Particle Electron Microscopy Workflow . . . . .	13
1.3.1 Laboratory Part of the Workflow . . . . .	13
1.3.1.1 Sample Treatment . . . . .	14
1.3.1.2 Grid Preparation . . . . .	14
1.3.1.2.1 Negative Stain Preparation . . . . .	15
1.3.1.2.2 Cryo Preparation . . . . .	15
1.3.1.3 Image Acquisition . . . . .	16
1.3.1.4 Tilt Images . . . . .	17
1.3.2 Computational Image Processing . . . . .	18
1.3.2.1 Particle Picking . . . . .	18
1.3.2.2 Filtering . . . . .	19
1.3.2.3 Alignment . . . . .	20
1.3.2.3.1 Multi Reference Alignment . . . . .	21
1.3.2.3.2 Reference Free Alignment . . . . .	22

---

1.3.2.4	Multivariate Statistical Analysis . . . . .	23
1.3.2.4.1	Principal Component Analysis . . . . .	23
1.3.2.4.2	Clustering . . . . .	25
1.3.2.5	Angular Assignment . . . . .	27
1.3.2.5.1	Angular Reconstitution . . . . .	27
1.3.2.5.2	Random Conical Tilt . . . . .	29
1.3.2.6	3D Reconstruction . . . . .	29
1.3.2.7	Projection . . . . .	31
1.3.2.8	Resolution Assessment . . . . .	32
1.3.2.9	Validation . . . . .	34
1.3.2.10	Iterative Refinement . . . . .	35
1.4	Challenges . . . . .	36
1.4.1	Biochemical Control Over the Sample . . . . .	36
1.4.2	Model Bias during Refinement . . . . .	37
1.4.3	Overfitting Induced by Refinement . . . . .	38
1.4.4	Quality Assessment of a Three-Dimensional Model . . . . .	39
1.5	Aim of this Work . . . . .	40
<b>2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<b>41</b>
2.1	Fundamentals . . . . .	41
2.1.1	Image Statistics . . . . .	41
2.1.1.1	Mean . . . . .	41
2.1.1.2	Variance . . . . .	42
2.1.1.3	Standard Deviation . . . . .	42
2.1.1.4	Signal to Noise Ratio . . . . .	42
2.1.2	Image Processing Techniques . . . . .	43
2.1.2.1	Normalization . . . . .	43
2.1.2.2	Threshold . . . . .	43
2.1.2.3	Binarization . . . . .	44
2.1.2.4	Scaling . . . . .	44
2.1.2.5	Fourier Transformation . . . . .	45
2.1.2.6	Convolution . . . . .	45
2.1.2.7	Cross-Correlation . . . . .	46
2.2	Software . . . . .	47
2.2.1	Libraries . . . . .	47
2.2.2	Integrated Development Environment . . . . .	47
2.2.3	Project Management Tools . . . . .	48
2.3	Hardware . . . . .	48
2.4	Chemicals . . . . .	48

---

2.5	COW Framework . . . . .	50
2.5.1	COW Application Programming Interface . . . . .	50
2.5.2	COW Graphical User Interface . . . . .	52
2.5.3	COW Server . . . . .	53
2.6	Generation of Test Data . . . . .	54
2.6.1	Real Data . . . . .	54
2.6.2	Synthetic Data . . . . .	54
<b>3</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Sample Optimization . . . . .	57
3.1.1	Mathematical Model of ProteoPlex . . . . .	59
3.1.2	Screening Procedure of ProteoPlex . . . . .	62
3.1.3	Data Analysis of ProteoPlex . . . . .	62
3.1.4	ProteoPlex Software . . . . .	64
3.1.4.1	Software Architecture . . . . .	66
3.2	Model Validation . . . . .	68
3.2.1	Workflow . . . . .	68
3.2.1.1	Prerequisites . . . . .	69
3.2.1.2	Angular Assignment . . . . .	69
3.2.1.3	Reconstruction . . . . .	70
3.2.1.4	3D Comparison . . . . .	71
3.2.1.5	Data Evaluation . . . . .	71
3.2.2	Test Data Preparation . . . . .	72
3.2.3	Results of Model Validation . . . . .	73
3.2.3.1	Heterogeneous Data . . . . .	74
3.2.3.2	Preferred View . . . . .	75
3.3	Overfitting Analysis . . . . .	78
3.3.1	Workflow . . . . .	78
3.3.2	Prerequisites . . . . .	78
3.3.2.1	Nail Mask Creation . . . . .	80
3.3.2.2	Application of the Nail Mask . . . . .	81
3.3.2.3	Evaluation of the Nail Mask Content . . . . .	82
3.3.3	Results of the Overfitting Analysis . . . . .	82
3.4	Model Quality Criteria . . . . .	86
3.4.1	Model Quality Criteria in Detail . . . . .	86
3.4.1.1	Signal to Noise Ratio . . . . .	86
3.4.1.2	Sphere Coverage . . . . .	88
3.4.1.3	Continuity . . . . .	91
3.4.1.4	Isotropy . . . . .	93

3.4.1.5	Global FSC . . . . .	96
3.4.1.6	Local FSC . . . . .	96
3.4.1.7	Oversampling . . . . .	97
3.4.1.8	Tilted Pair Parameter Plot . . . . .	97
3.4.1.9	3D Correlation of Tilted Pairs . . . . .	98
3.4.2	Model Quality Criteria Results . . . . .	99
<b>4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>105</b>
4.1	Sample Optimization Discussion . . . . .	105
4.1.1	Sample Optimization Outlook . . . . .	107
4.2	Model Validation Discussion . . . . .	108
4.2.1	Model Validation Outlook . . . . .	111
4.3	Overfitting Discussion . . . . .	113
4.3.1	Overfitting Outlook . . . . .	114
4.4	Model Quality Criteria Discussion . . . . .	116
4.4.1	Model Quality Criteria Outlook . . . . .	118
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	119
<b>Appendices</b>		
<b>A</b>	<b>Sample Optimization</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Model Validation Plots</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Quality Criteria Sample Table Content</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>XIX</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>		<b>XXVII</b>
<b>Related Publications</b>		<b>XXIX</b>